Intermittent hypoxia-related alterations in vascular structure and function: a systematic review and metaanalysis of rodent data

Olfa Harki, Quentin Boete, Jean-Louis Pépin, Claire Arnaud, Elise Belaidi, Gilles Faury, Charles Khouri, Anne Briançon-Marjollet

► To cite this version:

Olfa Harki, Quentin Boete, Jean-Louis Pépin, Claire Arnaud, Elise Belaidi, et al.. Intermittent hypoxia-related alterations in vascular structure and function: a systematic review and metaanalysis of rodent data. *European Respiratory Journal*, 2021, 58 (4), pp.2100866. 10.1183/13993003.00866-2021 . hal-03428303

HAL Id: hal-03428303

<https://hal.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/hal-03428303>

Submitted on 15 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Intermittent hypoxia-related alterations in vascular structure and function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of rodent data

Journal:	<i>European Respiratory Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Harki, Olfa; Université Grenoble Alpes, HP2 laboratory, faculty of medicine Boete, Quentin; Université Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire HP2 PEPIN, Jean-Louis; Université Grenoble Alpes, Arnaud, Claire; Université Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire HP2; INSERM U1042, Belaidi, Elise; Université Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire HP2 Faury, Gilles; Université Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire HP2, UGA, Facultés de médecine et de pharmacie Khouri, Charles; Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, Pharmacovigilance; Université Grenoble Alpes, HP2 Briançon-Marjollet, Anne; Université Grenoble Alpes, HP2 Laboratory, faculty of medicine
Key Words:	systematic review, meta-analysis, intermittent hypoxia, arterial pressure, vascular reactivity, vascular remodeling
Abstract:	<p>Obstructive Sleep Apnea and the related intermittent hypoxia (IH) are widely recognized as risk factors for incident cardiovascular diseases. Numerous studies support the deleterious vascular impact of IH in rodents but an overall interpretation is challenging owing to heterogeneity in rodent species investigated and the severity and duration of IH exposure.</p> <p>To clarify this major issue, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to quantify the impact of IH on systemic artery structure and function depending on the different IH exposure designs.</p> <p>We searched PubMed and included 104 articles in a meta-analysis, among them 92 using wild-type rodents and 12 using Apolipoprotein E knock-out mice. We used the standardized mean difference (SMD) to compare results between studies.</p> <p>IH significantly increased mean arterial pressure (+ 13.77 mmHg (95% CI [11.73; 15.82])), systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Meta-regressions showed that hypoxia severity (FiO₂) was associated with mean arterial pressure. IH altered vasodilation in males but not in females, and increased endothelin-1-induced, but not phenylephrine-induced, vasoconstriction. Intima-media thickness significantly increased upon IH exposure (SMD 1.05, CI [0.52; 1.58], absolute values: + 3.23 μm (1.61-5.00)). This increase was observed in mice but not in rats, and</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	<p>was negatively associated with age. Finally IH increased atherosclerotic plaque size in ApoE^{-/-} mice (SMD 1.1, CI [0.82; 1.41]). To conclude, our meta-analysis established that IH, independently of other confounders, has a strong effect on vascular structure and physiology. Our findings support the interest of identifying and treating sleep apnea in routine cardiology practice.</p>

Professor Martin Kolb
Editor-in Chief
European Respiratory Journal

Grenoble, March 22, 2021

Dear Professor Kolb,

We are pleased to submit our manuscript entitled "Intermittent hypoxia-related alterations in vascular structure and function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of rodent data", by O. Harki et al., for your consideration for publication as a review article in the *European Respiratory Journal*.

Intermittent hypoxia is a key feature of Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA), a very common respiratory disease associated with major cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Among OSA complications are hypertension, atherosclerosis, and myocardial infarction. However, clinical studies are often partly flawed by confounders and the role of IH as an independent cardiovascular risk factor is still debated. Numerous studies support the deleterious vascular impact of IH in rodents but an overall interpretation is challenging owing to heterogeneity in the rodent species investigated and the severity and duration of IH exposure. It thus appeared as crucial to establish from robust and consistent experimental data the role of IH in increasing cardiovascular risk so as to better understand its contribution toward cardiovascular diseases.

In this paper, we report a meta-analysis on rodent data to assess the impact of intermittent hypoxia (IH) on vascular structure and function. We included more than 100 studies in which rodents were exposed to IH and various vascular parameters were examined, the main ones being arterial pressure, arterial reactivity to vasodilators or vasoconstrictors, vascular remodeling, and atherosclerosis lesions in ApoE ^{-/-} mice.

In our study, we clearly demonstrate that IH, independently of confounders, has a major impact on systemic artery structure and function. Our findings support the interest of identifying and treating sleep apnea in routine cardiology practice.

Therefore, we think our results could raise much interest in the large community of both clinicians and researchers working in the fields of sleep apnea and of vascular physiopathology. We thus hope that you will consider our manuscript as well suited for publication in *ERJ*.

We suggest the following reviewers for this paper:

Dr Luciano Drager, University of Sao Paulo, luciano.drager@incor.usp.br

Dr Jan Polak, Faculty of Medicine, Prague, jan.polak@lf3.cuni.cz

Pr Ramon Farré, University of Barcelona, rfarre@ub.edu

Pr Frédéric Gagnadoux, University of Angers, frederic.gagnadoux@univ-angers.fr

We confirm that this article is not under consideration for publication in whole or in part elsewhere in any form. All authors have seen and approved submission of the manuscript to *ERJ*.

We look forward to your reply,

Respectfully yours,

Anne Briançon-Marjollet (corresponding author) and co-authors

Intermittent hypoxia-related alterations in vascular structure and function: a systematic review and meta-analysis of rodent data

Olfa Harki¹, Quentin Boete¹, Jean-Louis Pépin¹, Claire Arnaud¹, Elise Belaidi¹, Gilles Faury¹, Charles Khouri^{1,2*}, Anne Briançon-Marjollet^{1*}

¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, INSERM , CHU Grenoble Alpes, HP2, 38000 Grenoble, France

² Pharmacovigilance Unit & Clinical Pharmacology Department, Grenoble Alpes University Hospital, Grenoble, France

*Co-last authors have equally contributed to the work.

Corresponding authors:

Dr Anne Briançon-Marjollet
Laboratoire HP2, INSERM U1300
Bâtiment Jean Roget, Site Santé La Tronche
38042 Grenoble Cedex 9
France
anne.briancon@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
+33 476 63 74 75
Twitter @LaboratoireHP2

Dr Charles Khouri
Centre Régional de Pharmacovigilance, CHU Grenoble Alpes
38043 Grenoble Cedex 09, France
Tel : [+33 4 76 76 51 45](tel:+33476765145)
CKhouri@chu-grenoble.fr

Abbreviated title: Intermittent hypoxia alters vascular structure and function

Key Words: Systematic review, meta-analysis, intermittent hypoxia, arterial pressure, vascular reactivity, vascular remodeling.

Take-home message : Our meta-analysis of rodent studies firmly establishes that intermittent hypoxia, as a model of obstructive sleep apnea, alters vascular pressure, remodeling and reactivity. Severity of IH and rodent characteristics contribute to this impact.

Word count : 4389

Abstract

Obstructive Sleep Apnea and the related intermittent hypoxia (IH) are widely recognized as risk factors for incident cardiovascular diseases. Numerous studies support the deleterious vascular impact of IH in rodents but an overall interpretation is challenging owing to heterogeneity in rodent species investigated and the severity and duration of IH exposure.

To clarify this major issue, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to quantify the impact of IH on systemic artery structure and function depending on the different IH exposure designs.

We searched PubMed and included 104 articles in a meta-analysis, among them 92 using wild-type rodents and 12 using Apolipoprotein E knock-out mice. We used the standardized mean difference (SMD) to compare results between studies.

IH significantly increased mean arterial pressure (+ 13.77 mmHg (95% CI [11.73; 15.82])), systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Meta-regressions showed that hypoxia severity (FiO_2) was associated with mean arterial pressure. IH altered vasodilation in males but not in females, and increased endothelin-1-induced, but not phenylephrine-induced, vasoconstriction. Intima-media thickness significantly increased upon IH exposure (SMD 1.05, CI [0.52; 1.58], absolute values: + 3.23 μm (1.61-5.00)). This increase was observed in mice but not in rats, and was negatively associated with age. Finally IH increased atherosclerotic plaque size in ApoE^{-/-} mice (SMD 1.1, CI [0.82; 1.41]).

To conclude, our meta-analysis established that IH, independently of other confounders, has a strong effect on vascular structure and physiology. Our findings support the interest of identifying and treating sleep apnea in routine cardiology practice.

Introduction

Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome (OSAS) is one of the most frequent chronic diseases, affecting up to nearly one billion individuals worldwide,¹ and is characterized by the repetitive occurrence of apneas and hypopneas during sleep². OSAS is widely recognized as a risk factor for prevalent and incident cardiovascular (CV) diseases including hypertension, atherogenesis, stroke, and myocardial infarction, thus leading to increased morbidity and mortality^{2–4}. Among OSAS pathophysiological mechanisms, intermittent hypoxia (IH) caused by repetitive hypoxia-reoxygenation cycles is thought to be the key intermediary mechanism leading to CV morbidity and mortality. However, clinical studies are frequently partly flawed by confounders and the role of IH as an independent cardiovascular risk factor is still debated. It is crucial to establish from robust and consistent experimental data the role of IH in increasing cardiovascular risk so as to better understand its contribution toward cardiovascular diseases.

Among OSA animal models developed in the last decades⁵, IH exposure in rodents is by far the most commonly used worldwide. Studies on rodents exposed to IH have allowed to dissect the contribution of different pathophysiological pathways and intermediary mechanisms, such as sympathetic nervous system activation, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, or oxidative stress in triggering CV consequences. Many studies using animal models have supported the hypothesis that IH might be responsible for increased arterial blood pressure^{5,6}, structural vascular remodelling^{7–9}, altered vascular reactivity^{10,11}, and atherosclerosis progression^{12–14}. However, some studies showed no effect of IH on vascular parameters (for example^{15–18}) and there was heterogeneity regarding effect size. Inconsistency between studies might be explained by disparity in rodent models and variations in patterns of IH exposure. Indeed, studies included mice or rats, predominantly males, but from different strains, of different ages and weights at baseline, and on different diets (standard versus high fat diet). There were also variations regarding the studied vascular beds, from large elastic to small muscular arteries, which might potentially account for substantial variability. Last but not least, IH patterns differed across studies. Animals were exposed to IH severities ranging from 5 to 10% FiO₂, hypoxic phases varied from 6 to 12 hours per day, and desaturation-reoxygenation sequences lasted from 20 seconds to a few minutes with the total duration of IH exposure ranging from a few days to up to several weeks or months.

With the goal of clarifying and strengthening our knowledge, we carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis addressing the overall impact of IH on vascular parameters, namely blood pressure, vascular remodeling, arterial function and atherosclerotic lesions in systemic arteries. Subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were performed to identify the main factors accounting for

heterogeneity in results, with particular interest in assessing different rodent models and IH cycle characteristics.

Methods

The protocol for the meta-analysis was recorded in the PROSPERO registry under the number CRD42020169940 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospere/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020169940) . Owing to the very large amount of available data, this work focuses on structural and functional vascular outcomes.

Search methods and study selection

We searched MEDLINE for articles published up to January 31st, 2020. The search terms were “Intermittent hypoxia” AND “Rodent” OR “mice” OR “rat” (see PROSPERO record for exact query). We also searched for keywords and MeSH related to each search term. After the initial electronic search, we screened the titles and the abstracts to retrieve relevant articles. Eligibility was considered if they were written in English and addressed vascular outcomes of intermittent hypoxia in rodents. Then, the full manuscripts were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. The final inclusion of a study was made by one author, and independently confirmed by a second author.

We included only controlled studies with a well-established control group i.e. normoxic animals, for adult rodents exposed to chronic intermittent hypoxia. An intermittent hypoxia cycle was defined as the repetitive occurrence of several hypoxia-reoxygenation sequences during the same day. Chronic IH was defined as a repetition of IH cycles over time, for a minimum of one day. All wild-type rodent models (mouse and rat, male and female, young or aged, lean or obese) with exposure to intermittent hypoxia and compared to a normoxic group were included.

The outcomes considered as mandatory for inclusion were variables allowing characterization of vascular structure or function. This included blood pressure (systolic (SBP)/diastolic (DBP)/mean/pulsed), arterial reactivity (vasodilatory response to 10^{-6} M acetylcholine and vasoconstriction responses to 10^{-6} M phenylephrine or 10^{-8} M endothelin-1, both *ex vivo* in cannulated vessels or vessel rings); vascular remodeling (Intima-Media Thickness (IMT), internal vessel diameter), and atherosclerosis plaque size in apolipoprotein E knock-out (ApoE^{-/-}) mice.

We excluded studies without any control group (normoxic and untreated mice), studies in which hypoxia was applied continuously (i.e. no hypoxia-normoxia cycles), or studies in which hypoxia was combined with hypercapnic or hypobaric conditions. We also excluded studies using IH exposure in prenatal or perinatal periods and studies using transgenic animals, except for ApoE^{-/-} mice that are

the model of choice to study atherosclerosis plaques. Studies on pulmonary or cerebral vascular beds were also excluded.

Assessment of methodological quality

The quality assessment of studies was performed using the SYRCLE tool described by Hooijmans et al.¹⁹. This contains several types of bias: selection bias (sequence generation, baseline characteristics, and allocation concealment), performance bias (randomized housing of animals, blinding of investigators), detection bias (random outcome assessment, blinding of outcome assessor), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), and reporting bias (selective outcome reporting). Each risk of bias was scored as High, Low, or Unclear. Three authors were involved and every discrepancy was discussed to achieve a shared decision.

Statistical analysis

We performed two separate meta-analyses for wild-type rodents and ApoE^{-/-} mice. For each outcome, data were abstracted and analyzed using standardized mean difference, $SMD = ((Mc - Me)) / SD$, where Mc is the mean of the outcome measure in the control group, Me is the mean of the outcome measure in the experimental group, and SD is the pooled standard deviation of the two groups.²⁰ A $SMD > 0.8$ was considered as large, 0.5-0.8 as moderate, and 0.2-0.5 small²¹. In case of a missing SD we calculated or estimated it from confidence intervals, standard errors, t values, P values or F values.²² The remaining SD were imputed using the mean outcome-specific SD from other included studies. All results are represented using orchard plots, an innovative data visualization tool well adapted for displaying the results of a large number of outcomes²³ (supplementary figure 1).

To facilitate interpretation of homogeneous and widely used outcomes we performed meta-analyses using natural mean differences for arterial pressure outcomes and we back-transformed some SMD to natural mean differences using the median SD from the control groups of included studies using the target unit²⁴. SMD are expressed with 95% confidence intervals. For study descriptions, we used medians and interquartile ranges (IQR).

Given the high anticipated heterogeneity in included studies we performed random effect meta-analysis by the restricted maximum-likelihood estimator method.²⁵ Moreover, to account for correlation among multi-arm studies we constructed a hierarchical/mixed effect model with a random intercept for study. We explored sources of heterogeneity through pre-specified subgroup analyses and meta-regressions according to population (species, strain, sex, age, diet and body weight), year of

publication and details of intermittent hypoxia protocols (oxygen fraction (FiO_2) during hypoxic phases, duration of hypoxic and normoxic phases, frequency, duration per day and total duration of exposure).

Given the large number of studied outcomes, we performed meta-regressions only on pre-specified primary outcomes: mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), intima-media thickness, response of vessel rings to acetylcholine, and atherosclerosis lesion size in ApoE^{-/-} mice. We first performed univariate meta-regressions on study and animal characteristics (age and body weight were adjusted on species). Then, we added the significant predictors ($p < 0.2$) in meta-regression models evaluating intermittent hypoxia (IH) protocol parameters. Given the exploratory nature of these analyses we considered all p -values < 0.05 as significant. Lastly, to assess the robustness of the findings, we performed sensitivity analyses by excluding potential outliers for significant meta-regressions.

Funnel plot asymmetry was also explored for primary outcomes using Egger's regression test, as recommended by the Cochrane handbook for systemic reviews of interventions²⁶, with $p < 0.1$ suggesting publication bias. We also performed a Trim and Fill analysis to assess the impact of small study effects on the meta-analyses results²⁷.

All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (version 3.6.2).

Results

Our literature review yielded 1886 references among which we ultimately selected 104 studies for inclusion in the meta-analysis, 92 concerning wild-type rodents and 12 using ApoE-/- mice (Figure 1). Supplementary table 1 and supplementary figure 2 present vascular outcomes available across the studies, settings of hypoxic exposure and experimental designs.

Among the 92 studies on wild-type rodents, 21 were performed in mice (20 in C57Bl/6 mice, 1 in 129S1 mice) and 71 in rats (50 in Sprague-Dawley, 18 in Wistar and 3 in other strains of rats). At study inclusion, median body weight and age were 26.5g (IQR 22.0-27.2) and 8.25 (7-10.5) weeks for mice and 275g (200-325) and 9 (8-13) weeks for rats respectively. Males were used in 83 studies, females in 4 studies, both males and females in one study, and 4 studies did not report the sex of the animals. Animals received standard diet in 76 studies and both standard and high-fat diet in 4 studies; diet was not specified in 12 studies.

For the studies on ApoE-/- mice, median weight was 27g (27.9-29.5) and median age was 13 (8- 14.25) weeks. Eight studies used males, 3 used both males and females and 1 did not report the sex. The diet was standard in 5 studies, high fat in 5 studies and both standard and high fat in 2 studies.

Concerning IH protocols (Supplementary figure 2), median and IQ values of FiO₂ during hypoxic periods were of 5% (IQR 5-9), desaturation during 40 (30-90) seconds followed by 90 (30-232.5) seconds of reoxygenation and return to a FiO₂ of 21% (normoxia). Cycles were repeated on average for 8h/day for a median duration of 21 (10-35) days. Forty two percent of studies used a FiO₂ of 5%, 10% used a FiO₂ of 6%, and 20% used a FiO₂ of 10% during hypoxic phases. Fifteen percent of studies had a duration of 7 days, 18% a duration of 14 days, 12% a duration of 21 days, 10% a duration of 28 days and 12% a duration of 35 days.

The number of included studies for each outcome is shown in supplementary table 1. Outcomes were excluded from statistical analysis when <3 studies reported them. In wild-type animals, this was the case for pulsed arterial pressure, atherosclerotic plaques, endothelial permeability, vasoconstriction in cannulated vessels, compliance/pulse wave velocity. In ApoE-/- mice, this was the case for all outcomes except for lesion size.

Impact of IH on arterial blood pressure

Intermittent hypoxia significantly increased systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure in systemic vessels of wild-type rodents (Figure 2A-C). Mean arterial pressure (MAP) SMD was 1.33, CI [1.07-1.59], $I^2 = 74.79\%$ corresponding to a mean increase of 13.77 mmHg (CI [11.73; 15.82]) after IH. Similarly, SBP increased by 13.73 [11.08; 16.37] mmHg, and DBP by 12.72 [9.03; 16.54] mmHg. Forest plots for SBP, DBP and MAP expressed in mmHg are shown in Supplementary Figure 3A, B, C.

Subgroup analyses showed a significant heterogeneity according to strain (test for subgroup difference <0.01): MAP increased in mice (C57Bl/6) as well as in rats (Wistar and Sprague Dawley) but not in Fischer 344, Wistar Kyoto or lean Zucker rats, although the number of studies was very limited for these strains (supplementary figure 4 and supplementary table 2). Meta-regression analyses for IH parameters after adjustment for significant confounders in univariate meta-regression (strain and year of publication) showed that a lower FiO_2 during hypoxic phases was significantly associated with a higher MAP ($p=0.02$) (Figure 2D and supplementary table 2). Total duration of exposure was significantly associated with MAP but this association disappeared after exclusion of outlier studies ($p=0.13$, supplementary table 2).

Impact of IH on arterial reactivity

Vasodilation tests, assessed on cannulated arteries as well as on arterial rings, showed that reactivity to Ach ($10^{-6}M$) significantly decreased after IH in both cannulated arteries SMD=-1.26 [-2.10;-0.41], $I^2 = 62.1\%$ (Figure 3A), and arterial rings SMD=-2.37 [-3.72;-1.02], $I^2 = 89.68\%$ (Figure 3B). IH also increased vasoconstriction due to endothelin-1 ($10^{-8}M$) (SMD=1.11 [0.22; 2.01], $I^2 = 41.82\%$) (Figure 3C). On the other hand, there was no impact of IH on the vasoconstriction induced by phenylephrine ($10^{-6}M$) (SMD=0.04 [-0.6; 0.68], $I^2 = 41.82\%$) (Figure 3D).

Subgroup analyses showed that the IH-induced decrease in Ach-dependent vasodilation was observed in male but not in female rodents (SMD 0.12 [-1.82; 2.06] in females vs -2.22 [-3.53; 0.9] in males, $p<0.01$) (supplementary figure 5). Meta-regression analyses for IH parameters after adjustment for the significant confounder in univariate meta-regression (sex) showed that FiO_2 was significantly associated with vasodilation impairment, especially at moderate hypoxia levels (i.e. in the range of 10% FiO_2) (Figure 3E and supplementary table 2). However, after adjusting for the duration of hypoxia exposure, this association did not persist ($p=0.27$).

Impact of IH on vascular remodeling

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

In wild-type rodents, intima-media thickness significantly increased after IH (SMD 1.05 [0.52; 1.58], I^2 = 76.4%) (Figure 4A) with an increase of IMT of 3.23 (1.61-5.00) μ m. In contrast, inner vessel diameter did not significantly change after IH (Figure 4B). Subgroup analyses for IMT showed a much stronger effect of IH in mice (SMD 1.29 [0.44; 2.14]) than in rats (SMD 0.40 [-0.13; 0.93]) ($p=0.05$ for subgroup difference) (supplementary figure 6). Univariate analysis also showed that age was negatively associated with IMT thickening ($p=0.02$, Figure 4C and supplementary table 2). Meta-regression analysis for IH parameters after adjustment for significant predictors in univariate meta-regression (strain, species, year of publication and age) were not significant for IMT at a p -value of 0.05, but total duration of exposure tended to be associated with IMT thickening ($p=0.07$) (Figure 4D and supplementary table 2).

Impact of IH on atherosclerosis lesions in ApoE-/- mice

Since vascular remodeling is an early step in the process of atherogenesis and because wild-type C57Bl/6 mice are resistant to atherosclerosis, we included ApoE-/- mice in the meta-analysis, as a recognized model of susceptibility to atherosclerosis. The analysis showed that IH strongly increased atheromatous lesion size in ApoE-/- mice (SMD 1.1 [0.82; 1.41], I^2 =27.3%) (Figure 5). Meta-regression analysis for IH parameters showed that IH parameters were not significantly associated with lesion size in ApoE-/- mice, except for a strong trend towards significance regarding the animal’s body weight ($p=0.06$) (supplementary table 2).

Risk of bias of studies

The risk of bias of studies was assessed using the SYRCLE tool¹⁹. The results are presented in Supplementary Figure 7 and supplementary table 3. Items for which the risk of bias was low were selective outcome reporting (selection bias, 31% low risk), sequence generation (39% of studies are at low risk), baseline characteristics (43% low risk) and incomplete outcome data (attrition bias, 58% low risk). However, incomplete outcome data was also the criterion with the highest percentage of high-risk studies (20%). Finally, several outcomes, mainly categorized as performance and detection bias, were almost never mentioned and therefore scored as “unclear risk”: allocation concealment, randomized housing, blinding of investigators, random outcome assessment, blinding of outcome assessor.

Small study effect

Funnel plots are presented in supplementary figure 8 for MAP, ring dilation, IMT and plaque size. For these four items, the asymmetric distribution of studies and a significant Egger regression test indicating a clear small study effect were observed. However, the SMD remained significant for all outcomes after correcting for missing studies (Trim and Fill analysis), meaning a consistent effect of IH on the outcomes (Supplementary Table 4).

Discussion

One of the main features of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome is intermittent hypoxia which represents the major trigger for cardiovascular complications²⁸. A large corpus of studies in animals report diverse effect sizes for the impact of intermittent hypoxia on vascular parameters such as arterial pressure, altered vascular reactivity or remodeling. However, there is heterogeneity or even inconsistencies among the published results and, to date, no meta-analysis has been done to assess the impact of IH on these specific parameters. Our meta-analysis firmly establishes that intermittent hypoxia, in the absence of the confounders flawing human studies, triggers blood pressure elevation, alterations in vasodilation and atherosclerosis. Some of these responses were proportional to the hypoxic burden and duration of exposure. Another lesson was to delineate the different responses depending on the species, strains, sex and age of exposed animals.

Impact of IH on vascular parameters

Our meta-analysis confirmed that IH has a clear and significant impact on the primary outcomes: arterial pressure, vessel reactivity, intima-media thickness and atherosclerotic lesions (standard mean differences always > 0.7). This is consistent with the known vascular effects of OSAS^{3,28}, supporting the relevance of these IH models in rodents to the human pathology. In particular, while clinical studies are often difficult to interpret due to comorbidities, rodent studies suggest that IH per se may be the main cause of the vascular consequences of OSA.

Our meta-analysis showed that IH is associated with a significant increase in MAP, which is consistent with the elevation in sympathetic activity and blood pressure occurring in healthy volunteers submitted to 14 nights of intermittent hypoxia²⁹. Interestingly, meta-regression analyses showed that FiO_2 (i.e. the hypoxic burden) was associated with MAP, suggesting that the severity of hypoxia could be the key element for increased risk of hypertension. This is of high clinical significance since epidemiological studies^{30,31} already suggest a dose response relationship between OSA severity, as defined by the Apnea-Hypopnea Index, and hypertension. Also, responses to continuous positive airway pressure, the primary therapy for OSA, is related to the severity of hypoxia at the time of OSA

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

diagnosis (see meta-analyses ^{32,33}). This suggests that beyond confounders (such as obesity or metabolic syndrome) IH may be the main parameter accounting for increased blood pressure in OSA. It also suggests that parameters such as minimal oxygen saturation or time spent at <90% oxygen saturation should be used to describe more precisely OSA severity and hypoxic burden, rather than the AHI which does not necessarily reflect the patient’s hypoxic burden.

In vascular reactivity studies, we observed that IH significantly altered endothelium-dependent vasodilation in response to acetylcholine. This is in line with studies in humans suggesting that OSA alters endothelial function³⁴, and is associated with arterial stiffness^{35,36}. Our group recently reported in an individual participant meta-analysis that among adults without overt CV disease, severe OSA (AHI ≥30) was independently associated with an increased risk of endothelial dysfunction that may predispose to late CV events³⁷. Moreover, vasoconstriction in response to endothelin-1 was enhanced, while vasoconstriction in response to phenylephrine was not altered by IH. Other vasoconstrictors, such as angiotensin II, have only been sparsely studied and the lack of data did not allow a meta-analysis. Interestingly, endothelin-1-induced vasoconstriction was largely studied with IH protocols that included 5% CO₂ in the air breathed by animals. IH combined with hypercapnia also increased the contractile response to ET-1^{38,39}. Our meta-analysis thus suggests that IH, rather than hypercapnia, may thus be responsible for the ET-1 response.

In this meta-analysis, we did not have sufficient statistical power to allow comparison of the reactivity of different vascular beds after IH. However, some studies report some differences in the reactivity among vascular beds, in particular in small muscular vs large elastic arteries³⁹. More studies are needed to allow a meta-analysis on the effects on various vascular beds.

IH-induced vascular remodeling in rodents, as characterized by an augmentation in the intima-media thickness, is consistent with what is observed in humans^{40,41}. Our results are also consistent with a recent meta-analysis limited to aorta IMT in mice⁴². Although not reaching significance (p=0.07), the IMT tended to be associated with the total duration of exposure. This suggests a progressive remodeling of arteries over time. Interestingly, internal vessel diameter was not modified in rodents while, in humans, it is postulated that obstructive sleep apnea could induce an increase in diameter, at least in some patients and vessels^{43,44}. IH models in rodents might rapidly attain the late characteristics of the disease such as thickening the media following changes in the inner diameter of vessels. Other remodeling parameters, such as compliance or elasticity, could not be included in our meta-analysis due to insufficient studies. However, IH in rodents is known to induce disorganization of the elastin fiber network^{8,45,46}, reduced vessel distension and increased stiffness^{47,48}. Taken together,

IH induces structural remodeling along with alterations in vasoreactivity (blunted vasodilation and increased vasoconstriction) that could act synergistically to increase blood pressure.

Since increased IMT suggests ongoing atherogenesis in wild-type rodents, we included ApoE^{-/-} mice in the meta-analysis because they are susceptible to atherosclerosis and a model of choice to study the impact of IH on atherosclerotic lesions. As expected, we found that IH strongly increased atherosclerotic lesions, consistent with the remodeling observed in wild-type animals⁸, and with the known pro-atherogenic consequences of OSAS in humans^{49,50}. Interestingly, diet (standard vs high fat) did not significantly modulate plaque size after IH, suggesting that IH is a robust inducer of plaques, independent of a high fat diet.

We performed meta-regression analyses to determine whether the variability of IH protocols could modulate the impact of IH. Apart from the associations mentioned above, other meta-regressions found no significant effect of IH parameters on the selected vascular outcomes. This would suggest that IH has a robust impact on these outcomes, whatever the duration or severity of IH (in the range of our inclusion criteria). Interestingly, FiO₂ was always ≤ 10% in the included studies, corresponding to the very severe hypoxia that occurs in the most severe OSAS patients. A less severe hypoxic burden has been little investigated in animal experiment designs. This needs investigation in future animal studies because the impact of OSA treatments in reducing cardiovascular consequences is mostly debated for the mild to moderate spectrum of the disease.

Contribution of animal characteristics to IH impact

In univariate analyses, we investigated the contribution of strain, sex, age, diet, body weight and year of publication on IH effects. The species and/or the strain significantly impacted MAP and IMT, suggesting that the choice of species/strain of mice or rats is important when designing a study. MAP is consistently elevated in the most frequently used models such as C57Bl/6 mice, or Sprague-Dawley or Wistar rats. However, MAP was not found to be elevated in Fischer, Wistar Kyoto or lean Zucker rats; although the very small number of studies using these strains probably accounts for this absence of statistical effect. Vascular remodeling as assessed by IMT is much more pronounced in mice than in rats; rats may thus not be a good model to study remodeling. Our meta-analysis may help researchers to choose the most appropriate models according to the objectives of their study.

Analysis by sex showed that the alteration of vessel dilation induced by IH is found in males, but not in females, despite the small number of studies using females (n=5), suggesting a robust difference in the impact of IH on vasodilation between males and females. This may reflect a sex-related sensibility

to the IH stimulus regarding this particular outcome, consistent with the known stimulation of endothelial-dependent vasodilation by oestrogens⁵¹. It may underlie the fact that, although most OSAS patients are men, specific studies of the vascular consequences of OSAS in women are necessary, although they are under-represented in the current literature^{35,52}.

Animal age was inversely associated with IH-induced intima-media thickening, suggesting that young animals may be more sensitive to IH in terms of vascular remodeling. This is consistent with data in humans³³. Age had no significant association with other parameters. However, the vast majority of studies were performed in young animals (8-9 weeks old) and the very few using animals older than 50 weeks had to be considered as outliers. There is a lack of information about the effects of IH in older animals, indicating a need for further studies, particularly as obstructive sleep apnea is predominant in humans aged over 50 and not in young adults.

Risk of bias and limitations of the analysis

Funnel plots and Egger regression tests evidenced a small study effect for all the outcomes studied. Such an effect could have multiple reasons: selective reporting of results or publication bias, poor methodological quality of small studies leading to overestimation of results, true heterogeneity in the results or chance⁵³. However, interestingly the SMD remained stable for the four main outcomes after the Trim and Fill analyses correcting for missing values, suggesting a limited impact of the small study effect on the results. A publication bias is not unusual in animal studies and is probably mainly due to selective reporting such as non-publication of negative results and selection of publishable outcomes. This could be associated with the frequent reluctance of journals to publish negative results. To avoid this reporting bias, we suggest that journals accept to publish animal study protocols, as is done for clinical studies, as well as negative results. For many of the listed items the risk of bias assessed with the SYRCLE risk of bias tool was quite high. This is in line with the poor SYRCLE scores in many other animal study meta-analyses. We argue for improvement of research and publication practices with regard to laboratory animal studies and the widespread adoption and implementation of the SYRCLE guidelines.

Another limitation of this meta-analysis was the heterogeneity of the outcomes and units of measurement. The use of SMD was intended to deal with this, but our analyses still showed strong heterogeneity for most of the outcomes studied. Statistical analyses only partly succeeded in identifying factors that could explain this heterogeneity, although some characteristics such as species, sex or certain IH properties were suggested. There may be other underlying factors that could

potentially explain the heterogeneity of results that were not investigated in our study, such as laboratory-, experimentation- or investigator-dependent effects.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of animal studies on the vascular impact of intermittent hypoxia. The meta-analysis based on a large corpus of articles evidenced the clear impact of IH on arterial pressure, reactivity and vascular remodeling. We identified some features of IH, in particular FiO_2 during hypoxia, which were sometimes associated with an amplified impact of IH. However, in most cases the impact of IH was independent of the precise pattern of IH exposure, suggesting that whatever its modality, aimed at mimicking obstructive sleep apnea in humans, IH had a robust effect on rodent vessel structure and function.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow diagram of the study

Figure 2: IH increases blood pressure in systemic vessels of wild-type animals. Orchard plots showing SMD for: A) systolic blood pressure (SBP), B) diastolic blood pressure (DBP), C) mean arterial pressure (MAP). D) Significant association between MAP and FiO_2 in meta-regression analysis ($p=0.02$, slope = -0.13).

Figure 3: IH alters vasodilation with Acetylcholine and increases vasoconstriction with ET-1 but not vasoconstriction with Phenylephrine. Orchard plots showing SMD for A) cannulated artery dilation, B) artery ring dilation with Acetylcholine (10^{-6}M), C) artery ring constriction with Endothelin-1 (10^{-8}M), D) artery ring constriction with Phenylephrine (10^{-6}M). E) Association between ring vasodilation and FiO_2 ($p<0.01$, slope = -0.67).

Figure 4: IH provokes vascular structural remodeling. Orchard plots showing SMD for A) intima-media thickness (IMT) of systemic vessels, B) vessel luminal diameter. C) Univariate meta-regressions showing the negative correlation between IMT and rodent age ($p=0.02$, slope = -0.03). D) Univariate adjusted meta-regression showing a strong tendency toward positive correlation between IMT and total duration of exposure in days ($p=0.07$, slope = 0.03).

1
2
3 **Figure 5: IH increases atherosclerotic plaque size in ApoE-/- mice.** Orchard plot showing SMD for
4 atherosclerosis lesion size.
5
6

7
8 **Funding**
9

10
11 This work was supported by the “Fondation du Souffle / Fondation pour la recherché en santé
12 respiratoire” (PhD grant for O.H.), Fondation de l’avenir, Fondation Agir Pour les Maladies Chroniques,
13 and ANR Arterylastic grant ANR-18-CE-18-0001 (PhD grant for Q.B.).
14
15

16
17 **Acknowledgments**
18

19
20 The authors thank Axel Couturier for helpful discussions and Alison Foote for critical reading and
21 English editing.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Benjafield, A. V. *et al.* Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **7**, 687–698 (2019).
2. Lévy, P. *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer* **1**, 15015 (2015).
3. Ryan, S. Mechanisms of cardiovascular disease in obstructive sleep apnoea. *J. Thorac. Dis.* **10**, S4201–S4211 (2018).
4. Javaheri, S. *et al.* Sleep Apnea: Types, Mechanisms, and Clinical Cardiovascular Consequences. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **69**, 841–858 (2017).
5. Dematteis, M. *et al.* Cardiovascular consequences of sleep-disordered breathing: contribution of animal models to understanding the human disease. *ILAR J.* **50**, 262–281 (2009).
6. Fletcher, E. C., Lesske, J., Culman, J., Miller, C. C. & Unger, T. Sympathetic denervation blocks blood pressure elevation in episodic hypoxia. *Hypertens. Dallas Tex* 1979 **20**, 612–619 (1992).
7. Yang, R. *et al.* Restoring leptin signaling reduces hyperlipidemia and improves vascular stiffness induced by chronic intermittent hypoxia. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **300**, H1467–1476 (2011).
8. Arnaud, C. *et al.* The inflammatory preatherosclerotic remodeling induced by intermittent hypoxia is attenuated by RANTES/CCL5 inhibition. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **184**, 724–731 (2011).
9. Gras, E. *et al.* Endothelin-1 mediates intermittent hypoxia-induced inflammatory vascular remodeling through HIF-1 activation. *J. Appl. Physiol. Bethesda Md* 1985 **120**, 437–443 (2016).
10. Totoston, P. *et al.* Atorvastatin protects against deleterious cardiovascular consequences induced by chronic intermittent hypoxia. *Exp. Biol. Med. Maywood NJ* **238**, 223–232 (2013).
11. Phillips, S. A., Olson, E. B., Morgan, B. J. & Lombard, J. H. Chronic intermittent hypoxia impairs endothelium-dependent dilation in rat cerebral and skeletal muscle resistance arteries. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **286**, H388–393 (2004).
12. Savransky, V. *et al.* Dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis induced by chronic intermittent hypoxia are attenuated by deficiency of stearyl coenzyme A desaturase. *Circ. Res.* **103**, 1173–1180 (2008).

13. Arnaud, C., Poulain, L., Lévy, P. & Dematteis, M. Inflammation contributes to the atherogenic role of intermittent hypoxia in apolipoprotein-E knock out mice. *Atherosclerosis* **219**, 425–431 (2011).
14. Drager, L. F. *et al.* Chronic intermittent hypoxia induces atherosclerosis via activation of adipose angiopoietin-like 4. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **188**, 240–248 (2013).
15. Lee, M. Y. K. *et al.* Low but not high frequency of intermittent hypoxia suppresses endothelium-dependent, oxidative stress-mediated contractions in carotid arteries of obese mice. *J. Appl. Physiol. Bethesda Md 1985* **125**, 1384–1395 (2018).
16. Lefebvre, B. *et al.* Functional assessment of vascular reactivity after chronic intermittent hypoxia in the rat. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* **150**, 278–286 (2006).
17. Tuleta, I. *et al.* Hypoxia-induced endothelial dysfunction in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice; effects of infliximab and L-glutathione. *Atherosclerosis* **236**, 400–410 (2014).
18. Zhou, S. *et al.* Deletion of metallothionein exacerbates intermittent hypoxia-induced oxidative and inflammatory injury in aorta. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2014**, 141053 (2014).
19. Hooijmans, C. R. *et al.* SYRCLE's risk of bias tool for animal studies. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **14**, 43 (2014).
20. Cohen, J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. (Routledge, 1988).
21. Carson, C. The effective use of effect size indices in institutional research. *Citováno Dne* **11**, 2016 (2012).
22. Higgins, J. & Green, S. (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. <http://handbook.cochrane.org/> (2011).
23. The orchard plot: Cultivating a forest plot for use in ecology, evolution, and beyond - Nakagawa - - Research Synthesis Methods - Wiley Online Library. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jrsm.1424>.

24. Murad, M. H., Wang, Z., Chu, H. & Lin, L. When continuous outcomes are measured using different scales: guide for meta-analysis and interpretation. *BMJ* **364**, k4817 (2019).
25. Viechtbauer, W. & others. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *J Stat Softw* **36**, 1–48 (2010).
26. Higgins, J. *et al.* Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1 (updated September 2020). in Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook. (Cochrane, 2020).
27. Duval, S. & Tweedie, R. Trim and Fill: A Simple Funnel-Plot–Based Method of Testing and Adjusting for Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis. *Biometrics* **56**, 455–463 (2000).
28. Lévy, P. *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer* **1**, 15015 (2015).
29. Tamisier, R. *et al.* 14 nights of intermittent hypoxia elevate daytime blood pressure and sympathetic activity in healthy humans. *Eur. Respir. J.* **37**, 119–128 (2011).
30. Peppard, P. E., Young, T., Palta, M. & Skatrud, J. Prospective study of the association between sleep-disordered breathing and hypertension. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **342**, 1378–1384 (2000).
31. Young, T. *et al.* Population-based study of sleep-disordered breathing as a risk factor for hypertension. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **157**, 1746–1752 (1997).
32. Bratton, D. J., Gaisl, T., Wons, A. M. & Kohler, M. CPAP vs Mandibular Advancement Devices and Blood Pressure in Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA* **314**, 2280–2293 (2015).
33. Pengo, M. F. *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnoea treatment and blood pressure: which phenotypes predict a response? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. Respir. J.* **55**, (2020).
34. Wang, Y. *et al.* Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Display Decreased Flow-Mediated Dilatation: Evidence from a Meta-Analysis. *Med. Sci. Monit. Int. Med. J. Exp. Clin. Res.* **23**, 1069–1082 (2017).

35. Jenner, R. *et al.* Association of obstructive sleep apnea with arterial stiffness and nondipping blood pressure in patients with hypertension. *J. Clin. Hypertens. Greenwich Conn* **19**, 910–918 (2017).

36. Joyeux-Faure, M. *et al.* Contribution of obstructive sleep apnoea to arterial stiffness: a meta-analysis using individual patient data. *Thorax* **73**, 1146–1151 (2018).

37. Bironneau, V. *et al.* Sleep apnoea and endothelial dysfunction: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *Sleep Med. Rev.* **52**, 101309 (2020).

38. Allahdadi, K. J., Walker, B. R. & Kanagy, N. L. Augmented endothelin vasoconstriction in intermittent hypoxia-induced hypertension. *Hypertens. Dallas Tex* **1979** **45**, 705–709 (2005).

39. Allahdadi, K. J., Walker, B. R. & Kanagy, N. L. ROK contribution to endothelin-mediated contraction in aorta and mesenteric arteries following intermittent hypoxia/hypercapnia in rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **293**, H2911-2918 (2007).

40. Nadeem, R. *et al.* Patients with obstructive sleep apnea display increased carotid intima media: a meta-analysis. *Int. J. Vasc. Med.* **2013**, 839582 (2013).

41. Zhou, M. *et al.* The Association Between Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Carotid Intima-Media Thickness: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Angiology* **68**, 575–583 (2017).

42. Umeda, A. *et al.* Effects of Normoxic Recovery on Intima-Media Thickness of Aorta and Pulmonary Artery Following Intermittent Hypoxia in Mice. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, (2020).

43. Tachikawa, R. *et al.* Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on abdominal aortic diameters. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **114**, 618–623 (2014).

44. Kwon, Y. *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnea and structural/functional properties of the thoracic ascending aorta: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Cardiology* **142**, 180–188 (2019).

45. Castro-Grattoni, A. L. *et al.* Intermittent Hypoxia-Induced Cardiovascular Remodeling Is Reversed by Normoxia in a Mouse Model of Sleep Apnea. *Chest* **149**, 1400–1408 (2016).

- 1
2
3 46. Rubies, C. *et al.* Aortic remodelling induced by obstructive apneas is normalized with
4
5 mesenchymal stem cells infusion. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 11443 (2019).
6
7
8 47. Phillips, S. A., Olson, E. B., Lombard, J. H. & Morgan, B. J. Chronic intermittent hypoxia alters
9
10 NE reactivity and mechanics of skeletal muscle resistance arteries. *J. Appl. Physiol. Bethesda Md*
11
12 *1985* **100**, 1117–1123 (2006).
13
14 48. Arnaud, C. *et al.* Nonmuscle Myosin Light Chain Kinase: A Key Player in Intermittent Hypoxia-
15
16 Induced Vascular Alterations. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **7**, (2018).
17
18 49. Zhao, Y. Y. *et al.* Associations Between Sleep Apnea and Subclinical Carotid Atherosclerosis:
19
20 The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Stroke* **50**, 3340–3346 (2019).
21
22
23 50. Lévy, P. *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnea and atherosclerosis. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **51**, 400–410
24
25 (2009).
26
27 51. Miller, V. M. & Duckles, S. P. VASCULAR ACTIONS OF ESTROGENS: FUNCTIONAL
28
29 IMPLICATIONS. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **60**, 210–241 (2008).
30
31
32 52. Cano-Pumarega, I. *et al.* Sleep Apnea and Hypertension: Are There Sex Differences? The
33
34 Vitoria Sleep Cohort. *Chest* **152**, 742–750 (2017).
35
36
37 53. Page, M. J., Sterne, J. A. C., Higgins, J. P. T. & Egger, M. Investigating and dealing with
38
39 publication bias and other reporting biases in meta-analyses of health research: A review. *Res.*
40
41 *Synth. Methods* (2020) doi:10.1002/jrsm.1468.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Our meta-analysis included rodents exposed to experimental intermittent hypoxia. We demonstrate that IH significantly increased blood pressure, altered vasodilation and increased vasoconstriction, increased intima-media thickness and atherosclerosis plaques. Altogether, IH is responsible for structural and functional vascular alterations in obstructive sleep apnea.

303x80mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Flow diagram of the study

221x163mm (150 x 150 DPI)

IH increases blood pressure in systemic vessels of wild-type animals. Orchard plots showing SMD for: A) systolic blood pressure (SBP), B) diastolic blood pressure (DBP), C) mean arterial pressure (MAP). D) Significant association between MAP and FiO2 in meta-regression analysis (p=0.02, slope= -0.13).

327x149mm (150 x 150 DPI)

IH alters vasodilation with Acetylcholine and increases vasoconstriction with ET-1 but not vasoconstriction with Phenylephrine. Orchard plots showing SMD for A) cannulated artery dilation, B) artery ring dilation with Acetylcholine (10-6M), C) artery ring constriction with Endothelin-1 (10-8M), D) artery ring constriction with Phenylephrine (10-6M). E) Association between ring vasodilation and FiO_2 ($p < 0.01$, slope = -0.67).

317x180mm (150 x 150 DPI)

IH provokes vascular structural remodeling. Orchard plots showing SMD for A) intima-media thickness (IMT) of systemic vessels, B) vessel luminal diameter. C) Univariate meta-regressions showing the negative correlation between IMT and rodent age ($p=0.02$, slope = -0.03). D) Univariate adjusted meta-regression showing a strong tendency toward positive correlation between IMT and total duration of exposure in days ($p=0.07$, slope = 0.03).

315x156mm (150 x 150 DPI)

IH increases atherosclerotic plaque size in ApoE^{-/-} mice. Orchard plot showing SMD for atherosclerosis lesion size.

171x47mm (150 x 150 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Supplemental data

Supplementary Figure 1: Orchard plot example showing the meaning of the different parts of the plot.

Supplementary Figure 2: Description of the number of studies included depending on four IH parameters: A) FiO₂ during hypoxic phase (in %), B) Duration of each hypoxic phase (in seconds), C) Total duration of exposure (in days), D) Duration of IH exposure per day (in hours).

Supplementary Figure 3: Forest plots for Systolic Blood Pressure (A), Diastolic Blood Pressure (B) and Mean Arterial Pressure (C). Mean differences are expressed in mmHg with 95% CI.

Supplementary Figure 4: Subgroup analysis for MAP according to the strain of wild-type animals. p<0.01 for subgroup differences.

Supplementary Figure 5: Subgroup analyses for vessel ring dilation according to the gender of rodents. p<0.01 for female vs male.

Supplementary Figure 6: Subgroup analysis for IMT according to the species of rodent. p=0.05 for mice vs rats.

Supplementary Figure 7: Risk of bias of studies assessed with the SYRCLE tool. For each item of the SYRCLE tool, the percentage of studies scored low/unclear/high risk of bias is shown.

Supplementary Figure 8: Funnel plots showing publication bias for the main outcomes: MAP (A), artery ring dilation (B), IMT (C), atherosclerosis lesions in ApoE^{-/-} mice (D).

Supplementary Table 1: Description of studies included in the meta-analysis. Abbreviations: nm non mentioned; m male; f female; HF High Fat diet.

Due to its large size, this table could not be embedded in the text. See excel file

Supplementary table 2: Meta-regression analyses for the main outcomes: MAP, dilation of artery rings, IMT, atherosclerosis lesions in ApoE^{-/-} mice. Bold figures indicate significance at $p < 0.05$, ¥ $p < 0.2$ indicates moderators included in the multivariate model to adjust meta-regressions on IH parameters. -> represents the evolution before -> after exclusion of outlier studies.

	MAP			Arteries rings dilation			IMT			Atherosclerosis lesions		
Moderator	n	slope	p-val	n	slope	p-val	n	slope	p-val	n	slope	p-val
Univariate metaregressions												
Strain	96		0.00¥	14		0.69	27		0.09¥	23		0.78
Diet	88		0.39	14		0.7	NA			23		0.24
Species	96		0.28	14		0.69	27		0.05¥	23		
Gender	94		0.86	14		0.00¥	22		0.89	22		0.35
Body weight	73	0.00	0.09	12	0.00	0.44	16	0.00	0.14	13	-0.15	0.06
Year of publication	96	0.04	0.02¥	14	-0.06	0.83	27	0.08	0.19¥	23	-0.02	0.64
Age	38->36	-0.01->0.02	0.01->0.65	10	-0.06	0.84	20	-0.03	0.02	18	0,00	0.96
Univariate adjusted metaregression on IH parameters												
FiO ₂	96	-0.13	0.02	14	-0.67	0.00	27	0.11	0.65	23	0.23	0.39
Duration of exposure	96->93	0.00->0.02	0.02->0.12	14	0.00	0.96	27	0.03	0.07	23	0.23	0.39
Duration of IH per day	96	-0.07	0.48	14	0.27	0.45	27	-0.1	0.76	23	0.11	0.31
Duration of reoxygenation phase	96	0,00	0.34	14	0,00	0.99	27	0.00	0.93	23	-0.01	0.5
Duration of hypoxic phase	96	0,00	0.53	14->13	-4.01-> -0.01	0.04 -> 0.80	27	0.00	0.69	23	0.00	0.7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Supplementary Table 3: Risk of bias of studies, according to the 9 outcomes of the SYRCLE quality tool. L=Low, U= Unclear, H= High risk of bias.

Due to its large size, this table could not be embedded in the text. See excel file

Supplementary Table 4: Trim and Fill analysis for correction of small study effect. The table indicates the corrected SMD, confidence interval and I^2 after Trim and Fill analysis. All SMD remain significant after correction.

	Number of missing studies	Total number of studies	SMD	CI inf	CI sup	I^2
MAP	21	117	0.96	0.7	1.22	85%
RVD	1	15	-1.77	-2.93	-0.61	91%
IMT	0	27	0.9	0.47	1.33	76%
LESION	6	29	0.89	0.61	1.16	50%

Author	Journal	pmid	Year	Species
Arnaud C	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	21680945	2011	mouse
Arnaud C	J Am Heart Assoc	29371201	2018	mouse
Badran M	Oxid Med Cell Longev	31093313	2019	mouse
Badran M	Sleep Med.	24767726	2014	mouse
Campen MJ	J Appl Physiol	16002771	2005	mouse
Castro-Grattoni AL	Chest	26836908	2016	mouse
Castro-Grattoni-A	Respirology	31215129	2020	mouse
Chen L	Cardiovasc pathol	28985491	2017	rat
Chen YC	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	27252472	2016	rat
Coleman CG	J Neurosci.	20826673	2010	mouse
Cunningham JT	Hypertension.	22689746	2012	rat
Del Rio R	Eur Respir J.	22183481	2012	rat
Del Rio R	Eur Respir J.	19996187	2010	rat
Dematteis M	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	17962641	2008	mouse
Diogo LN	Eur J Pharmacol.	26291659	2015	rat
do Carmo JM	Acta Physiol (Oxf).	30466186	2018	rat
Dopp JM	Respiration.	21846958	2011	rat
Fenik VB	Front Neurol.	22509173	2012	rat
Fletcher EC	J Appl Physiol	1601808	1992	rat
Fletcher EC	Hypertension.	1592450	1992	rat
González-Martín MC	Adv Exp Med Biol.	19536495	2009	rat
Gras E	J Appl Physiol	26679613	2016	mouse
Greenberg HE	J Appl Physiol	9887143	1999	rat
Gu H	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	17693540	2007	rat
Guan P-A	J Cell Biochem.	30259991	2018	rat
Guo QH	Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.	23662699	2013	rat
Guo XL	Chin Med J	24033945	2013	rat
Hayashi T	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	18326795	2008	mouse
Hernández-Guerra M	Hepatology.	23174804	2013	rat
Hinojosa-Laborde C	Hypertension.	16157795	2005	rat
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	20227529	2010	rat
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19429526	2009	rat
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	17442632	2007	rat

Hui AS	Hypertension.	14597643	2003	rat
Hung MW	J Pineal Res.	23869411	2013	rat
Iturriaga R	Adv Exp Med Biol.	19536496	2009	rat
Iturriaga R	Adv Exp Med Biol.	20217364	2010	rat
Kc P	J Physiol.	20051497	2010	rat
Knight WD	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	21543638	2011	rat
Krause BJ	Front Physiol.	30087615	2018	rat
Krause BJ	J Hypertens	25629363	2015	rat
Kumar GK	J Physiol.	16777938	2006	rat
Kuo TB	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	20863915	2011	rat
Lai CJ	J Appl Physiol	16484362	2006	rat
Lan XF	Sci Rep	28871193	2017	mouse
Lee MYK-A	J Appl Physiol	30091668	2018	mouse
Lefebvre B	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	15979951	2006	rat
Lesske J	J Hypertens.	9488210	1997	rat
Li JR	plos one	29641598	2018	rat
Lin M	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	17384123	2007	mouse
Liu P	J Cell Physiol.	30609027	2019	rat
Lu W	sleep breath	28078487	2017	rat
Lu W	Braz J Med Biol Res.	28076452	2017	rat
Marcus NJ	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	22728949	2012	rat
Marcus NJ	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19013546	2009	rat
Mentek M	Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.	30383197	2018	rat
Moraes DJ	Hypertension	27480839	2016	rat
Moreau JM	Brain Res.	26183015	2015	rat
Moya EA	Oxid Med Cell Longev.	26798430	2016	rat
Nanduri J	J Physiol.	27506145	2016	rat
Olea E	J Appl Physiol	25103975	2014	rat
Peng YJ	J Appl Physiol	22016368	2012	rat
Perim RR	Exp Physiol.	26195236	2015	rat
Philippi NR	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19969108	2010	rat
Phillips SA	J Appl Physiol	16357071	2006	rat
Phillips SA	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	14512283	2004	rat
Poulain L	Mediators Inflamm.	25873766	2015	mouse
Prabha K	Adv Exp Med Biol.	21445804	2011	rat
Quintero M	J Physiol.	26752660	2016	rat
Raghuraman G	Antioxid Redox Signal.	20836657	2011	rat

Ray AD	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	17459910	2007	rat
Ren H	Mol Med Rep	28983603	2017	rat
Ribon-Demars A	Acta Physiol (Oxf).	29947475	2018	rat
Sacramento JF	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	26993367	2016	rat
Schulz R	J Hypertens.	24270180	2014	mouse
Shang J	Chin Med J	24033947	2013	rat
Sharpe AL	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	24097432	2013	rat
Shirai M	Basic Res Cardiol.	25139633	2014	rat
Silva AQ	J Physiol.	21242253	2011	rat
Souza GM	Exp Physiol.	25631702	2015	rat
Suarez-Giron MC	Front Physiol.	29881356	2018	mouse
Tahawi Z	J Appl Physiol	11299297	2001	rat
Takahashi K	Sci Rep.	30560943	2018	mouse
Wu JG	J Cell Physiol.	29215742	2018	rat
Yamamoto K	Auton Neurosci.	23167993	2013	rat
Yang	J Am Heart Assoc	30757948	2019	rat
Yang R	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	21278136	2011	mouse
Zhang Y	Biochem Biophys Res Commun.	28822761	2017	mouse
Zhou S	Oxid Med Cell Longev.	25177426	2014	mouse
Zoccal DB	Auton Neurosci.	17293169	2007	rat
Zoccal DB	Exp Physiol.	17085676	2007	rat

Number of studies per outcome

ApoE-/-				
Arnaud C	Atherosclerosis.	21917260	2011	souris
Drager LF	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	23328524	2013	souris
Fang G	Am J Pathol.	22940439	2012	souris
Gautier-Veyret E	pharmacol res	29920371	2018	souris
Gautier-Veyret E	Eur Respir J.	23060635	2013	souris
Kato R	Eur J Pharmacol.	26276396	2015	souris
Li RC	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	21493735	2011	souris
Poulain L	Eur Respir J.	24072212	2014	souris
Song D-A	Atherosclerosis	29407890	2018	souris
Tuleta I	Atherosclerosis.	25150938	2014	souris
Van Noolen L	Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.	25139400	2014	souris
Zeng X	J Transl Med	29673358	2018	souris

1	
2	Number of studies per outcome
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Strain	Body weight (grams)	Gender	Age	Diet	FiO ₂ (%)	Duration of hypoxic phase (seconds)
C57BL/6	nm	m	8	standard	5	30
C57BL/6	nm	m	12	standard	5	30
C57BL/6	36	m	10	standard	5	30
C57BL/6	26.5	m	9	standard + HF	5	30
C57BL/6	nm	m	14	standard	5	30
C57BL/6	nm	m	6	standard	5	20
C57BL/6	21 and 24	f	8 and 72	standard	5	20
sprague dawley	195	m	adult	standard	9	90
wistar	nm	m	12	standard	5	90
C57BL/6	22	m	adult	standard	10	90
sprague dawley	300	m	nm	standard	10	180
sprague dawley	220	m	nm	standard	5	20
sprague dawley	200	m	nm	standard	5	20
C57BL/6	27.2	m	8	nm	4	30
wistar	308.4	m	9.5	standard	5	210
sprague dawley	nm	m	13	standard	7	120
sprague dawley	326	m	12.4	standard	10	120
sprague dawley	314.5	m	nm	standard	10	90
Wistar	312.5	m	nm	standard	4	15
wistar + sprague dawley	337.5	m	nm	standard	4	15
Wistar	290	m	adult	standard	10	40
C57BL/6	nm	nm	8	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	175	m	8	standard	7	30
Fischer 344	nm	nm	14	nm	10	90
sprague dawley	200	m	adult	standard	9	90
sprague dawley	195	M	nm	standard	9	60
wistar	225	M	8	standard	10	160
C57BL/6	21.6	m	9.5	nm	5	30
sprague dawley	237.5	m	matched	standard	9	120
sprague dawley	nm	m and f	adult	standard	10	180
sprague dawley	245	m	nm	standard	9	60
sprague dawley	245	m	nm	standard	9	60
sprague dawley	165	m	nm	standard	7.5	60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

sprague dawley	187.5	m	nm	standard	10	90
sprague dawley	nm	M	4	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	225	m	nm	nm	5	20
sprague dawley	200	m	nm	nm	5	20
sprague dawley	327.5	m	nm	standard	10	45
sprague dawley	300	m	adult	standard	10	180
sprague dawley	200	m	nm	standard	5.5	20
sprague dawley	200	m	adult	standard	5	20
sprague dawley	275	m	adult	nm	5	100
sprague dawley	423	M	adult	standard	4	30
sprague dawley	472	m	adult	standard	4	30
C57BL/6	nm	f	7	nm	5	10
C57BL/6	27	m	4	standard + HF	5 / 10	240
Wistar	2230	m	adult	standard	5	40
wistar + sprague dawley	312.5	m	nm	standard	3.5	15
sprague dawley	205	m	adult	standard	9	90
C57BL/6	nm	nm	14	nm	5.7	360
sprague dawley	225	m	nm	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	200	m	nm	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	190	m	nm	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	311	m	13.5	standard	10	105
sprague dawley	nm	m	adult	standard	10	105
wistar	287	m	7	standard	5	30
wistar	110	m	nm	nm	6	35
sprague dawley	325	m	adult	standard	6.5	80
sprague dawley	200	m	adult	standard	5	20
sprague dawley	250	m	adult	standard	5	15
wistar	475	m	24	standard +HF	5	40
sprague dawley	250	m	nm	standard	5	100
wistar	47.5	m	nm	standard	6	240
sprague dawley	435	m	from 14 to 24	standard	10	120
sprague dawley	325	m	matched	standard	10	60
sprague dawley	325	m	matched	standard	10	60
C57BL/6	nm	m	17	standard	5	30
sprague dawley	327.5	m	adult	standard	5	15
wistar	366.2	m	14 and 92	standard	5	40
sprague dawley	225	m	adult	standard	5	15

Zucker (lean)	479	m	48	standard	4.5	90
wistar	191	m	9	standard	5	90
sprague dawley	275	f	9	standard	10	120
wistar	360	m	10.5	standard	5	210
C57BL/6	nm	m	8.5	standard	7	120
wistar	nm	M	8	standard	10	120
sprague dawley	312.5	M	nm	standard	10	180
sprague dawley	260	m	9	standard	4	90
sprague dawley	216	m	8	standard	6	240
wistar	242	f	8.5	standard	6	40
C57BL/6	nm	m	6	standard	5	20
sprague dawley	350	m	13	standard	2.5	15
C57BL/6	nm	m	8	standard	10	90
sprague dawley	275	m	nm	standard	7.5	60
sprague dawley	498	m	14	standard	9	360
sprague dawley	200	m	9	standard	6	80
C57BL/6	25	m	10	standard	5	30
C57BL/6	nm	m	7	standard + HF	6.5	30
129S1	nm	nm	nm	standard	8	60
wistar	295	m	nm	nm	6	240
wistar	293	m	nm	nm	6	240

ApoE -/-	26.3	m	15	standard + HF	5	30
ApoE -/-	25.5	m	12	HF	6.5	30
ApoE-/-	nm	m+f	nm	standard	6	30
ApoE -/-	30	m	14	standard	5	30
ApoE-/-	nm	m	14	standard	5	30
ApoE -/-	nm	m	8	HF	5	30
ApoE -/-	nm	nm	nm	HF	5.7	90
ApoE -/-	29	m	20	standard	5	30
ApoE -/-	27	m+f	7	standard + HF	6	30
ApoE -/-	nm	m+f	11	HF	5	60
ApoE -/-	nm	m	14	standard	5	30
ApoE -/-	nm	m	8	HF	6	10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Duration of reoxygenation phase (seconds)	Duration of cycles per day (hours)	Total duration of exposure (days)	SBP	DBP	MAP	IMT	inner vessel diameter
30	8	14				•	•
30	8	7 / 14	•			•	
30	12	56					
30	12	42					
30	12	35			•		
40	6	42				•	
40	6	56				•	
90	8	7 / 14 / 21	•			•	
90	8	28			•		
90	8	14 / 35	•		•		
180	8	7			•		
280	8	21	•	•	•		
280	8	21			•		
30	8	14			•	•	
420	10.5	35	•	•	•		
180	8	7			•		
120	12	14					
90	10	7 / 21 / 35	•				
15	7	35	•	•	•		
15	7	20 / 30 / 35	•	•	•		
80	8	15			•		
30	8	14				•	
30	8	30			•		
270	12	35			•		
90	8	7 / 21 / 35	•	•			
60	8	/ 9 / 12 / 15 / 21	•				
140	8	30			•	•	•
30	8	10			•		
120	12	14			•		
180	8	7			•		
180	8	21			•		
180	8	21			•		
60	8	35	•	•			

1								
2	90	24	3 / 7 / 14 / 30	•	•			
3	30	8	7 / 14 / 21	•				
4								
5	280	8	21			•		
6	280	8	7 / 14 / 21			•		
7								
8	300	8	10			•		
9								
10	180	8	7			•		
11								
12	280	8	35			•		•
13								
14	280	8	21	•	•	•	•	•
15	300	8	10			•		
16								
17	45	6	30			•		
18	45	6	7 / 14 / 30			•		
19								
20	80	8	28				•	
21	120	8	28			•		
22								
23	20	8	35			•		
24								
25	15	7	20 / 30 / 35			•		
26								
27	90	8	21					
28								
29	360	12	90			•		
30								
31	60	8	7 / 14 / 28	•	•	•		
32	60	8	7 / 14 / 21	•				
33								
34	60	8	7 / 14 / 21 / 28	•				
35								
36	135	12	28					
37	135	12	1 / 7 / 14			•		
38	30	8	14	•	•	•	•	•
39								
40	540	8	10			•		
41								
42	120	8	7 / 95	•	•	•		
43	280	8	7	•	•			
44								
45	300	8	Oct-30			•		
46	80	8	14			•		
47	300	8	14			•		
48								
49	300	8	10	•	•	•		
50								
51	120	12	3 / 14 / 28 / 56				•	
52	240	12	14			•	•	
53								
54	240	12	14			•		•
55								
56	30	8	28				•	
57	300	8	10			•		
58								
59	80	8	14			•		
60	300	8	10	•	•	•		

90	8	84	•	•	•		
210	8	7 / 14 / 21	•	•	•		
190	8	7 / 35	•	•	•		
420	10.5	28 / 35			•		
120	8	14 / 42	•				
120	8	28			•		
180	8	7			•		
90	8	42			•		
300	8	14			•		
500	8	35	•	•	•		
40	6	42				•	
15	7	8 / 14 / 20 / 26 / 32 / 35			•		
210	8	14 / 28	•				
30	8	7 / 14 / 21 / 28	•				
240	8	7			•		
40	8	42	•	•			
30	12	28					
30	12	42					
60	12	3 / 7 / 21 / 56				•	
300	8	35			•		
300	8	35	•	•	•		

31

20

60

16

6

30	8	14					
30	12	28					
30	8	63 / 210					
30	8	56					
30	8	56					
30	8	10					
90	12	70					
30	8	42					
30	8	63 / 210					
60	8	42					
30	8	56					
30	9	35					

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

[illegible]

1				
2				
3			•	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13			•	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21			•	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26		•	•	
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35	•			
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50	•			
51				
52				
53	•			
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

4 4 11

[illegible]

1	
2	12
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

				S
Author	Journal	PMID	year	Sequence generation
Arnaud C	J Am Heart Assoc	29371201	2018	L
Arnaud C	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	21680945	2011	L
Badran M	Oxid Med Cell Longev	31093313	2019	U
Badran M	Sleep Med.	24767726	2014	U
Campen MJ	J Appl Physiol	16002771	2005	U
Castro-Grattoni	Respirology	31215129	2020	L
Castro-Grattoni AL	Chest	26836908	2016	L
Chen L	Cardiovasc pathol	28985491	2017	L
Chen YC	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	27252472	2016	U
Coleman CG	J Neurosci.	20826673	2010	L
Cunningham JT	Hypertension.	22689746	2012	U
Del Rio R	Eur Respir J.	22183481	2012	L
Del Rio R	Eur Respir J.	19996187	2010	L
Dematteis M	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	17962641	2008	L
Diogo LN	Eur J Pharmacol.	26291659	2015	L
do Carmo JM	Acta Physiol (Oxf).	30466186	2018	U
Dopp JM	Respiration.	21846958	2011	U
Fenik VB	Front Neurol.	22509173	2012	U
Fletcher EC	Hypertension.	1592450	1992	U
Fletcher EC	J Appl Physiol	1601808	1992	U
González-Martín MC	Adv Exp Med Biol.	19536495	2009	U
Gras E	J Appl Physiol	26679613	2016	L
Greenberg HE	J Appl Physiol	9887143	1999	U
Gu H	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	17693540	2007	U
Guan P	J Cell Biochem.	30259991	2018	L
Guo QH	Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.	23662699	2013	L
Guo XL	Chin Med J	24033945	2013	L
Hayashi T	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	18326795	2008	L
Hernández-Guerra M	Hepatology.	23174804	2013	L
Hinojosa-Laborde C	Hypertension.	16157795	2005	U
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	20227529	2010	L
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19429526	2009	L
Huang J	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	17442632	2007	L
Hui AS	Hypertension.	14597643	2003	U
Hung MW	J Pineal Res.	23869411	2013	L
Iturriaga R	Adv Exp Med Biol.	20217364	2010	H
Iturriaga R	Adv Exp Med Biol.	19536496	2009	H
Kc P	J Physiol.	20051497	2010	U
Knight WD	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	21543638	2011	U
Krause BJ	Front Physiol.	30087615	2018	U
Krause BJ	J Hypertens	25629363	2015	L
Kumar GK	J Physiol.	16777938	2006	U

Kuo TB	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	20863915	2011	L
Lai CJ	J Appl Physiol	16484362	2006	U
Lan XF	Sci Rep	28871193	2017	L
Lee MYK	J Appl Physiol	30091668	2018	L
Lefebvre B	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	15979951	2006	U
Lesske J	J Hypertens.	9488210	1997	U
Li JR	Plos one	29641598	2018	L
Lin M	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	17384123	2007	U
Liu P	J Cell Physiol.	30609027	2019	L
Lu W	sleep breath	28078487	2017	L
Lu W	Braz J Med Biol Res.	28076452	2017	L
Marcus NJ	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	22728949	2012	U
Marcus NJ	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19013546	2009	U
Mentek M	Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.	30383197	2018	U
Moraes DJ	Hypertension	27480839	2016	U
Moreau JM	Brain Res.	26183015	2015	U
Moya EA	Oxid Med Cell Longev.	26798430	2016	U
Nanduri J	J Physiol.	27506145	2016	U
Olea E	J Appl Physiol	25103975	2014	U
Peng YJ	J Appl Physiol	22016368	2012	U
Perim RR	Exp Physiol.	26195236	2015	U
Philippi NR	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	19969108	2010	U
Phillips SA	J Appl Physiol	16357071	2006	L
Phillips SA	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	14512283	2004	U
Poulain L	Mediators Inflamm.	25873766	2015	U
Prabha K	Adv Exp Med Biol.	21445804	2011	U
Quintero M	J Physiol.	26752660	2016	U
Raghuraman G	Antioxid Redox Signal.	20836657	2011	U
Ray AD	Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.	17459910	2007	U
Ren H	Mol Med Rep	28983603	2017	L
Ribon-Demars A	Acta Physiol (Oxf).	29947475	2018	U
Sacramento JF	Respir Physiol Neurobiol.	26993367	2016	U
Schulz R	J Hypertens.	24270180	2014	L
Shang J	Chin Med J	24033947	2013	L
Sharpe AL	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	24097432	2013	U
Shirai M	Basic Res Cardiol.	25139633	2014	U
Silva AQ	J Physiol.	21242253	2011	U
Souza GM	Exp Physiol.	25631702	2015	U
Suarez-Giron MC	Front Physiol.	29881356	2018	L
Tahawi Z	J Appl Physiol	11299297	2001	U
Takahashi K	Sci Rep.	30560943	2018	U
Wu JG	J Cell Physiol.	29215742	2018	L
Yamamoto K	Auton Neurosci.	23167993	2013	L
Yang	J Am Heart Assoc	30757948	2019	L
Yang R	Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.	21278136	2011	U
Zhang Y	Biochem Biophys Res Commun.	28822761	2017	U
Zhou S	Oxid Med Cell Longev.	25177426	2014	U
Zoccal DB	Auton Neurosci.	17293169	2007	U
Zoccal DB	Exp Physiol.	17085676	2007	U

ApoE -/- studies				
Arnaud C	Atherosclerosis.	21917260	2011	U
Drager LF	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	23328524	2013	U
Fang G	Am J Pathol.	22940439	2012	U
Gautier-Veyret E	pharmacol res	29920371	2018	L
Gautier-Veyret E	Eur Respir J.	23060635	2013	L
Kato R	Eur J Pharmacol.	26276396	2015	U
Li RC	Am J Respir Crit Care Med.	21493735	2011	U
Poulain L	Eur Respir J.	24072212	2014	U
Song D	Atherosclerosis	29407890	2018	L
Tuleta I	Atherosclerosis.	25150938	2014	L
Van Noolen L	Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.	25139400	2014	U
Zeng X	J Transl Med	29673358	2018	L

Selection bias		Performance bias		Detection bias		Attrition bias	Reporting bias
baseline characteristics	Allocation concealment	randomized housing	blinding of investigators	random outcome assessment	blinding during outcome measurement	incomplete outcome data	selective outcome reporting
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	L	U	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	H	H
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	L	H	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	U	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
H	U	U	U	U	L	L	L
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
H	U	U	U	U	U	H	H
H	U	U	U	U	U	H	H
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L

1								
2	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
3	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
4	U	U	U	U	U	U	H	H
5	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	H
6	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
7	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
8	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
9	H	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
10	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
11	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
12	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
13	L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
14	H	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
15	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
16	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
17	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
18	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
19	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
20	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
21	U	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
22	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
23	U	U	U	U	U	L	U	L
24	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
25	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
26	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
27	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
28	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
29	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
30	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
31	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
32	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
33	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
34	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
35	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
36	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
37	L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
38	L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
39	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
40	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
41	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
42	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
43	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
44	L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
45	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
46	U	U	U	L	U	U	U	U
47	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
48	U	U	U	U	U	U	H	L
49	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
50	L	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
51	L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
52	L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
53	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	H
54	H	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
55	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
56	U	U	U	U	U	U	L	L
57								
58								
59								
60								

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	L	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
U	U	U	U	L	U	H	U
H	U	U	U	U	U	L	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
L	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	H	U
U	U	U	U	U	U	L	U

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supplementary Figure 1

A

C

B

D

Supplementary Figure 3B

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 6

Supplementary Figure 7

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	2
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	3
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	3-4
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	4
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	4-5
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	4
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	PROSPERO
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	4
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	4
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	4+ PROSPERO
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	5
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	5
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I ²) for each meta-analysis.	5

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Page 1 of 2

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	6
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	6
RESULTS			
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	7+Figure 1
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	Supplementary Tables 1 and 2
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	9+ Supplementary Figure 7 and supplementary table 3
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	8-9+Figures 2,3,4,5
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	8-9+Figures 2,3,4,5
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	9+ Supplementary Figure 7 and supplementary table 3
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	10+ supplementary figure 8+ Supplementary Table 4
DISCUSSION

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	10-13
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	13
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	10-13
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	15

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit: www.prisma-statement.org.