



HAL
open science

Selective deposition of Ta₂O₅ by adding plasma etching super-cycles in plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition steps

Rémi Vallat, Rémy Gassilloud, Brice Eychenne, Christophe Vallée

► To cite this version:

Rémi Vallat, Rémy Gassilloud, Brice Eychenne, Christophe Vallée. Selective deposition of Ta₂O₅ by adding plasma etching super-cycles in plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition steps. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A*, 2017, 35 (1), pp.01B104. 10.1116/1.4965966 . hal-01891189

HAL Id: hal-01891189

<https://hal.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/hal-01891189>

Submitted on 28 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial | 4.0 International License

Selective deposition of Ta₂O₅ by adding plasma etching super-cycles in plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition steps

Rémi Vallat

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LTM-CNRS, F-38000 Grenoble, France and CEA, LETI, Minatec Campus, F-38054 Grenoble, France

Rémy Gassilloud

CEA, LETI, Minatec Campus, F-38054 Grenoble, France

Brice Eyche and Christophe Vallée^{a)}

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LTM-CNRS, F-38000 Grenoble, France

(Received 19 July 2016; accepted 10 October 2016; published 25 October 2016)

In this paper, a new route for a selective deposition of thin oxide by atomic layer deposition is discussed. The proposed process is using super cycles made of an additional plasma etching step in a standard plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) process. This allows the selective growth of a thin oxide on a metal substrate without a specific surface deactivation by means of self assembled monolayer. It is shown that adding a small amount of NF₃ etching gas to an oxygen plasma gas every eight cycles of the PEALD process helps to fully remove the Ta₂O₅ layer on Si and/or SiO₂ surface while keeping few nanometers of Ta₂O₅ on the TiN substrate. NF₃ addition is also used to increase the incubation time before Ta₂O₅ growth on Si or SiO₂ substrate. In this way, a selective deposition of Ta₂O₅ on the TiN substrate is obtained with properties (density, leakage current...) similar to the ones obtained in a conventional PEALD mode. Hence, the authors demonstrate that a future for selective deposition could be a process using both PEALD and atomic layer etching. © 2016 American Vacuum Society. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4965966>]

I. INTRODUCTION

At advanced nodes, lithography starts to dominate the wafer cost [extreme ultraviolet (EUV), managing multiple mask passes (per layer) and pattern placement error...]. Therefore, complementary techniques are needed to continue 2D scaling and extend the Moore's law.¹ Selective deposition, a bottom/up technique, is one of them because it can be used to increase and enhance patterning capabilities at a very low cost. Of all the different deposition processes, atomic layer deposition (ALD) is the more suitable tool for developing a selective process due to its high surface sensitivity. Hence, ALD may allow to overcome lithography limits, being currently used for self-aligned double and quadruple patterning.²⁻⁵

The strong sensitivity of ALD to the chemistry of the substrate has been the source of the development of a new process named selective-area (or area-selective) ALD.⁶⁻¹¹ The growth with this method is allowed or inhibited on a selective area by using a specific passivation or protection of the surface in order to limit its chemical reaction with the ALD precursor. This surface deactivation is usually obtained by using organic groups such as self-assembled monolayers (SAM). The idea is to chemically and locally bond a molecule directly to the surface in order to inhibit reactive sites and then prevent further reactions between the precursor molecules and the surface. Alkyl silanes with long hydrocarbon chains terminated with a reactive silane end groups are well known to inhibit the chemical reactions by modifying the hydrophilic surface (-OH terminated, for example) to a

hydrophobic surface. SAM of octadecyltrichlorosilane as surface modifying agents for area selective ALD have been widely investigated for the growth of oxides (HfO₂, TiO₂, ZrO₂, Al₂O₃, and ZnO)^{6-8,12-16} and metals (Ru, Pt, and Ir)^{10,11,17-20} on 2D or 3D features.²¹ SAM are formed by immersing the substrate into a solution containing the SAM-forming precursor molecules or by using a vapor phase process.¹⁹ Some limiting steps for this method are: (1) the SAM must be defect-free, which (2) requires extended SAM deposition times.⁶ Therefore, some authors have proposed to use lithographically defined polymer films instead of SAM (Refs. 14 and 22) or to transfer a block copolymer mask.²³ The process has also been improved using both selective ALD and selective removal of the SAM (Refs. 13 and 24) or by using patterned surfaces^{25,26} and vacuum UV light.²⁷

Another pathway for selective area deposition with ALD is to take advantage of the inherent substrate-dependent growth initiation by using different precursors or "water-free" processes.^{14,28,29} Atanasov *et al.*²⁸ have obtained up to 2 nm of selective ALD growth of TiO₂. This method based on nucleation delay between two surfaces has the advantage to avoid the use of complex organic blocking layer. But it is limited to the initial nucleation delay of ALD for two different substrates as illustrated in Fig. 1.

In this work, we propose a new pathway, based on nucleation delay and on the joint use of a plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) mode and a plasma etching mode, such as atomic layer etching (ALE), by adding a chemical plasma etching step to the ALD ones. This process has the advantage of being simple, easy to integrate, and with no use of SAM, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The thin oxide film is deposited in the PEALD mode. An oxygen plasma is used to

^{a)}Electronic mail: christophe.vallee@cea.fr




FIG. 1. (Color online) Illustration of a selective deposition process only based on the nucleation delay induced by the ALD process.

oxidize the ligands of the precursor. The different nucleation delay observed either on metal, oxide, or silicon substrate will allow to start with a few nanometers of selective deposition. After several cycles, an etching gas is added to the oxygen plasma in order to remove the oxide from the surface with the longer nucleation delay. The etching gas composition is chosen in order to passivate the surface after all the oxide has been etched, subsequently creating a new nucleation delay which is longer than the previous one. Then, a new PEALD mode is started, and after several cycles, the plasma etching mode is used again. This PEALD + ALE




FIG. 2. (Color online) Illustration of a selective deposition process based on the combination of PEALD and ALE processes. (a) shows that the selective thickness is increasing with time (no limits). (b) is a zoomed-in view of (a) for a better understanding of the process (ST = selective thickness).

super cycle can be repeated several times, depending on the thickness target for the oxide. In this paper, we will use this new process for the selective area deposition of Ta₂O₅ as example, but it seems not restricted to this oxide.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. PEALD reactor and Ta₂O₅ deposition

The selective depositions were made in a tool equipped with a pulsed liquid injection system for precursor distribution and a dual frequency plasma assistance³⁰ on 200 and 300 mm wafers. This versatile tool allows the deposition of oxides, metals nitrides in CVD, PECVD, ALD, or PEALD modes.^{30–32} Tertiary-butylimidotrisdiethyl-amino tantalum (TBTDET) liquid precursor was used in combination with an O₂ plasma for the PEALD of Ta₂O₅ oxide. The O₂ gas was also used for the purge as first proposed by Choi *et al.*³³ For the etching step, NF₃ gas was added to O₂ (See Secs. III B and III C). The deposition module consists of an evaporating furnace and a deposition chamber. The furnace is used for the liquid precursor flash vaporization. The liquid precursor is carried using He to the evaporating furnace where it is introduced, thanks to a liquid injector. The vaporized precursor is then introduced into the deposition chamber through a showerhead with two separated paths: one for the precursor gas and the other for the reactant gas. The deposition chamber is a capacitively coupled plasma chamber with a distance of a few centimeters between the showerhead and the substrate holder. Two independently controlled generators can be used to power the plasma at 13.56 MHz and/or 350 kHz. Both generators deliver the power at the showerhead, the substrate holder being grounded. For this paper, only the plasma in the 13.56 MHz mode has been used either for oxidation in PEALD process (Ar + O₂ plasma) or etching (Ar + O₂ + NF₃).

B. Characterization tools

Thickness, density, and roughness were measured by x-ray reflection (XRR), using a Jordan Valley JVX5200 for process development (deposition and etching) and using a Panalytical X'Per Pro tool for selective deposition tests (samples on holder). Chemical composition was measured with x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a Thermo Scientific Theta 300, with Al-K α , 400 μ m and 100 eV beam. Electrical characterizations in the DC mode were performed in air using a Keithley 2635a Source Meter unit, with the bottom electrode grounded.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. PEALD of Ta₂O₅

The TBTDET precursor and O₂ reactive gas are pulsed separately into the deposition chamber. The TBTDET pulse duration is 4 s, and the O₂ plasma pulse duration is 2 s with a RF power of 75 W. The O₂ gas is highly diluted, by a ratio of 1:10, in Ar gas (250 sccm of O₂ and 2500 sccm of Ar) in order to set the working pressure at 2 Torr.

First of all, the ALD window of the thermal Ta₂O₅ ALD process has been determined (plateau of the thickness as a function of deposition temperature). For this purpose, depositions have been performed at 5 different temperatures (250, 300, 350, 375, and 400 °C) with 11 ALD cycles on the Si substrate. Thicknesses are then obtained, thanks to XRR measurements and plotted as a function of deposition temperature, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The ALD plateau (deposition limited by surface reactions) corresponds to a deposition temperature lower than 350 °C. After 350 °C, the oxide thickness increases strongly with the temperature and thus switching from an ALD mode to a CVD mode for which the deposition is directly linked to the temperature. Therefore, in the following, the deposition temperature has been fixed to 325 °C for all the PEALD and selective depositions.

In a second time, we have studied the growth per cycle and nucleation delay for PEALD of Ta₂O₅ as a function of the substrate material. For this purpose, three different substrates have been used: Si with native oxide, SiO₂ (thermal oxide 100 nm), and TiN 10 nm. The number and the nature of nucleation sites will differ for the three substrates, possibly leading to different nucleation delays, consequently yielding a “first” selective thickness that may be useful for our selective process [ST1 in Fig. 2(b)]. For all three substrates, the growth per cycle and nucleation delay have been quantified using XRR measurements by evaluating the thickness of the film deposited by PEALD after 11 cycles, 21 cycles, 31 cycles, 41 cycles, and 51 cycles.

The resulting measured thicknesses are reported in Fig. 4. It shows that Si and SiO₂ substrates have equivalent nucleation delay while the Ta₂O₅ deposited on TiN has a shorter incubation time. The selective thickness for growth on TiN versus Si or SiO₂ is equal to 1.5 nm. After 11 cycles, the growth per cycle is about 0.95 Å/cycle and is more or less the same whatever be the substrates used since Ta₂O₅ is now deposited on top of Ta₂O₅ independently from the substrates.

Using the XRR measurements, we also obtained the density and roughness of the layers deposited on each of the three substrates. The measured density of the oxide on the three substrates is between 8.1 and 8.3 g/cm³, which is close to the theoretical value of 8.5 g/cm³, thus showing no influence of the substrate. Identically to the density, the roughness is not influenced by the substrate, yielding relatively smooth films with a roughness about 3 Å. A mapping of the




Fig. 3. Ta₂O₅ film thickness measured by XRR vs substrate temperature.




Fig. 4. (Color online) Ta₂O₅ film thickness measured by XRR vs number of PEALD cycles for three different substrates: Si, SiO₂, and TiN.

300 mm wafers by ellipsometry has shown a very good uniformity with a maximum 5% thickness standard deviation.

B. Plasma etching of Ta₂O₅

For the Ta₂O₅ etching, we used the NF₃ cleaning gas available inside the PEALD reactor. The tool is dedicated to the deposition of nitrides or oxides while the capacitive plasma configuration of the electrodes also enables to develop a plasma etch process in the chamber. Fluorine-based compounds are often used for the plasma etching of Ta, TaN, or Ta₂O₅ materials in reactive ion etching or inductively coupled plasma modes since the boiling temperature of TaF₅ is very low, about 230 °C.^{34,35} We thus have evaluated the Ta₂O₅ etching rate as a function of NF₃ flow rate dilution in O₂ + Ar. The plasma RF power has been fixed to 75 W, and the pressure was fixed at 2 Torr. The results are given in Table I. This shows that only a very low NF₃ flow rate (<10 sccm) allows the low etch rate needed for a precise atomic etching of the oxide.

Ta₂O₅ etching selectivity over SiO₂ or Si is known to be on the order of 5–10 depending on the plasma chemistry.³⁵ We have also tested the influence of NF₃ addition on SiO₂ etching. The results are given in Table II. From the value obtained with 5 sccm of NF₃, we found that a Ta₂O₅/SiO₂ selectivity of 1/4. Therefore, one can hope to etch Ta₂O₅ on a Si or SiO₂ surface with limited damages to the substrate.

From all these results, we decided to use the following gas mixture for the selective deposition process etching step (see the next section): NF₃ (5 sccm) + O₂ (250 sccm) + Ar (2500 sccm).

C. Selective deposition of Ta₂O₅

The selective deposition process is summarized in Fig. 5. As already said, the O₂ flow is never stopped during the

TABLE I. Etch rate of Ta₂O₅ vs NF₃ flow rate in the plasma chamber.

NF ₃ flow rate (sccm)	125	50	5
O ₂ flow rate (sccm)	250	250	250
Ta ₂ O ₅ etch rate (nm/s)	≫2	2	0.025

TABLE II. Etch rate of SiO₂ vs NF₃ + O₂ flow rates in the plasma chamber.

NF ₃ flow rate (sccm)	10	10	5
O ₂ flow rate (sccm)	0	250	250
SiO ₂ etch rate (nm/s)	0.33	0.22	0.1





FIG. 5. (Color online) illustration of the selective deposition process. A super-cycle corresponds to eight PEALD cycles + one etch cycle.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Top (a): XRR graphs recorded after Ta₂O₅ deposited on Si and SiO₂ substrates with the selective deposition process. Bottom (b): XRR graphs recorded before and after Ta₂O₅ selectively deposited on the TiN substrate.TABLE III. Ta₂O₅ film thickness and density obtained from XRR measurements on Si, SiO₂, and TiN substrates after the selective deposition process.

Number of super cycles	Ta ₂ O ₅ thickness on Si/SiO ₂ (nm)	Ta ₂ O ₅ thickness on TiN (nm)	Ta ₂ O ₅ density (g cm ⁻³)
11	0/0	3.6	6.4
22	0/0	7.1	6.1

process, and it is used for plasma oxidation as well as purge steps of the PEALD cycle. Every eight cycles a low amount of NF₃ (5 sccm) is added to O₂ gas for the etching step. This “super cycle” (PEALD + etching) can be repeated several times, depending on the desired selective thickness. In order to test and validate the process, a deposition has been carried out on the same three different substrates, i.e., Si, SiO₂, and TiN.

Figure 6 shows the XRR spectra of the three different substrates after 11 super-cycles. As can be seen in this figure, no Ta₂O₅ films are detected on Si and SiO₂ substrates while a thin film has been deposited on top of the TiN substrate. A modeling of the structure allows to extract the respective thickness and density for the Ta₂O₅ film deposited on TiN. The density of the Ta₂O₅ film deposited with the selective deposition process is lower than the nominal film by the PEALD mode with a value of about 6.4 g cm⁻³ against 8.1 g cm⁻³ for nominal oxide. This low value of density is not modified, even for higher thicknesses of Ta₂O₅ as reported in Table III. The growth per cycle for the selective deposition process is 0.32 Å/cycle and is about three times lower than the growth per cycle of the PEALD process.

The selective deposition on TiN has been confirmed using an angle-resolved XPS analysis performed on all the substrates after the deposition process. Figure 7 shows the O1s spectra for the Si substrate (a) and TiN substrate (b). For the TiN substrate, the O1s spectrum can be fitted with two




FIG. 7. (Color online) O1s photoelectron spectra recorded after the selective deposition process on (a) Si substrate and (b) TiN substrate.

Gaussian components. The first component, with a peak around 530.5 eV is attributed to O-Ta binding.^{36,37} The second smaller peak at 531.7 eV is attributed to surface atmospheric contamination and O-C bonds. The O1s spectrum obtained at the surface of the Si substrate also shows two components: the first one at lower energy is due to surface contamination (O-C binding); the second peak at higher energy is attributed to O-Si binding. No components from O-Ta binding can be observed on the surface of the Si substrate, indicating a good correlation with XRR measurements in the absence of Ta₂O₅ film on the Si substrate.

The role of the NF₃ plasma in the process is not only to etch the Ta₂O₅ layer from Si or SiO₂ substrate but also to add an additional nucleation delay for Ta₂O₅ deposition on Si or SiO₂, thanks to the formation of Si-F or SiO-F bonds. We therefore compared the PEALD of Ta₂O₅ with or without pretreatment of the substrate by means of NF₃ plasma. The results of Si and TiN substrates are given in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), respectively. These two figures show that NF₃ plasma on the Si surface increases the nucleation delay for Ta₂O₅ deposition while it has nearly no effect on TiN.

XPS analysis at varying angles have been performed on very thin Ta₂O₅ layers selectively deposited after the NF₃ surface pretreatment of the TiN and Si substrates. The




Fig. 8. (Color online) Ta₂O₅ film thickness measured by XRR vs number of PEALD cycles for untreated and NF₃ treated substrates. (a) Ta₂O₅ film thickness vs number of PEALD cycles for Si substrate exposed or not to NF₃ plasma before deposition. (b) Ta₂O₅ film thickness vs number of PEALD cycles for TiN substrate exposed or not to NF₃ plasma before deposition.

two-dimensional detector integrated on the XPS tool allows to perform a parallel acquisition of the photoemission signals at six emission angles from 20° to 80° with respect to the sample normal. Figure 9 shows the intensity of the F1s XPS peak region with angles varying from high angle to low angle values. With the high angle values, only Ta₂O₅ layer is analyzed by XPS, while with low angle values, both Ta₂O₅ and the substrates are analyzed. As can be seen in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), whatever the substrates Ta-F bonds are always detected inside the Ta₂O₅ material. The presence of Ta-F bonds inside the material after the selective deposition process is discussed below by analyzing the Ta4f peaks (Fig. 10). When decreasing the XPS incidence angle in order to probe both the Ta₂O₅ layer and the substrate, a new feature at 686.6 eV is only observed [Fig. 9(a)] for the Si substrate. This is due to the presence of Si-F bonds at the interface. After the NF₃ plasma, Si-F bonds are formed at the surface which can explain the additional delay in nucleation with NF₃ treatment observed in Fig. 8(a). No additional Ti-F bonds are observed for low values of XPS angles Fig. 9(b). To verify the absence of Ti-F bonds, we also plot in Fig. 10 the Ti2p XPS region for Ta₂O₅ deposited by PEALD (a) and by selective deposition (b). The same two contributions are observed for the two samples: Ti-O at 458.6 eV and Ti-N at 457.5 eV. No additional Ti-F bond is observed for the selectively deposited Ta₂O₅ material in Fig. 10(b). We can then assume that the TiN substrate is not modified by the NF₃ plasma that can explain identical nucleation time observed [Fig. 8(b)].




Fig. 9. XPS spectra showing the F1s region of Ta₂O₅ film recorded at different incidence angle. (a) Ta₂O₅ deposited on NF₃ treated Si substrate. (b) Ta₂O₅ deposited on NF₃ treated TiN substrate.




FIG. 10. (Color online) XPS spectra showing the Ti2p region of Ta₂O₅ film. (a) Ta₂O₅ deposited by PEALD. (b) Ta₂O₅ selectively deposited.

Figure 11 shows the XPS Ta4f peaks of Ta₂O₅ deposited by PEALD and with the selective deposition process on TiN substrates. The comparison between the two processes clearly evidences: (1) the presence of Ta–F bonds inside the material due to the NF₃ plasma in the super-cycle (about 9% F in the oxide from chemical analyses from XPS); (2) a slight shift in the maximum of intensity of the Ta4f7 and Ta4f5 peaks (Ta–O bonds). This seems to indicate that the Ta₂O₅ film obtained from the selective deposition process is not fully oxidized and may explain the small decrease in density as compared to PEALD Ta₂O₅.




FIG. 11. (Color online) XPS spectra showing the Ta4f region of Ta₂O₅ films deposited on TiN substrate by PEALD or by selective deposition.




FIG. 12. (Color online) Leakage current of Ta₂O₅ MIM devices (Pt/Ta₂O₅ 7 nm/TiN) vs voltage supply.

Nevertheless, Ta–F bonds may not be critical for the electrical properties of the oxide. Figure 12 shows the current–voltage (I–V) curve of metal insulator metal (MIM) devices made with 7 nm of Ta₂O₅. One is deposited by PEALD and the other one is deposited using the selective deposition process. The bottom electrode is TiN, and the top electrode is Pt deposited by evaporation. As can be observed in Fig. 12, better results are obtained using the selective deposition process with a leakage current that is 2 orders of magnitudes lower. This very first electrical result has to be confirmed in a future work, thanks to a statistical study on a high number of wafers.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have demonstrated that we can selectively deposit Ta₂O₅ on TiN versus Si/SiO₂ by adding a plasma etching step to a PEALD process: 5 sccm of NF₃ is added to the O₂ plasma step every eight cycles in order to selectively remove the Ta₂O₅ layer from Si and SiO₂ versus TiN substrate. Si–F bonds at the surface also helps to increase the selective thickness by increasing the incubation time before starting the PEALD growth of Ta₂O₅ on Si or SiO₂ substrate. The presence of 9% F atoms in Ta₂O₅ layer is also found by XPS in the volume of the oxide in this first selective trial. The Fluor content does not seem to impact the electrical property of the deposited oxide. However, future work will be needed to evaluate possible effects on the Ta₂O₅ properties and decrease the Fluor content in the layers. This selective process has the advantage of being simple, easy to integrate, and compatible with standard microelectronics processing, with no use of SAMs, and seems not to be limited to Ta₂O₅ deposition. In particular, we will next focus on the results of selective deposition of TiO₂ on metallic surfaces versus insulators.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European project PANACHE and by the French Government program “Investissements d’Avenir” managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) under the Contract No. ANR-10-IQPX-33.

- ¹K. Galatsis *et al.*, *Adv. Mater.* **22**, 769 (2010).
- ²D. C. Flanders and N. N. Efremow, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B 1**, 1105 (1983).
- ³W. Shiu, H. Liu, J. Wu, T. Tseng, C. Te Liao, C. Liao, J. Liu, and T. Wang, *Proc. SPIE* **7274**, 72740E (2009).
- ⁴H. B. Profijt, S. E. Potts, M. C. M. van de Sanden, and W. M. M. Kessels, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **A 29**, 050801 (2011).
- ⁵S. Babin, G. Glushenko, T. Weber, T. Kaesebier, E.-B. Kley, and A. Szeghalmi, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B 30**, 031605 (2012).
- ⁶M. Yan, Y. Koide, J. R. Babcock, P. R. Markworth, J. A. Belot, T. J. Marks, and R. P. H. Chang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **79**, 1709 (2001).
- ⁷M. H. Park, Y. J. Jang, H. M. Sung-Suh, and M. M. Sung, *Langmuir* **20**, 2257 (2004).
- ⁸R. Chen, H. Kim, P. C. McIntyre, and S. F. Bent, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **84**, 4017 (2004).
- ⁹R. Chen, H. Kim, P. C. McIntyre, D. W. Porter, and S. F. Bent, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **86**, 191910 (2005).
- ¹⁰K. J. Park, J. M. Doubt, T. Gougousi, and G. N. Parsons, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **86**, 051903 (2005).
- ¹¹E. Färm, M. Kemell, M. Ritala, and M. Leskelä, *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **12**, 415 (2006).
- ¹²R. Chen, H. Kim, P. C. McIntyre, and S. F. Bent, *Chem. Mater.* **17**, 536 (2005).
- ¹³F. S. M. Hashemi, C. Prasittichai, and S. F. Bent, *ACS Nano* **9**, 8710 (2015).
- ¹⁴A. Sinha, D. W. Hess, and C. L. Henderson, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B 24**, 2523 (2006).
- ¹⁵H. B. R. Lee and S. F. Bent, *Atomic Layer Deposition of Nanostructured Materials*, edited by N. Pinna and M. Knez (Wiley, 2012).
- ¹⁶A. J. M. Mackus, A. A. Bol, and W. M. M. Kessels, *Nanoscale* **6**, 10941 (2014).
- ¹⁷X. Jiang and S. F. Bent, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **154**, D648 (2007).
- ¹⁸E. Färm, M. Vehkamäki, M. Ritala, and M. Leskelä, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **27**, 074004 (2012).
- ¹⁹E. Färm, S. Lindroos, M. Ritala, and M. Leskelä, *Chem. Mater.* **24**, 275 (2012).
- ²⁰H. B. R. Lee, M. N. Mullings, X. Jiang, B. M. Clemens, and S. F. Bent, *Chem. Mater.* **24**, 4051 (2012).
- ²¹W. Dong, K. Zhang, Y. Zhang, T. Wei, Y. Sun, X. Chen, and N. Dai, *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4458 (2014).
- ²²M. N. Mullings, H. B. R. Lee, N. Marchack, X. Jiang, Z. Chen, Y. Gorlin, K. P. Lin, and S. F. Bent, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **157**, D600 (2010).
- ²³G. Gay *et al.*, *Nanotechnology* **21**, 435301 (2010).
- ²⁴M. Fang and J. C. Ho, *ACS Nano* **9**, 8651 (2015).
- ²⁵M. Reinke, Y. Kuzminykh, and P. Hoffmann, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **7**, 9736 (2015).
- ²⁶R. H. A. Ras, E. Sahramo, J. Malm, J. Raula, and M. Karppinen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 11252 (2008).
- ²⁷P. R. Chalker, P. A. Marshall, K. Dawson, I. F. Brunell, C. J. Stuccliffe, and R. J. Potter, *AIP Adv.* **5**, 017115 (2015).
- ²⁸S. E. Atanasov, B. Kalanyan, and G. N. Parsons, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **A 34**, 01A148 (2016).
- ²⁹K. J. Hughes and J. R. Engstrom, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **A 30**, 01A102 (2012).
- ³⁰F. Pierrat, C. Vallée, R. Gassilloud, P. Michallon, B. Pelissier, and P. Caubet, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **47**, 185201 (2014).
- ³¹F. Pierrat and J. Vitiello, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B 34**, 021202 (2016).
- ³²M. Aoukar, P. D. Szkutnik, D. Jourde, B. Pelissier, P. Michallon, P. Noé, and C. Vallée, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **48**, 265203 (2015).
- ³³S.-W. Choi, C.-M. Jang, D.-Y. Kim, J.-S. Ha, H.-S. Park, W. Koh, and C.-S. Lee, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **42**, S975 (2003).
- ³⁴S. Seki, T. Unagami, and B. Tsujiyama, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **130**, 2505 (1983).
- ³⁵K. P. Lee, K. B. Jung, R. K. Singh, S. J. Pearton, C. Hobbs, and P. Tobin, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **A 18**, 1169 (2000).
- ³⁶Y. Kuo, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **139**, 579 (1992).
- ³⁷E. Atanasova and D. Spassov, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **135**, 71 (1998).