

Psychophysiological dynamics of emotional reactivity: Interindividual reactivity characterization and prediction by a machine learning approach

Damien Claverie, Roman Rutka, Vaida Verhoef, Frédéric Canini, Pascal Hot,

Sonia Pellissier

▶ To cite this version:

Damien Claverie, Roman Rutka, Vaida Verhoef, Frédéric Canini, Pascal Hot, et al.. Psychophysiological dynamics of emotional reactivity: Interindividual reactivity characterization and prediction by a machine learning approach. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 2021, 169, pp.34-43. 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.08.009. hal-03647495

HAL Id: hal-03647495 https://hal.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/hal-03647495

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167876021008758 Manuscript_ce889face4a71ff9ee9cf8133697f78f

1 Title: Psychophysiological dynamics of emotional reactivity: interindividual reactivity 2 characterization and prediction by a machine learning approach

- 3
- Authors: Damien Claverie^{1, *}, Roman Rutka^{2,3, *}, Vaida Verhoef³, Frédéric Canini^{1,4}, Pascal
 Hot^{3,5}, Sonia Pellissier²

6 Affiliations

- 7 *: equivalent contribution
- ¹: Département Neurosciences & Contraintes Opérationnelles, Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des
 Armées (IRBA), Brétigny-sur-Orge, France.
- 10 ²: LIP/PC2S, Université Savoie Mont Blanc and Université Grenoble Alpes, Chambéry, France.
- ³: LPNC-UMR CNRS 5105, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, UFR LLSH, Chambéry, France.
- 12 ⁴: Ecole du Val de Grâce, Paris, France.
- 13 ⁵: Institut Universitaire de France.
- 14 15
- 16 **Corresponding author**:
- 17 Damien Claverie: claveriedamien@hotmail.com
- 18 Phone: +33662570900
- 19

21

22

23

24

25

20 Highlights:

- Cross-correlations between RR and tonic electrodermal activity appear during emotion.
 - Interindividual variability of these cross-correlations are observed.
 - One cluster of stress vulnerability with cross-correlations independent of emotion.
 - Cross-correlations of the stress vulnerability cluster are dependent of anxiety trait.
 - Cluster membership prediction by a machine learning model.

26 Abstract

27 The fast reaction of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) to an emotional challenge (EC) is the result of a functional coupling between parasympathetic (PNS) and sympathetic (SNS) branches. This coupling can 28 29 be characterized by measures of cross-correlations between electrodermal activity (EDA) (under the 30 influence of the SNS) and the RR interval (the interval between R peaks) (under the influence of the PNS and the SNS). Significant interindividual variability has previously been reported in SNS-PNS coupling 31 32 in emotional situations, and the present study aimed to identify interindividual cross-correlation 33 variability in ANS reactivity. We therefore studied EDA and the RR interval in 62 healthy subjects, 34 recorded during a 24-minute EC. A Gaussian Mixture Model was used to cluster tonic EDA-RR cross-35 correlations during the EC. This identified two clusters that were characterized by significant or nonsignificant cross-correlations (SCC and NCC clusters, respectively). The SCC cluster reported higher 36 negative emotion after the EC, while the NCC cluster reported higher scores on the Center for 37 38 Epidemiologic Studies-Depression scale. The latter finding suggests that NCC is a pathological mood 39 pattern with altered negative perception. Furthermore, a machine learning model that included three 40 parameters indexing the functionality of both branches of the ANS, measured at baseline, predicted cluster membership. Our results are a first step in detecting dysfunctional ANS reactivity in general 41 42 population.

43 Keywords

Emotion, cross-correlation, interindividual variability, nonlinear, autonomic nervous system, machine
learning

46 **1. Introduction**

Emotional reactivity can be defined as the response of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) to an emotional stimulus. Typically, there is a joint reaction that involves both parasympathetic and sympathetic systems (McCraty et al., 1995). Electrodermal activity (EDA) and heart rate (HR) are physiological indices of ANS activity: EDA is under the influence of the cholinergic sympathetic system, and HR is under the influence of both sympathetic and parasympathetic systems (Kreibig, 2010). These two branches of the ANS interact closely, and the activity of one modulates the activity of the other (Thayer & Lane, 2009).

Examining moment-to-moment ANS responses during an emotional experience remains a methodological challenge (Golland et al., 2014; Kettunen & Keltikangas-Jarvinen, 2001). Since emotion is defined as a phasic response, several theoretical models assume that multiple patterns of body responses succeed each other during an emotional event (Scherer, 2009). Under this assumption, dynamic rather than steady point measurements are more informative. Findings from earlier studies support the idea that crosscorrelation analysis can provide relevant indices of ANS activity, by comparing the dynamics of activities in both branches during an emotional experience (Golland et al., 2014).

61 Cross-correlations between EDA and HR have been used as a simplified index of ANS functioning in the 62 past (Janig & Habler, 2000). This approach reduces the number of dimensions to be considered, and 63 enables a continuous assessment of the balance between the two branches (Golland et al., 2014). Such an 64 analysis is in line with the interest of nonlinear variables for each ANS signal. As there are several levels 65 of regulation (Thayer & Lane, 2009), ANS oscillation is nonlinear (Basar & Guntekin, 2007) and can be described by appropriate indices (Reiter et al., 2020). These nonlinear variables provide an overview of 66 67 the equilibrium of the system, describe its functioning and, more particularly, its flexibility (Young & 68 Benton, 2015).

ANS regulation can be estimated by the Lyapunov exponent (LE), which is correlated to the size of the active biological neuronal pathway (Lajoie et al., 2014). The LE is a numeric value that characterizes the ability of a signal to be influenced (Pilant, 2020). An increase in the size of the active neuronal network leads to it being more influenced and, therefore, the LE increases (Lajoie et al., 2014). The LE is usually correlated with the Hurst exponent (HE) (Tarnopolski, 2016), which characterizes the ability of a signal to persist in the long term. This long-term memory appears to be a consequence of stability in the connectivity of an active neural network (Taylor et al., 2012).

76 SD1 and SD2 Poincaré indices reflect, respectively, short- and long-term signal variability, and provide 77 information about the type of neural activity. When applied to the R-peak (RR) interval, short-term 78 variability is known to be a marker of the effects of parasympathetic activity on the sinus node, since 79 vagal effects are known to be faster than sympathetic ones (Hoshi et al., 2013; Mourot et al., 2004). SD2 80 is influenced by both tones (De Vito et al., 2002; Hoshi et al., 2013). In the case of heart rate variability 81 (HRV), the SD1/SD2 ratio, which represents the relationship between the two components has been 82 shown to be correlated to the HE (Hoshi et al., 2013). Nonlinear indices can, thus, indicate the dynamic 83 functioning of the ANS (Reiter et al., 2020) and give a precise evaluation of system flexibility, in terms of 84 variability, memory or control under stress (Young & Benton, 2015).

85 Although dynamic approaches have been shown to be useful in reducing variability in ANS responses to emotion, interindividual variability remains significant, and appears to be a function of numerous 86 historical, environmental, and biological factors (Andrew et al., 2017; Boissy, 1995; Fan et al., 2014; 87 Golland et al., 2014; Hot et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2018; von Holzen et al., 2016). Furthermore, anxiety, 88 mood, and alexithymia have been found to be associated with a lack of ANS flexibility (Agorastos et al., 89 90 2020; Hoehn-Saric & McLeod, 2000; Koschke et al., 2009; Lischke et al., 2018; Udupa et al., 2007). 91 While overall, these differences have been described for each branch of the ANS (Charkoudian & Wallin, 92 2014; Kirstein & Insel, 2004; Muhtadie et al., 2015), to the best of our knowledge interindividual 93 differences in dynamic interactions between the two branches of the ANS have not been explored. More 94 specifically, questions remain not only about the functioning of each individual ANS branch, but also the95 dynamics of their interaction.

Hence, this exploratory study assesses the relevance and sensitivity of the combination of two methodological approaches: *i*) a cross-correlation analysis between the two branches of the ANS; and *ii*) the use of machine learning to identify profiles (clusters) of ANS reactivity. The first step was to identify the dynamic co-evolution of sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the ANS during an emotional challenge (EC), and pinpoint clusters of inter-individual variability based on cross-correlations between EDA and RR intervals, measured as the tonic (e.g., skin conductance level) component of EDA.

102 Tonic and phasic components of EDA are underpinned by different neuroanatomical pathways and, 103 therefore, different processes (Nagai et al., 2004; Ozawa et al., 2019). The tonic component covaries with 104 ventromedial prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortex activities, while the phasic component is a function of 105 activity in various regions of the brain, such as the hypothalamus, thalamus, striate and extrastriate 106 cortices, anterior cingulate and insular cortices, and several lateral regions of the prefrontal cortex (Nagai 107 et al., 2004; Ozawa et al., 2019). As a consequence, it is influenced by multiple inputs depending on the 108 task, while the tonic component appears to be under the influence of attentional processes that are 109 particularly enhanced in an emotional context (Nagai et al., 2004; Ozawa et al., 2019). These differences 110 should make it possible to describe the ANS time course during an EC by capturing the degree of cross-111 correlation as a marker of autonomic adjustment. Thus, as a first step, the combination of variation in 112 tonic EDA and RR outputs during an EC may provide a fine-grained assessment of individual emotional 113 reactivity. Variability was characterized using physiological, sociodemographic, and psychological 114 variables.

The second step in our work used a machine learning analysis to assess resting state ANS indices that best-predict emotional reactivity patterns. This is a major challenge, as cross-correlations are not observed beyond the context of emotional events (Golland et al., 2014). We used a Support Vector Machine (SVM) 118 learning method as it can combine several parameters, improving prediction capacity. This technique is 119 particularly useful in a context where there are at least two factors (such as the two branches of the ANS).

120

2. Materials and methods

Results presented in this article were collected from two successive studies. The first validated the EC
(Study I), and the second analyzed the dynamic cross-correlation between the two branches of the ANS
during the same EC (Study II).

124 **2.1. Subjects**

Study I was conducted with 58 participants (72.5% women; mean age = 20.84±0.49 (standard error of the mean [SEM])), and Study II with 66 participants (88.71% women; mean age = 20.52±0.55). No significant differences were found for sociodemographic parameters between the two groups (Supplementary Table 1). Both studies were approved by the Ethics Committee of Savoie Mont Blanc University, France (CEREUS_2017_13). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. Participants were recruited from among psychology students at Savoie Mont Blanc University and Grenoble Alpes University.

132 **2.2. Procedure**

133 Procedure common to both studies

Each participant was tested individually in an experimental room. Physiological sensors for the electrocardiogram (ECG) and EDA were attached. Recording was continuous throughout the experiment. To obtain a baseline measurement, participants were asked to rest without moving, and let their mind wander with their eyes open for 10 minutes. This period was chosen in order to have enough time to calculate ANS signal parameters. Emotional state was assessed using the Affective Slider (AS) (Betella & Verschure, 2016). The AS assessment was performed before and after the 10-minute baseline phase (Figure 1). Then, participants watched a 24-minute video based on extracts from the movie *The Conjuring* (Wan, 2013). This duration was chosen to ensure immersion. At the end of the video, the AS assessment was repeated. This was followed by a 15-minute recovery period, and another AS assessment. This period was chosen in order to have enough time to calculate ANS signal parameters and to observe a recovery of physiological parameters. Finally, participants were asked to report the degree to which the video they had just watched was unpleasant and scary, using two analogue scales ranging from -50 (not unpleasant/scary at all) to +50 (very unpleasant/scary), with 0 corresponding to a neutral state.

147 *Differences between studies*

While watching the video, participants in Study I used a potentiometer to continuously evaluate their instantaneous emotional state. This real-time assessment validated the intensity of the experience. Because it was possible that this conscious activity would influence ANS reactivity (Park & Thayer, 2014; Park et al., 2013), the same assessment was not performed during Study II, in which we aimed to assess dynamic ANS change.

153 Power analysis

154 The necessary number of subjects was calculated using BiostaTGV ("BiostaTGV,"), based on a similar 155 previous study (Golland et al., 2014). The earlier study recruited 27 subjects with the aim of observing 156 significant cross-correlations. It found a correlation coefficient of around 0.6 during an emotional event, 157 and standard deviation of around 0.1. In the present study, our goal was to observe at least two clusters, 158 with a minimum difference of 15% and power of 90%, at a significance level of 0.05. The minimum 159 number of subjects was identified as 52. This was increased by 10% for Study I (to compensate for 160 technical problems in the emotional assessment system), and by 20% for Study II (to compensate for both 161 technical problems and signal anomalies).

162 Study I: Validation of the emotional paradigm

A total of 58 participants were recruited. Of these, 37 provided an instantaneous emotional assessment of the movie and complete sociodemographic information; three provided an instantaneous emotional assessment and incomplete sociodemographic information (age, weight, and height were not recorded); and 18 only provided emotional assessment data.

167 Study II: The dynamic ANS model and the machine learning model

Among the 66 participants who were initially recruited, data from one was excluded due to a technical problem during the experiment (significant signal loss) and three were excluded due to a physiological anomaly (arrhythmia).

	Resting State	Emot	ional Challenge	Recovery	
	Physiological baseline measurement	Real-time emoti Physiological react	ional assessment (<i>Study I</i>) <i>vs.</i> ivity measurement (<i>Study II</i>)	Physiological recovery measurement	
	AS	AS	AS	AS	L STime (min
0) 1	0	3	4 4	19

171

172 **Figure 1: Experimental procedure for both studies.** AS: Affective Slider assessment.

- 173 **2.3.Sociodemographic data**
- Sociodemographic variables included age, weight, height, tobacco use, consumption of caffeine and
 psychotropic substances, medical treatments, sleep habits and sports practice.
- 176 **2.4.Psychological assessment**
- 177 The psychological assessment was based on a set of standardized instruments that included the following

three questionnaires:

- i. The Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI): a 20-item assessment in which higher scores
 indicate more trait anxiety (Spielberger, 1983).
- ii. The Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS): a 20-item assessment in which higher scores indicate
 greater alexithymia (Loas et al., 1995). Three dimensions were evaluated: difficulty in identifying
 feelings, difficulty in describing feelings, and thoughts oriented toward external reality.
- 184 iii. The Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression (CES-D) scale: a 20-item assessment in which
 185 higher scores indicate a higher level of depressive symptoms (Fuhrer & Rouillon, 1989).
- 186 **2.5. Affectiv**

2.5. Affective Slider assessment

Participants' instantaneous emotional state was evaluated with the AS (Betella & Verschure, 2016). This assessment consists of two analogue scales, one addressing emotional valence, the other Arousal. In both cases, scales range from -50 (very negative) to +50 (very positive), with 0 corresponding to a neutral state. This measure was recorded between tasks (Figure 1).

191

2.6. Real-time emotional assessment

A real-time emotional assessment was carried out while participants watched the video in Study I. Subjects continuously moved a linear home-made potentiometer. Values ranged from 0 (minimal intensity) to 40 (maximal intensity). The sampling frequency was 2 Hz. A higher sampling frequency would have been possible, but would not have been relevant, given that we were seeking to measure a conscious, explicit behavioral response. The response was then normalized for each subject as a percentage of maximum intensity.

198 **2.7. Physiological measures**

199 The ECG was recorded with sensors placed on the chest, according to the DII standard Einthoven 200 derivation. EDA was recorded by placing electrodes on the last phalanx of the index and middle fingers of 201 the non-dominant hand. The signal was acquired by ECG 100 and GSR 100 amplifier modules connected to a BioPac MP150 (BioPac Systems, Inc., CEROM, Paris, France). Acquisitions were performed with
AcqKnowledge 4.1 software at a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. ECG and EDA signals were recorded
during the entire experiment and then transferred to MATLAB software (Mathworks, r2018a, Natick,
Massachusetts, USA) for tonic and phasic EDA and RR post-acquisition processing.

206

2.8. Physiological signal preprocessing

207 R peaks were first detected automatically by an algorithm based on wavelet detection, and then comprehensively manually checked. In one case, peaks could not be manually identified, and the 208 recording was considered artifacted and excluded. The EDA signal was first separated into phasic and 209 210 tonic components by a validated algorithm (Greco et al., 2016), before being down-sampled from 211 1000 Hz to 2 Hz to allow it to be aligned with the interpolated inter-beat interval (IBI). Finally, it was 212 smoothed by a moving median algorithm with a 10-point moving window, as previously reported 213 (Golland et al., 2014). Both components of the time series were then detrended and normalized as z-214 scores, as recommended for cross-correlation analysis (Box et al., 2016; Golland et al., 2014).

215

2.9. Physiological signal analysis

216 2.9.1. <u>IBI time series</u>

217 2.9.1.1. Temporal analysis of RR intervals

The following temporal components of HRV were calculated from RR intervals: mean RR (the mean of RR intervals in ms); SDNN (the standard deviation of normal-to-normal RR intervals in ms); RMSSD (the root mean square of successive differences in ms); and HRV-TI (the Heart Rate Variability Triangular Index).

222 2.9.1.2. Frequency analysis of IBI time series

RR intervals were interpolated to a 2 Hz IBI time series that was then detrended. A fast Fourier transform using the Welch method with a moving window and an overlap of 50% was used to calculate spectral components of HRV parametric analyses: Very Low Frequencies (VLF): 0.002–0.04 Hz; Low Frequencies (LF): 0.04–0.15 Hz; and High Frequencies (HF): 0.15–0.5 Hz. VLF, LF and HF were calculated as a percentage of the sum of VLF+LF+HF.

228 2.9.2. HF time series

The 2 Hz detrended IBI time series was analyzed using a continuous wavelet transform. Following the method reported in the literature, a Morse wavelet with symmetry parameter equal to three, and timebandwidth product equal to 60 were used. HF power was extracted as a percentage of the sum of VLF+LF+HF, after exclusion of the cone of influence. The previously-described nonlinear algorithms were applied, and Poincaré indices, the HE, and the largest LE were calculated as described earlier. These nonlinear indexes of HF time series have previously been described (Hoshi et al., 2013; Yeragani et al., 2002).

236 2.9.2.1. N

2.9.2.1. Nonlinear analysis of HF time series

237 Poincaré indices SD1 and SD2, the HE, and the LE of the HF time series were calculated.

238

Poincaré indices

Nonlinear Poincaré indices SD1 and SD2 describe the variability of the Poincaré plot, and were calculated from RR intervals. SD1 and SD2 provide an estimate of the dispersion of points perpendicularly, and along the line of identity, respectively. They therefore represent short- and long-term variability in the analyzed signals, respectively.

243 The Hurst exponent

The HE evaluates the long-term memory of a process (Tarnopolski, 2018). Its interpretation is a function of its value. A value above ½ suggests a persistent process that has long-term memory and a value below ½ suggests a non-persistent process with a short-term memory (Tarnopolski, 2016). The HE was calculated using a detrended moving mean algorithm, which was chosen because of its simple, closedform processing (Tarnopolski, 2018).

249 The largest Lyapunov exponent

The largest LE (λ) indicates the exponential divergence/ convergence of an initially-considered point in a dynamic system in its phase space, within a time limit of infinity (i.e., the degree of sensitivity to initial conditions) (Tarnopolski, 2018). Considering two points close to the phase plane at times *t*=0 and *t*=t, and the distances between these points in the *i*th direction, the LE is estimated as follows:

254
$$\lambda_{i} = \lim_{t \to -} \frac{1}{t} \log_{2} \frac{|I\delta x_{i}(0)|}{|I\delta x_{i}(t)|}$$

where $\|\delta x_i(0)\|$ and $\|\delta x_i(t)\|$ are the Euclidean distances between the two points in the *i*th direction at times *t*=0 and t, respectively (Pilant, 2020; Tarnopolski, 2018). The limit t $\rightarrow \infty$ is replaced by t that is sufficiently large, leading to the finite time LE (Pilant, 2020; Roth, 2009).

LE values above 0 are associated with chaos, and correspond to a deviation that grows exponentially as the number of iterations increases. Values equal to 0 are associated with a periodic or quasiperiodic signal, and indicate that deviation from the orbit remains steady regardless of the number of iterations (Dämmig & Mitschke, 1993). Among the numerous algorithms used to estimate LE, we selected Pilant's algorithm because of its simplicity and the closed form processing (Pilant, 2020). Non-MATLAB users can download and read the algorithm using a standard text editor.

264 2.9.3. <u>EDA time series</u>

265 Poincaré indices, the HE, and the LE were calculated as described earlier for tonic EDA time series.

266

2.10. Correlation analysis

Sampling frequencies for the two signals were aligned. The 2 Hz detrended IBI time series was filtered 267 below 0.04 Hz in order to remove low components insufficiently present in 60 s window. The last time 268 269 series were then normalized as z-scores. A cross-correlation analysis between the IBI time series and the 270 tonic EDA time series was performed for each subject using moving windows according to Golland et al. 271 (Golland et al., 2014). Briefly, the analysis is based on short (t=60 s) overlapping (Δt =30 s) time 272 segments. For each segment, and for each individual the maximum correlation (within \pm 5-s lags) was 273 identified between the two series. In each case, a nonparametric bootstrapping procedure with surrogate 274 data allowed us to control the statistical significance of the result. Surrogate data were obtained by 275 randomizing segments in the time series. This procedure was repeated 1000 times. As described in 276 previous work (Golland et al., 2014), the statistical likelihood of a cross-correlation in each time window 277 was assessed nonparametrically using the Wilcoxon rank sum test against synthetic control data. Obtained p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure 278 279 (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995).

280 2.11.

281

Clustering

2.11.1. Evaluation of the optimal number of clusters

Clusters of subjects with the same emotional response were identified from cross-correlations between the RR interval and the tonic EDA signal observed during the eight, intensely emotional 60 s windows of the movie (4–5, 6–7, 8–9, 10–11, 12–13, 17–18, 19–20, and 21–22 min). Values were calculated for each individual. The clustering of subjects was performed on these last 8 variables by using the Calinski– Harabasz algorithm for Gaussian model mixture distribution as available in MATLAB software. The Calinski–Harabasz non-supervised cluster solution algorithm was chosen as it uses clustering criteria based on the ratio of variances to provide a robust heuristic index (Andrade et al., 2020). Thus, a welldefined cluster has a large between-cluster variance and a small within-cluster variance. The optimal number of clusters is chosen according to a criterion based on these parameters. One to six cluster solutions were tested. The maximum number of iterations to reach convergence was set at 1000, and a diagonal covariance matrix was used. Solutions with the best fit were considered optimal. This solution without *a priori* has retrieved an optimal number of 2 clusters.

294 2.11.2. <u>Cluster membership</u>

295 Membership of one of the two clusters was determined from the eight cross-correlation values that determined the dynamics of each individual's responses using a Gaussian Mixture Model. This method 296 297 was selected to study interindividual variability as, by definition, the latter follows a Gaussian 298 distribution. MATLAB's *cluster* algorithm was used, with the maximum number of iterations to reach 299 convergence set at 1000. Here again, a diagonal covariance matrix was used. The Gaussian model assigns 300 query data points to the multivariate normal components that maximize the component posterior 301 probability, given the data. The method established the following cluster membership: cluster 1 (n=30) 302 and cluster 2 (n=32).

303 **2.12.** Machine learning

The ability of baseline parameters to predict the distribution of subjects within clusters was trained and cross-validated using a linear kernel SVM model. The linear kernel is widely used due to its robustness. To further increase robustness, a classical cross-validated model was used. First, data were randomly partitioned into 10 sets. Then, for each set, the algorithm reserved the set as validation data, and trained the model on the other nine sets. The out-of-sample misclassification rate was used to assess performance.

309 **2.13.** Statistical analysis

310 Statistical analyses were performed with MATLAB, Cohen's effect sizes were calculated with G*Power. 311 One-way factorial analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were performed to compare means of the two clusters. 312 As the duration of baseline, EC, and recovery phases were different, repeated measures ANOVAs could 313 not be used to compare HRV results because most parameters are a function of the sample size. Other, 314 time-insensitive parameters were analyzed with repeated measures ANOVAs for Time and Group main 315 effects, and their interaction. Time effects identified changes in measures between baseline, EC, and 316 recovery. Group effects identified differences between clusters 1 and 2. Group×Time effects reflect the 317 combined effects of Time and Group. When the ANOVA revealed a significant effect, partial eta squared 318 and Cohen's f effect size were calculated to estimate its size. According to the work of Schäfer and 319 Schwarz applied to psychology domain, we considerated that an effect size of 0.2 as small, higher than 320 0.4 as medium and higher than 0.6 as large (Schafer & Schwarz, 2019). Partial eta squared of 0.01 indicates a small effect, of 0.06 a medium effect and 0.14 a large effect. Clusters were characterized by 321 322 the mean value of the cross-correlation during each window. This was linked to other psychological data 323 using Pearson correlations for each cluster.

- For categorical variables, Chi-Square independence tests were used. When significant, a Cohen's w effect size was calculated. According to basic rules for Cohen's w, a w of 0.10 indicates a small effect, of 0.30 a medium effect and 0.50 a large effect (Cohen, 1988).
- The predictive power of each variable was assessed with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The cut-off was associated with an area under the curve (AUC) above 0.8. Significance was set at p < 0.05. As for cross-correlation, *p*-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the FDR procedure (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

331 3. Results

332 **3.1. Study I: Validation of the EC**

As they watched the video, subjects evaluated real-time emotional intensity (Figure 2). Our result highlighted a progressive increase in global intensity as a function of time, along with a few bursts. As cross-correlations between EDA and the RR interval have previously only been observed during emotional bursts (Golland et al., 2014; Hsieh et al., 2011), this result confirmed that the EC was an efficient way to study cross-correlations between the two branches of the ANS.



338

Figure 2: Emotional intensity as a function of time. Emotional intensity was expressed as a percentage
 of individual feeling measured using a potentiometer (see Materials and Methods). The blue line
 represents the mean for all subjects. Black bars represent the 95% confidence interval.

342

3.2. Study II: Evaluation of the autonomic dynamic during the EC

343 3.2.1. <u>Physiological identification of emotional bursts during the EC</u>

In order to align the self-reported emotion scores obtained in Study I (Figure 2) with cross-correlation results, we calculated mean self-reported emotion intensity for each 60 s window (Figure 3.A). This identified eight windows with peak emotional intensity (4–5, 6–7, 8–9, 10–11, 12–13, 17–18, 19–20, and 347 21–22 min; Figure 3.A). Significant negative cross-correlations between the RR interval and tonic EDA 348 (i.e., low RR and high EDA) were only observed in two windows: 12–13 min (r=–0.36 ± 0.05, p <0.05); 349 and 12 min 30 s to 13 min 30 s (r=–0.35 ± 0.06, p <0.05; Figure 3.B).

350 As our earlier work had identified that significant cross-correlations are only observed during emotional 351 events (Golland et al., 2014), we limited the search for interindividual variability in cross-correlations between the RR interval and tonic EDA to these eight bursts. An automated analysis of the optimal 352 number of clusters for these eight cross-correlations identified two: cluster 1 (n=30); and cluster 2 (n=32). 353 354 Significant cross-correlations were found among individuals in cluster 1 during the EC, but not cluster 2 355 (Figure 3.C). Therefore, cluster 1 was labelled the "significant correlation cluster" (SCC), and cluster 2 the "non-significant correlation cluster" (NCC). Finally, no significant cross-correlation was observed for 356 357 either cluster at baseline or recovery (Supplemental Figures 1A to D).







Figure 3: Cross-correlations between tonic EDA and the RR interval during the EC.



364 (q < 0.05). A: Mean emotional intensity as a percentage for overlapping windows (Study I). B: Cross-365 correlation coefficients (r) for overlapped windows (window size = 60 s, with an overlap of 50% between 366 two windows) for all subjects in Study II. C: Cross-correlation coefficients (r) for overlapped windows 367 (window size = 60 s, with an overlap of 50% between two windows) for each cluster (Study II).

368 3.2.2. <u>Physiological characterization of clusters</u>

No difference was observed between clusters at baseline or recovery. However, Table 1 shows that during the EC the SCC group was characterized by a less chaotic distribution (LE) of tonic EDA (F(1,60)=9.5, FDR-corrected *p*<0.05, observed power=0.86, partial eta-squared=0.14, Cohen's f=0.53). Results for all tested physiological variables are reported in Supplementary Table 2.

Table 1: Physiological results as a function of cluster and measurement time. Results are expressed as mean (\pm SEM). As the three measurement periods (baseline, EC, and recovery) are not of the same duration, a repeated measures ANOVA cannot be used. Only significant results after FDR correction (q < 0.05) for the one-way ANOVA for each period are shown.

		E	Baseline				EC			R	lecovery	
	Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non- significant correlation cluster (NCC)	p-Value corrected by FDR of 1-way ANOVA	Observed power	Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non- significant correlation cluster (NCC)	p-Value corrected by FDR of 1-way ANOVA	Observed power	Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non- significant correlation cluster (NCC)	p-Value corrected by FDR of 1-way ANOVA	Observed power
						EDA signa	al					
LE tonic EDA	1.34 (± 0.07)	1.28 (± 0.07)	F(1,60)=0.32; p=0.80	0.09	1.78 (± 0.04)	1.51 (± 0.08)	F(1,60)=9.5; p=0.045 partial eta-squared=0.14 Cohen's f=0.53	0.86	1.41 (± 0.05)	1.33 (± 0.07)	F(1,60)=0.77; p=0.71	0.14

378 3.2.3. <u>Psychological characterization of clusters</u>

377

379	Psychological characterization consisted of reported emotional intensity at different times of the
380	procedure, and an initial psychopathological self-report questionnaire. Table 2 shows AS scores, and
381	highlights that the NCC group perceived the EC less negatively than the SCC group $(F(1,60) = 11.50,$
382	FDR-corrected $p < 0.01$, observed power=0.92, partial eta-squared=0.16, Cohen's f=0.63).

Table 2: Reported AS as a function of cluster. *p*-values are calculated with repeated measure ANOVAs of Valence and Arousal, and a one-way ANOVA with FDR correction (q < 0.05) for the overall evaluation of emotion. Results are expressed as mean (± SEM).

		Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non-significant correlation cluster (NCC)	Statistics
	Before baseline	19.40 (± 2.16)	18.44 (± 2.97)	Depented ANOVA:
Valanca	After baseline	12.53 (± 2.37)	17.84 (± 2.60)	Repeated ANOVA.
valence	After video	-4.7 (± 3.66)	0.75 (± 3.31)	recurs offect F(1.60)=1.3E; p=0.3E; elserved power=0.25
	After recovery	5.63 (± 1.98)	9 (± 2.63)	group effect F(1,60)=1.35; p=0.25; observed power=0.21
	Before baseline	-6.87 (± 2.93)	0.88 (± 3.31)	Dependent ANOVA:
A	After baseline	-20.83 (± 3.25)	-20.91 (± 3.68)	Repeated ANOVA.
Arousai	After video	18.97 (± 3.11)	13.97 (± 3.75)	Interaction F(3,180)=1.61; p=0.19; observed power=0.42
	After recovery	-9.37(± 3.48)	-13.13 (± 4.75)	group effect F(1,60)=0.01; p=0.95; observed power=0.05
	Unpleasant video feeling	10.43 (± 4.18)	4.53 (± 3.94)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=1.06; p corrected=0.31; observed power=0.17
Final	Scary video feeling	18.7 (± 2.98)	9.47 (± 3.78)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=3.62; p corrected=0.09; observed power=0.46
evaidation	Negative video feeling	15.8 (± 3.23)	-3.06 (± 4.46)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=11.50; p corrected=0.003; observed power=0.92
				partial eta-squared=0.16; Cohen's f=0.63

386

- Table 3 highlights that the NCC group scored higher on the CES-D scale than the SCC group, indicating
 more depressive symptoms.
- 389 **Table 3: Psychological indicators as a function of cluster.** *p*-values were calculated using a one-way
- 390 ANOVA. Results are expressed as mean (±SEM).

	Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non-significant correlation cluster (NCC)	Statistics			
STAI-Trait	44 87 (+1 86)	49 17 (+1 75)	1-way ANOVA:			
	1107 (21100)		F(1,60)=2.82; p corrected=0.25; observed power=0.38			
			1-way ANOVA:			
CES-D	15.7 (±1.73)	22.72 (±2.1)	F(1,60)=6.53; p corrected=0.05; observed power=0.71;			
			1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=6.53; p corrected=0.05; observed power=0.71; partial eta-squared=0.10; Cohen's f=0.41 1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=1.33; p corrected=0.31; observed power=0.21			
TAC 20. difficultion in identifying factions	16 27 (11 05)	10.00 (11.07)	1-way ANOVA:			
TAS-20: difficulties in identifying feelings	16.37 (±1.05)	18.28 (±1.27)	F(1,60)=1.33; p corrected=0.31; observed power=0.21			
TAC 20. difficulties in describing feelings	12 47 (10 02)	12.04 (10.02)	1-way ANOVA:			
TAS-20: difficulties in describing feelings	13.47 (±0.93)	13.94 (±0.93)	F(1,60)=0.13; p corrected=0.72; observed power=0.06			
TAC 20, the uphts ariented to used subsymptotic	10.0 (10.70)	15 47 (10.00)	1-way ANOVA:			
TAS-20: thoughts oriented toward external reality	10.8 (±0.76)	15.47 (±0.66)	F(1,60)=1.76; p corrected=0.31; observed power=0.25			

391

392 3.2.4. <u>Health behavior in clusters</u>

While no difference was found between the two clusters with respect to sociodemographic characteristics,
health behaviors did differ (Table 4). Specifically, those in the NCC cluster were more likely to smoke
than those in the SCC cluster.

Table 4: Demographics and health behavior as a function of cluster. *p*-values were calculated using a

one-way ANOVA or χ^2 test. Results are expressed as mean (± SI

	Significant correlation cluster (SCC)	Non-significant correlation cluster (NCC)	Statistics
Age (year)	20.73 (±1.08)	20.34 (±0.51)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=0.11; p corrected =0.97; observed power=0.06
Height (cm)	166.37 (±1.13)	166.38 (±1.35)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=0.00; p corrected =1; observed power=0.05
Weight (kg)	62.57(±2.32)	63.06 (±3.41)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=0.01; p corrected =0.98; observed power=0.05
Gender	3 men / 27 women (10%)	4 men / 28 women (12.5%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.97; power (1- β)=0.06
Smoking	2 yes / 28 no (6.7%)	13 yes / 19 no (40.6%)	χ ² p corrected =0.03; power (1-β)=0.88; Cohen's w=0.55
Coffee or energy drink use	8 yes/ 22 no (26.7%)	14 yes / 17 no (45.2%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.46; power (1- β)=0.32
Drug use	6 yes / 24 no (20%)	8 yes / 24 no (25%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.97; power (1- β)=0.08
Regular sports	20 yes / 10 no (66.7%)	17 yes / 15 no (53.1%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.56; power (1- β)=0.19
Slept well the previous night	21 yes / 9 no (70%)	25 yes / 5 no (83.3%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.51; power (1- β)=0.23
Bedtime	11:55 p.m. (±19 min)	11:49 p.m. (±30 min)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=0.02; p corrected =0.98; observed power=0.05
Waking hour	7:03 a.m. (±12 min)	7:49 a.m. (±19 min)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=4.11; p corrected =0.22; observed power=0.51
Sleep duration (seconds)	25738 (±1575.2)	28800 (±1671.6)	1-way ANOVA: F(1,60)=1.77; p corrected =0.51; observed power=0.26
Sleepy today	12 yes / 18 no (40%)	15 yes / 17 no (46.9%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.97; power (1-β)=0.08
Concentration difficulties	5 yes / 25 no (16.7%)	15 yes / 17 no (46.9%)	χ^2 p corrected =0.07; power (1- β)=0.72

398

399

3.2.5. Functional patterns in clusters

To study each cluster individually, we summarized cross-correlation dynamics as the mean for each emotional burst for each participant (a cross-correlation reduction). This identified that (negative) crosscorrelation values were higher among the SCC cluster ($r=-0.37\pm0.03$) than in the NCC group ($r=-0.07\pm0.03$; F (1,60)=69.89, p<0.001, partial eta-squared=0.54, observed power=1.00, Cohen's f=0.85). This variable can be used to study functional correlates in each cluster by testing Pearson correlations between it and psychological variables or subjective emotion. In the following, only significant results are reported.

In the NCC cluster, no correlation was found between mean cross-correlations during emotional bursts and subjective emotion or psychological variables. However, in the SCC cluster, they were correlated with subjective valence after video recording (r=0.43, corrected p<0.05), unpleasant emotions (r =-0.49, corrected p<0.01), and feeling scared (r=-0.45, corrected p<0.05). This finding indicates that the stronger 411 the negative cross-correlation between the RR interval and EDA while watching the movie, the greater412 the perception of unpleasantness and feeling scared.

413 3.2.6. Predicting clusters from baseline data with machine learning

414 First, ROC curves were used to evaluate the predictive power of each baseline variable individually. The 415 prediction of clusters using physiological variables or a single factor (identified by factor analysis) 416 yielded AUCs no higher than 0.63. These values are considered poor, as good predictive power is 417 associated with an AUC above 0.8. Then, a machine learning model was used to predict the distribution 418 of subjects within the two clusters using baseline physiological variables. The machine learning algorithm 419 automatically chose variables with the most predictive power. The search for new variables ended when no additional variable increased the predictive power of the model (the list of variables is given in 420 421 Supplementary Table 2).

The cross-validated SVM model resulted in a prediction of 74.19% using only three baseline physiological variables: the HE of tonic EDA; the percentage of HF; and the LE of HF. Two nonlinear variables were automatically chosen by the model, suggesting that the nonlinear characteristics of both EDA and HF signals are important baseline characteristics in predicting cross-correlation ANS functioning during the EC. However, and interestingly, no difference between clusters was observed at baseline for these variables when they were considered independently (Supplementary Table 2).

428 **4. Discussion**

The goal of this study was to identify the dynamical coevolution of SNS and PNS during an EC and to describe clusters interindividual variability based on dynamical cross-correlations between EDA and RR intervals. Our study addresses two challenges. First, it is not possible to assess both moment-to-moment emotional state, and the true emotional level when attention is focused on the emotional event (Park & 433 Thayer, 2014; Park et al., 2013). Second, the self-assessment of emotion can itself be considered as a 434 cognitive regulation task that may reduce intensity. Thus, we ran two studies, one to assess subjective 435 emotion (Study I), and the second to record objective emotion (Study II). Our results highlight cross-436 correlations between tonic EDA and RR signals, and the existence of two profiles linking the two 437 branches of the ANS during an EC. The first is characterized by sympathetic activation coupled with 438 parasympathetic deactivation that marks the most emotionally-intense moments. The second is 439 characterized by a lack of functional coupling within the ANS, associated with low emotional feeling 440 during the EC and marked depressive symptomatology. Moreover, an exploratory machine learning 441 analysis allowed us to categorize and predict these two clusters based on ANS measurements taken at 442 rest.

443 Our study supports previous findings (Golland et al., 2014), which show that emotional arousal is 444 associated with cross-correlations between HR and EDA signals. In the present study, a decrease in the 445 RR interval duration was associated with a fast, transient disequilibrium between sympathetic and 446 parasympathetic systems that favored the sympathetic system during an EC. The cross-correlation 447 between these two variables underlies the functional coordination (or coupling) of the two branches of the 448 ANS.

449

4.1. Interindividual variability in dynamic emotional reactions

450 At the same time, our study goes further, and demonstrates the existence of two clusters of cross-451 correlations using a data-driven approach. These interindividual differences have not been reported 452 previously, probably because individuals with no significant cross-correlations were excluded in earlier 453 studies, or were masked in a group analysis (Golland et al., 2014).

454 Specifically, the existence of two clusters suggests that there is a difference in ANS flexibility with 455 respect to the interplay between its two branches (Young & Benton, 2015). Interindividual variability 456 underlying variation in flexibility has already been identified using a clustering *p*-technique applied to 457 cardiovascular activity (Friedman & Santucci, 2003). The two autonomic branches of the ANS are
458 mutually inhibiting and globally antagonistic (Burnstock, 2008), and this can occur at different levels of
459 regulation, highlighting the global flexibility of brain function (Bornemann et al., 2019; Ondicova &
460 Mravec, 2010; Young & Benton, 2015).

461 In the present study, the SCC cluster was characterized by greater chaos (higher LE) in tonic EDA 462 compared to the NCC group, suggesting that the sympathetic nervous system has a more complex 463 regulatory network (Lajoie et al., 2014) and is more sensitive to initial recording conditions. Hence, this 464 higher degree of chaos is consistent with a higher level of regulation. As tonic EDA is under the influence 465 of both the ventromedial prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortices, one or both could account for this finding. 466 Medial orbitofrontal cortex activity, which is involved in subjective emotional experience, is lower in 467 depressive patients, while activity in lateral orbitofrontal and ventromedial cortices is increased (Koenigs 468 & Grafman, 2009; Rolls, 2019). These changes in the neural network could account for our observed 469 changes in tonic EDA. Functional neuroimaging studies would supplement our initial cluster 470 characterization and clarify the role of the cortices.

From a psychological point of view, those in the SCC cluster reported fewer depressive symptoms, suggesting good mental health. Furthermore, we observed an association between mean cross-correlations and emotional valence after the EC, notably with respect to unpleasant and scary variables, indicating congruency between the physiological reaction and emotional feeling as the movie was watched. These observations are in line with Thayer and Lane who reported that individuals with high resting HRV produce more context-appropriate emotional responses (Thayer & Lane, 2009). Furthermore, reduced HRV and flexibility have been associated with depression (Sgoifo et al., 2015).

In contrast, members of the NCC cluster reported higher levels of depressive symptoms (on the CES-D).
Depressive symptoms difference between SCC and NCC was characterized by a medium effect size,
nevertheless has to be considered since the mean level of NCC almost reached the threshold for

depression (Morin et al., 2011). This depressive dimension is consistent with their propensity to smoke (Fluharty et al., 2017), and a lack of autonomic flexibility reflected in an aberrant vagal response under challenge (Agorastos et al., 2020). Depressive symptoms have long been associated with undifferentiated negative emotions (Willroth et al., 2020). Overall, unlike the SCC cluster, we did not observe congruency between the autonomic dynamic and emotion.

486

4.2.Predicting emotional reactions

487 Predicting cluster membership on the basis of emotional regulation is not possible with a single variable. 488 Classic one-dimension methods using ROC curves are insufficient. Thus, we used a machine learning 489 technique to aggregate the pertinent dimensions. This revealed that three physiological baseline variables 490 (including nonlinear dimensions of both EDA and HF time series) were able to predict up to 74% of high-491 and low-degree cross-correlation clustering and, therefore, the quality of emotional regulation under EC 492 conditions. This prediction level is very close to the 80% threshold indicating good prediction ability. The 493 fact that only three variables were used suggests good reproducibility. Moreover, these three variables 494 appear to be important baseline predictors of the dynamics of parasympathetic activity (through the HF 495 value), sympathetic persistence (through the HE of the tonic EDA), defined as the ability of the 496 sympathetic system to maintain the same long-term kinetic, and parasympathetic determinism (through 497 the LE of the HF), defined as the ability of the parasympathetic system to be exponentially disturbed.

While none of these baseline variables considered individually can identify the two clusters, their combination can. It should be noted that they reflect both sympathetic and parasympathetic markers, which is consistent with the idea of a co-dynamic ANS response to an EC.

4.3. Limitations

502 Our machine learning model is deliberately simplified to ensure its robustness and make it possible to 503 draw conclusions. A better prediction level could be obtained with more a complex separation plan which 504 could be justified in context of the use of nonlinear variables. However, any generalization would require 505 a larger cohort to be valid. Second, this exploration of the characteristics of interindividual variability is a 506 pilot study and although promising, our results must be confirmed by further work. Another study of 507 interindividual variability based specifically on cardiovascular responsivity has identified a greater number of clusters (four or five) in the context of three other laboratory stressors, suggesting that the 508 509 clustering solution might differ as a function of the stressor (Allen et al., 1991). Finally, our study is 510 limited by the fact that the majority of participants were young female students. It is possible that anxiodepressive factors are more frequent in this group than in the general population (Dahlin et al., 2005). 511

4.4. Conclusions

513 Despite the limitations noted above, our results are a promising step forward in the study of the 514 psychophysiological processes that are involved in various chronic pathologies that affect both mental 515 and somatic health.

516 **5. References**

- Agorastos, A., Stiedl, O., Heinig, A., Sommer, A., Hager, T., Freundlieb, N., Schruers, K. R., 517 Demiralay, C., 2020. Inverse autonomic stress reactivity in depressed patients with and 518 519 prior history of depression. J. Psychiatr. without Res. 131. 114-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.09.016. 520
- Allen, M. T., Boquet, A. J., Jr., Shelley, K. S., 1991. Cluster analyses of cardiovascular responsivity to three laboratory stressors. Psychosom. Med. 53(3), 272-288.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199105000-00002.
- Andrade, D., Takeda, A., Fukumizu, K., 2020. Robust Bayesian model selection for variable
 clustering with the Gaussian graphical model. Statistics and Computing 30(2), 351-376.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-019-09879-9.
- Andrew, M. E., Violanti, J. M., Gu, J. K., Fekedulegn, D., Li, S., Hartley, T. A., Charles, L. E.,
 Mnatsakanova, A., Miller, D. B., Burchfiel, C. M., 2017. Police work stressors and
 cardiac vagal control. Am. J. Hum. Biol. 29(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.22996.
- Basar, E., Guntekin, B., 2007. A breakthrough in neuroscience needs a "Nebulous Cartesian
 System" Oscillations, quantum dynamics and chaos in the brain and vegetative system.
 Int. J. Psychophysiol. 64(1), 108-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2006.07.012.

- Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
 Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B:
 Methodological 57, 289-300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101.
- 536Betella, A., Verschure, P. F., 2016. The Affective Slider: A Digital Self-Assessment Scale for the537Measurement of Human Emotions. PLoS ONE 11(2), e0148037.538https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148037.
- 539 BiostaTGV. Retrieved from http://biostatgv.sentiweb.fr/

561 562

563

564

- 540 Boissy, A., 1995. Fear and fearfulness in animals. Q. Rev. Biol. 70(2), 165-191. 541 https://doi.org/10.1086/418981.
- Bornemann, B., Kovacs, P., Singer, T., 2019. Voluntary upregulation of heart rate variability
 through biofeedback is improved by mental contemplative training. Sci. Rep. 9(1), 7860.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44201-7.
- 545 Box, G. E. P., Jenkins, G. M., Reinsel, G. C., Ljung, G. M., 2016. Time series analysis :
 546 forecasting and control (Fifth edition / ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New
 547 Jersey.
- Burnstock, G., 2008. Unresolved issues and controversies in purinergic signalling. J. Physiol.
 586(14), 3307-3312. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2008.155903.
- Charkoudian, N., Wallin, B. G., 2014. Sympathetic neural activity to the cardiovascular system:
 integrator of systemic physiology and interindividual characteristics. Compr. Physiol.
 4(2), 825-850. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c130038.
- Cohen, J., 1988. Statistical Power Analysis for the Social Sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum
 Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Dahlin, M., Joneborg, N., Runeson, B., 2005. Stress and depression among medical students: a
 cross-sectional study. Med. Educ. 39(6), 594-604. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 2929.2005.02176.x.
- Dämmig, M., Mitschke, F., 1993. Estimation of Lyapunov exponents from time series: the
 stochastic case. Physics Letters A 178(5), 385-394. https://doi.org/10.1016/03759601(93)90865-W.
 - De Vito, G., Galloway, S. D., Nimmo, M. A., Maas, P., McMurray, J. J., 2002. Effects of central sympathetic inhibition on heart rate variability during steady-state exercise in healthy humans. Clin. Physiol. Funct. Imaging 22(1), 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1475-097x.2002.00395.x.
- Fan, T., Fang, S. C., Cavallari, J. M., Barnett, I. J., Wang, Z., Su, L., Byun, H. M., Lin, X., 565 Baccarelli, A. A., Christiani, D. C., 2014. Heart rate variability and DNA methylation 566 levels are altered after short-term metal fume exposure among occupational welders: a 567 repeated-measures panel BMC Public Health 14, 568 study. 1279. 569 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1279.
- Fluharty, M., Taylor, A. E., Grabski, M., Munafo, M. R., 2017. The Association of Cigarette
 Smoking With Depression and Anxiety: A Systematic Review. Nicotine Tob. Res. 19(1),
 3-13. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntw140.
- 573 Friedman, B. H., Santucci, A. K., 2003. Idiodynamic profiles of cardiovascular activity: a P574 technique approach. Integr. Physiol. Behav. Sci. 38(4), 295-315.
 575 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02688859.
- Fuhrer, F., Rouillon, F., 1989. The French version of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic
 Studies-Depression Scale)]. Psychiatrie & Psychobiologie 4(3), 163-166.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0767399X00001590.

- Golland, Y., Keissar, K., Levit-Binnun, N., 2014. Studying the dynamics of autonomic activity
 during emotional experience. Psychophysiology 51(11), 1101-1111.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12261.
- 582 Greco, A., Valenza, G., Lanata, A., Scilingo, E. P., Citi, L., 2016. cvxEDA: A Convex
 583 Optimization Approach to Electrodermal Activity Processing. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.
 584 63(4), 797-804. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2015.2474131.
- Hoehn-Saric, R., McLeod, D. R., 2000. Anxiety and arousal: physiological changes and their
 perception. J. Affect. Disord. 61(3), 217-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/s01650327(00)00339-6.
- Hoshi, R. A., Pastre, C. M., Vanderlei, L. C., Godoy, M. F., 2013. Poincare plot indexes of heart rate variability: relationships with other nonlinear variables. Auton. Neurosci. 177(2), 271-274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2013.05.004.
- Hot, P., Leconte, P., Sequeira, H., 2005. Diurnal autonomic variations and emotional reactivity.
 Biol. Psychol. 69(3), 261-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2004.08.005.
- 593 Hsieh, F., Ferrer, E., Chen, S., Mauss, I. B., John, O., Gross, J. J., 2011. A Network Approach 594 for Evaluating Coherence in Multivariate Systems: An Application to 595 Psychophysiological Psychometrika 76(1), Emotion Data. 124-152. 596 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-010-9194-0.
- Huang, J. H., Chang, H. A., Fang, W. H., Ho, P. S., Liu, Y. P., Wan, F. J., Tzeng, N. S., Shyu, J.
 F., Chang, C. C., 2018. Serotonin receptor 1A promoter polymorphism, rs6295, modulates human anxiety levels via altering parasympathetic nervous activity. Acta
 Psychiatr. Scand. 137(3), 263-272. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12853.
- Janig, W., Habler, H. J., 2000. Specificity in the organization of the autonomic nervous system: a
 basis for precise neural regulation of homeostatic and protective body functions. Prog.
 Brain Res. 122, 351-367. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0079-6123(08)62150-0.
- 604Kettunen, J., Keltikangas-Jarvinen, L., 2001. Intraindividual analysis of instantaneous heart rate605variability. Psychophysiology 38(4), 659-668. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-6068986.3840659.
- Kirstein, S. L., Insel, P. A., 2004. Autonomic nervous system pharmacogenomics: a progress
 report. Pharmacol. Rev. 56(1), 31-52. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.56.1.2.
- Koenigs, M., Grafman, J., 2009. The functional neuroanatomy of depression: distinct roles for
 ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Behav. Brain Res. 201(2), 239-243.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.03.004.
- Koschke, M., Boettger, M. K., Schulz, S., Berger, S., Terhaar, J., Voss, A., Yeragani, V. K., Bar,
 K. J., 2009. Autonomy of autonomic dysfunction in major depression. Psychosom. Med.
 71(8), 852-860. https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181b8bb7a.
- Kreibig, S. D., 2010. Autonomic nervous system activity in emotion: a review. Biol. Psychol.
 84(3), 394-421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2010.03.010.
- Lajoie, G., Thivierge, J. P., Shea-Brown, E., 2014. Structured chaos shapes spike-response noise
 entropy in balanced neural networks. Front. Comput. Neurosci. 8, 123.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2014.00123.
- Lischke, A., Pahnke, R., Mau-Moeller, A., Behrens, M., Grabe, H. J., Freyberger, H. J., Hamm,
 A. O., Weippert, M., 2018. Inter-individual Differences in Heart Rate Variability Are
 Associated with Inter-individual Differences in Empathy and Alexithymia. Front.
 Psychol. 9, 229. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00229.

- Loas, G., Fremaux, D., Marchand, M. P., 1995. [Factorial structure and internal consistency of the French version of the twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale in a group of 183 healthy probands]. Encephale 21(2), 117-122.
- McCraty, R., Atkinson, M., Tiller, W. A., Rein, G., Watkins, A. D., 1995. The effects of
 emotions on short-term power spectrum analysis of heart rate variability. Am. J. Cardiol.
 76(14), 1089-1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9149(99)80309-9.
- Morin, A. J., Moullec, G., Maiano, C., Layet, L., Just, J. L., Ninot, G., 2011. Psychometric
 properties of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in French
 clinical and nonclinical adults. Rev. Epidemiol. Sante Publique 59(5), 327-340.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respe.2011.03.061.
- Mourot, L., Bouhaddi, M., Perrey, S., Cappelle, S., Henriet, M. T., Wolf, J. P., Rouillon, J. D., 634 Regnard, J., 2004. Decrease in heart rate variability with overtraining: assessment by the 635 636 Poincare plot analysis. Clin. Physiol. Funct. Imaging 24(1),10-18. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1475-0961.2003.00523.x. 637
- Muhtadie, L., Koslov, K., Akinola, M., Mendes, W. B., 2015. Vagal flexibility: A physiological
 predictor of social sensitivity. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 109(1), 106-120.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000016.
- Nagai, Y., Critchley, H. D., Featherstone, E., Trimble, M. R., Dolan, R. J., 2004. Activity in ventromedial prefrontal cortex covaries with sympathetic skin conductance level: a physiological account of a "default mode" of brain function. NeuroImage 22(1), 243-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.01.019.
- Ondicova, K., Mravec, B., 2010. Multilevel interactions between the sympathetic and
 parasympathetic nervous systems: a minireview. Endocr. Regul. 44(2), 69-75.
 https://doi.org/10.4149/endo_2010_02_69.
- Ozawa, S., Kanayama, N., Hiraki, K., 2019. Emotion-related cerebral blood flow changes in the
 ventral medial prefrontal cortex: An NIRS study. Brain. Cogn. 134, 21-28.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2019.05.001.
- Park, G., Thayer, J. F., 2014. From the heart to the mind: cardiac vagal tone modulates top-down and bottom-up visual perception and attention to emotional stimuli. Front. Psychol. 5, 278. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00278.
- Park, G., Van Bavel, J. J., Vasey, M. W., Thayer, J. F., 2013. Cardiac vagal tone predicts
 attentional engagement to and disengagement from fearful faces. Emotion 13(4), 645656. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032971.
- 657 Pilant, M., 2020. http://www.math.tamu.edu/~Michael.Pilant/math442/Matlab/lyapunov.m.
- Reiter, R. J., Rosales-Corral, S., Sharma, R., 2020. Circadian disruption, melatonin rhythm
 perturbations and their contributions to chaotic physiology. Adv. Med. Sci. 65(2), 394402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advms.2020.07.001.
- 661Rolls, E. T., 2019. The orbitofrontal cortex and emotion in health and disease, including662depression.Neuropsychologia128,14-43.663https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.09.021.
- 664 Roth, T., 2009. Slow wave sleep: does it matter? J. Clin. Sleep Med. 5(2 Suppl), S4-5. 665 https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.5.2S.S4.
- Schafer, T., Schwarz, M. A., 2019. The Meaningfulness of Effect Sizes in Psychological Research: Differences Between Sub-Disciplines and the Impact of Potential Biases.
 Front. Psychol. 10, 813. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00813.

- Scherer, K. R., 2009. Emotions are emergent processes : They require a dynamic computational
 architecture. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 364(1535), 3459-3474. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0141.
- 672Sgoifo, A., Carnevali, L., Alfonso Mde, L., Amore, M., 2015. Autonomic dysfunction and heart673ratevariabilityindepression.Stress18(3),343-352.674https://doi.org/10.3109/10253890.2015.1045868.
- Spielberger, C. D., 1983. Manual for the State-Trait-Anxiety Inventory: STAI (form Y). Palo
 Alto, CA, USA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Tarnopolski, M., 2016. On the relationship between the Hurst exponent, the ratio of the mean
 square successive difference to the variance, and the number of turning points. Physica
 A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 461, 662-673.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2016.06.004.
- 681Tarnopolski, M., 2018. Correlation between the Hurst exponent and the maximal Lyapunov682exponent: Examining some low-dimensional conservative maps. Physica A: Statistical683Mechanics and its Applications 490, 834-844.684https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.08.159.
- Taylor, P. A., Gohel, S., Di, X., Walter, M., Biswal, B. B., 2012. Functional covariance
 networks: obtaining resting-state networks from intersubject variability. Brain Connect.
 2(4), 203-217. https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2012.0095.
- Thayer, J. F., Lane, R. D., 2009. Claude Bernard and the heart-brain connection: further
 elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 33(2), 8188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004.
- Udupa, K., Sathyaprabha, T. N., Thirthalli, J., Kishore, K. R., Lavekar, G. S., Raju, T. R.,
 Gangadhar, B. N., 2007. Alteration of cardiac autonomic functions in patients with major
 depression: a study using heart rate variability measures. J. Affect. Disord. 100(1-3), 137141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2006.10.007.
- von Holzen, J. J., Capaldo, G., Wilhelm, M., Stute, P., 2016. Impact of endo- and exogenous
 estrogens on heart rate variability in women: a review. Climacteric 19(3), 222-228.
 https://doi.org/10.3109/13697137.2016.1145206.
 - Wan, J. (Writer). (2013). The Conjuring In. North Carolina. USA: New Line Cinema.
- Willroth, E. C., Flett, J. A. M., Mauss, I. B., 2020. Depressive symptoms and deficits in stressreactive negative, positive, and within-emotion-category differentiation: A daily diary
 study. J. Pers. 88(2), 174-184. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12475.
- Yeragani, V. K., Rao, R., Jayaraman, A., Pohl, R., Balon, R., Glitz, D., 2002. Heart rate time
 series: decreased chaos after intravenous lactate and increased non-linearity after
 isoproterenol in normal subjects. Psychiatry Res. 109(1), 81-92.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-1781(01)00355-9.
- Young, H., Benton, D., 2015. We should be using nonlinear indices when relating heart-rate
 dynamics to cognition and mood. Sci. Rep. 5, 16619. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16619.
- 708

698

709 o. Author note	709	6.	Author	notes
---------------------------	-----	----	--------	-------

710 **6.1. Acknowledgements**

The authors thank SCREEN (*service commun de ressources d'expérimentation et d'équipement numérique*) for making their platform (MSH-Alpes Grenoble, Maison des Sciences de l'Homme)
available for this study.

714 **6.2. Funding**

715 Grants from the Délégation Générale à l'Armement (DGA) supported the study.

716 **6.3. Competing interests**

717 The authors declare no competing interests.

The opinions or assertions expressed here are the private views of the authors and are not to be considered as official or as reflecting the views of the French Military Health Service.

720 **6.4. Data and material availability**

All algorithms and the video are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.