



**Some like it complex: Building a common
interdisciplanry background from local experiences
within the south-mediterranean environmental research
community**

Mehdi Saqalli, Laurent Marie Kupélian, Hedia Chakroun, Gil Mahé, Carla Khater, Laurent Drapeau, Johnny Fenianos, Stéphane Cartier, Zohra Lili Chaabane, Jean-Luc Probst, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Mehdi Saqalli, Laurent Marie Kupélian, Hedia Chakroun, Gil Mahé, Carla Khater, et al.. Some like it complex: Building a common interdisciplanry background from local experiences within the south-mediterranean environmental research community. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 2023, On Combining Approaches for Studying Socio-environmental Dynamics over Rural-urban Ecosystems on Mediterranean Shores, 5, 10.3389/frsc.2023.1152244 . hal-04238817

HAL Id: hal-04238817

<https://hal.science/hal-04238817>

Submitted on 16 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Some like it complex: Building a common multidisciplinary background from local experiences within the South-Mediterranean environmental research community

Saqalli Mehdi¹, Kupelian Laurent², Chakroun Hedia³, Mahé Gil⁴, Khater Carla⁵, Drapeau Laurent⁶, Fenianos Johnny⁷, Cartier Stéphane⁸, Chaabane Zohra Lili⁹, Probst Jean-Luc¹⁰, Saenz Melio¹¹

¹ CNRS, UMR5602 GEODE, CNRS-Université Toulouse 2 Jean Jaurès, Toulouse, France.

² CREEMO (Centre de Recherche en Environnement-Espace Méditerranée Orientale), Department of Geography, Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

³ LMHE, National Engineering School of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia

⁴ IRD, UMR7330 HSM, IRD-CNRS-IMT-Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

⁵ Center for Remote Sensing, National Council for Scientific Research, Beirut, Lebanon

⁶ IRD, UMR7330 CEREGE, IRD-CNRS-AMU-Collège de France-INRA, Aix-en-Provence, France

⁷ EA 4556 Epsilon Laboratory, Université Montpellier III Paul Valéry, Montpellier, France

⁸ UMR 5194 PACTE Laboratory, Université Grenoble, Grenoble, France

⁹ GREEN-TEAM, INAT-University of Carthage, Tunis, Tunisia

¹⁰ CNRS, UMR 5245 ECOLAB, CNRS-UT3-INPT, Université Toulouse 3 Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.

¹¹ Université de Cuenca, Cuenca, Ecuador

Abstract

This article addresses the difficulty to introduce and settle multidisciplinary in environmental researches within and among the South-Mediterranean environmental research national communities. Moreover, this work attends to assess the internal and external structural factors treating such complex issues in rural, urban and periurban contexts and finally the connections and dependences over these components. Throughout a series of programs, projects and actions having involved scientists and scholars from Algeria, France, Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia, some common patterns can be observed despite notable differences in environmental and political contexts. Thus, the main common issues involve funding matters (budget reductions and less versatility), administrative and social hierarchy, relatively small connection with public services and community representatives and finally the reluctance shown by many researchers to make data available for the community. Nevertheless, the fact that national and international (Arabic and French speaking sphere) researcher's communities have progressively built mutual knowledge thanks to different collaborations, is a major achievement sustaining the multidisciplinary in the environmental research. Indeed, this allowed the elaboration of sustainability metrics, démarches and procedures for assessing environmentally and socioeconomically complex issues.

Keywords

South Mediterranean shores; multidisciplinary research; national research communities; research program history; rural–urban environmental issues

Introduction

Mediterranean shores are among the most fragile and degraded biomes on Earth, mainly due to (i) the geographical situation, often at the edge of arid areas, playing a major role in the natural resources distribution, and (ii) the long history of human use of local resources and occupation that has shaped current ecosystems. Indeed, globally Mediterranean areas suffered an early neolithization (east Mediterranean areas) as well as many great phases of landscape anthropization (especially during the Bronze Age and the Roman-Byzantine periods) resulting in the diversification of agricultural activities (mainly deforestation, cultivation and grazing) with therefore, major environmental consequences. Many of them has led to soil transformation impacting thus the whole land cover, hydro and morphosystems and therefore, all the biophysical components of socio-ecosystems (SES). Consequently, this approximately 10 millennia of anthropization, especially the last two centuries, has negatively impacted the resilience of the Mediterranean SES, mostly the southern areas currently situated in the arid/semi-arid areas (Massuel & Riaux, 2017; Mekki et al. 2018; Ouin et al. 2021). For this reason, it is essential when studying Mediterranean environment, to acknowledge the following points:

- Because of the impact of long-time anthropogenic factors, every landscape is deeply anthropized thus there is no “unperturbed/natural” environments present in this area.
- According to the first point and even if the SES concept is initially originated from a mostly biophysical point of view and following Stojanovic et al. (2016) or Saqalli (2020), the study of present-time environmental components and SES implies to consider anthropic actions and motives (including demographic and socio-economic) as the most important environmental driver by far;
- Even more, while quite all preindustrial farming systems were including a city where food surplus and cash crops were concentrated and consumed, ancient SES from Middle East and Mediterranean shores are mostly organized around and defined by dominating cities and urban fluxes and drivers, with their hinterlands, although rare (inland Spain, Morocco or Turkey) are avoiding this structural pattern (Grigg, 1974, Mazoyer & Roudart, 1997). Consequently, all shoreline Mediterranean SES are *de facto* also urban in their nature, structure and dynamics. Research programs working on them should be settled accordingly;
- Finally, applied socio-environmental research does not mean to study each environmental risk, threat or nuisance separately. One may see that these lasts are often prioritized according to the ease of their studies (easy-to-measure data, simple procedures...) rather than their local characteristics. It shows the need of a formal methodology to evaluate and compare socio-environmental issues. By that means, applied research must include socio-environmental issues in order to deliver to stakeholders’ effective advice in term of environmental management and land use planning in regard of sustainable development challenges.

Furthermore, these systems are evolving rapidly: climate change has already great impacts on Mediterranean shores (Lelieveld et al. 2012; Malek et al. 2018; Lionello & Scarascia, 2020), especially in very urbanized areas where the combination of extreme events, particularly droughts and extreme heat waves, as well as the urban heat island phenomenon, can increase the vulnerability of the concerned populations (Cartalis et al. 2001; Houet et al. 2014; Masson et al. 2014; Bartholy & Pongrácz, 2018; Badaro-Saliba *et al.* 2021). Coastal areas are indeed exposed to environmental pressures regarding natural resources (water, soil or biodiversity) and hydro-climate fluctuations, even if some trends indicate that desertification is not the sole future of the considered areas (Malek *et al.* 2018). As an illustrative example, Lebanon and Algeria coastal areas have undergone over the past decades an uncontrolled positive urban sprawl rate (Faour, 2014; Rabehi *et al.* 2019) resulting in high environmental pressures threatening valuable natural habitats such as coastal wetlands (Aitali *et al.*

2022), and the quantity and quality of available natural resources as coastal water aquifers (MOE/UNDP/ECODIT, 2011). Altogether, geopolitical instability, demographic growth and urban expansion are undeniable vulnerability-enhancing factors threatening and pressuring natural resources, letting a very thin buffer to withstand future shocks and threats to come. Because of this ancient environmental anthropization and the geographical position of the Mediterranean region (at the margin of various climatic systems (Alpert *et al.* 2005), the related habitats are extremely vulnerable to both human pressures and hydro-climatic fluctuations. For instance, despite being a natural phenomenon of the Mediterranean dry season, the combination of human pressures and extreme meteorological events as summer droughts has made the Méditerranée the most impacted region worldwide by forest fire hazards (Mhawej *et al.* 2016). In France, about 4040 forest fire episodes have been recorded over the Mediterranean coastline between 2017 and 2018 (BDIFF). In Greece, following the 2021 events, most of the burned areas have been classified as vulnerable to water runoff (Evelpidou *et al.* 2021) hence exposing the neighboring urbanized areas to floods and debris flows hazards during winter storms events. However, one of the main environmental and economic consequences of forest fire is the reduction of crop potential of impacted areas, which leads to serious societal crisis, including diplomatic incidents (Greece and Turkey in 2020 or Algeria and Morocco in 2021¹). Hence, by the direct impact of drought events over natural resources (water and soils mainly), it is safe to consider a tangible influence on food prices in the future if the frequency and intensity were to increase. Major social instabilities are therefore to be expected as the Arab spring insurgencies in 2010 directly linked to a food price inflation related to a severe drought episode (Maystadt *et al.* 2014).

This drought / fire example illustrates both the imbrication of dynamics where human and environmental dynamics are intertwined and the legitimate and genuine difficulty to manage such issues as a public institution. Indeed, SES and especially some very anthropized such as the Mediterranean ones are difficult to characterize because of the systems complexity involving many components all interacting with one another. To understand and study such complex systems it requires to take into consideration both biophysical and social indicators in a well-organized multidisciplinary scientific approach, yet to be built (Rouchier & Requier-Desjardins, 2000; Schmidt-Lainé & Pavé, 2002; Metzger & d'Ercole, 2009; Hamel, 2010; Marignani *et al.* 2017). There is a tremendous amount of literature regarding the inherent multidisciplinary to be considered for assessing research programs on such socio-environments. However, formalizing the demarche have been facing the obstacle of formal definition among the various terms of pluri or multidisciplinary, interdisciplinarity or transdisciplinarity) until these “endless” typologies, classifications and hierarchies (Graff, 2015) collapses on itself, especially regarding the relation to sustainability. Indeed, several attempts to systemize the definitions of this series of world did face contradictions as nuances are difficult to estimate¹ (Brewer, 1999; Lélé & Norgaard 2005; Chettiparamb, 2007; Jacobs & Frickel, 2009; Klein, 2010, 2017; Alvargonzález, 2011; Lam *et al.* 2014; Nicolescu, 2014; Brown *et al.* 2015; Frodeman *et al.* 2017). As a result, we will use as a common minimal ground the word “multidisciplinarity” better than other worlds that implies more criteria but on which no consensus has been established yet.

But the challenge is definitively not only epistemological: development stakeholders and public administrations have to establish and defend integrated environmental policies, implying a politically risky prioritization of issues and objectives. These are undoubtedly, especially in these Mediterranean shore environments, singularly challenging. Apart from influences from various economic operators,

¹ Even more, we clearly state against the use of “Indisciplinarity”, as it is more of a slogan referring to both the “I’m so-much-of-a-rebel-myself” teenage ambiance and the “when-you-want, you-can” corporate start-up verbiage than a real concept

which do exist and should be acknowledged as having a major influence over public choices, collaborative scientific approaches for untangling the complexity of environmental issues are therefore essential to provide decision makers advice and data and at least promote virtuous practices and combat *de facto* easy-going policies. However, researchers still avoid to propose and assume such prioritization procedures as they are firstly diagnostic and doubt providers. Environmental public policies need response-providers, orientating them towards the consulting expert communities. Indeed, these lasts provide quick and direct-to-action results since they usually detach themselves with the constraints of a robust scientific approach and propose turnkey policies.

The question is therefore: is it only an epistemological and conceptual issue opposing different schools of methodology and practice? Is it primarily an institutional and political issue within research communities, even before considering the political aspect of policies? Why is it extremely difficult to build a structured multidisciplinary research community?

In order to answer these questions, we suggest in this paper to explore how previously evaluated public research programs on environmental issues have addressed such challenges. Therefore, by reporting an apparent disparate series of research programs (in which the authors have been directly involved), this article aims to characterize the development of the combination of procedures and indicators on socio-ecological issues.

The objective of this article comes from a series of social experiences within the environmental academic and public service communities and to be precise, the pursue of several research programs that build communities of research around sustainability in three Arab countries along the Mediterranean shores, namely Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia. Assessing these research programs has been promoted as both improving environment research, multidisciplinary and cooperation both between North and South and between southern countries. The questions we raise after these *de facto* social experiments: were they so positive? If No, what were the obstacles and constraints to such objectives? If yes, how was it possible and what experience can we gain from them?

This paper focuses therefore on a reflection on practices aiming a multidisciplinary approach on research thematic, where it can help setting-up objectives and being accepted by all scientific communities. Moreover, the need to work on inclusive indicators will be assessed from the growing necessity in research projects to link their results with socio-economic and health situation. Consequently, for the study and understanding of SES, the focus will be on the development of new methodologies for establishing environmental indicators rather than simple technical note provided on each environmental component separately. Discussing these common trends and obstacles and formalizing the sociological and institutional encountered barriers during these experiences may then provide some conclusions and implementation suggestions regarding not-so-obvious integrated and multidisciplinary researches.

These questions are not only management issues as they reveal both political, socio-economical and geopolitical issues of these countries but also on the epistemological obstacles one may face in such socioenvironmental issues

Materials & Methods

Several case studies are here explored and results are obtained as *a posteriori* analyses of these cases. Following the participatory observation demarche as formalized by Olivier de Sardan (Olivier de Sardan, 1995; 2000; 2001) and also questioned by Van Asselt & Rijkens-Klomp (2002), one may consider that field qualitative observation methodologies do vary to adapt to special configurations of

strategic group dynamics, institutional contexts and connections. Consequently, the various case studies do have various methodologies:

SICMED Multidisciplinary Seminar analysis

Context and purposes

MISTRALS was a 10-years multidisciplinary research program supported by the most important French public research institution (CNRS) to sustain an integrative approach for the study of the Mediterranean socio-ecological systems (Voltz et al. 2018). The program included seven research components including SICMED². The scope of the research was on the interactions between environmental components (water, soil, sea and atmosphere) with societies. The study area concerned four French-speaking Mediterranean countries (Algeria, Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia) and thus opened great collaborations between French laboratories and local research centers and universities. Moreover, thanks to a series of 8 years funded actions within MISTRALS program, the SICMED research community was able to develop solid multidisciplinary approaches involving members of every concerned countries (50 members, mostly from France, Lebanon, Morocco, Tunisia and Algeria as a guest country). During this workshop, many exchanges and collaborations including scientific proposals, field missions, student supervisions and scientific publications has allowed SICMED researchers from different fields to learn how to work with each other and thereby, SICMED projects were able to benefit of a true and solid base for scientific multidisciplinary collaboration. The scientific background of the 50 workshop participants (41% Tunisian, 22% French, 15% Moroccan, 11% Lebanese, and the rest Algerian, Armenian, Spanish or Italian) were from social, water, agriculture, environment and geo-sciences.

Following the prospects of the SICMED2 meeting in Marrakech, Morocco (11/2017), the objective of the 11/2018 workshop on “Governance and Indicators” in Hammamet (Tunisia) were to bring out scientific perspectives exploring thematic, disciplinary, spatial and temporal boundaries in order to emphasize the research towards a multidisciplinary and multiscale scientific approach. Following this perspective, the purpose of the seminar was to assess complex issues about environmental risks and threats on country and local spatial scales. All the researchers were divided in four groups following their disciplines and nationalities in order to emphasize an objective and distanced point of view.

To each of these four working groups (WG), the following were included:

1. One country representative, i.e. – an academic qualified enough to be able to describe and synthesize all environmental issues (risks and treats) of the country. However, because of some logistic problems, only three countries were represented: Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia;
2. A “club of Interviewers” composed by all the nationalities at the exception of the concerned country was included in each WG as investigators. Following this perspective, only Moroccan, French, Algerian and Tunisian scholars were interviewing the Lebanese representative for instance. Furthermore, the investigators have been distributed to cover the maximum number of disciplines – including one social scientist for each session;
3. One observer was designated in each session to take notes on the asked questions, the debate and the provided answers by the country representatives.

The questions to be addressed during these sessions were open and related on scientific or socio-economic issues which require a multidisciplinary approach and/or a change of spatial scale in order to establish scientific approaches which go beyond thematic boundaries. The assessed problematic was: “could we consider that grouping non-national experts for interviewing local ones can ease the diagnosis over socio-environmental issues”? The workshop was conducted in two phases:

² Surfaces et Interfaces Continentales en Méditerranée: Continental Surfaces and Interfaces along the Mediterranean Sea

Working Group Phase

According to the Hammamet workshop thematic, researchers/workers were asked to think/design/build indicators which had to (1) illustrate aspects of at least two disciplines and to (2) allow researchers, evaluators and stakeholders to make sense of the representations/models they adopt for analyzing, describing and evaluating research sites they investigate in their countries. Hence the following recommendations were given to workgroups for creating multidisciplinary indicators:

- 1- Settling criteria for evaluating the quality of the then-built indicators
 - a. Usefulness: Practicality, Measurability, Coverage, Accuracy, Synthetic
 - b. Social relevance: Understandable, Practical, Generic, Illustrative
 - c. Sensitivity/Robustness
 - d. Multiplicity of target groups: Public Services, Associations, Foundations, NGOs, Agencies Specific Programs, General public
- 2- Targeting the right groups and stakeholders: Public Services, Associations, Foundations, NGOs, Agencies, Programs, large public

In order to make the indicators formulation approach generic across different countries, it was recommended to the participants to identify for each investigated site and within each relevant discipline the following components:

- Type of data: surveys, in situ data, images, maps, etc.
- Spatial scale & resolution: experimental plots, farm, watershed, etc.
- Temporal scale & resolution: intra-annual, inter-annual, decades, etc.
- Social scale & resolution: city, village, families, etc.
- Analysis methodologies: type, calibration, validation, etc.

Project SICMED Indicators

Following the 2018 Hammamet seminar, a call for funding for small projects concerning the construction of combined qualitative and quantitative, socio-economic and biophysical indicators has been launched. This call was named PSI program (Project SICMED Indicators) with a twofold objective:

- To pursue the dynamics of constructing an international research community following the above-described 2018 Hammamet conference;
- To develop but also to investigate whether this approach of multidisciplinary combination of parameters into meaningful and efficiency-driven indicators has been imbued and percolated within the community;

This call was settled with several conditions with all been settled in order to encourage multidisciplinary works and also to reduce structural and recurring biases:

- The total amounts of funding were low, between 2.5 and 3k € and the project was restricted to a one-year action, mostly because of administrative reasons. However, the applicants were helped because it alleviates the administrative costs and management time for such actions;
- The proposed actions may support/accompany ongoing projects or actions, in order to facilitate the submission of projects and not to focus on innovative/new/disruptive research actions;
- Partnerships between researchers and public or parapublic operators were encouraged in order to foster empirical and public service-oriented projects;
- All disciplines and actions regarding socio-environmental risks, hazards, threats and nuisances can be considered but the more disciplines in the project, the better. However, the inclusion of biophysical and social sciences topic research was mandatory.

The Bouregreg River and dam program

Issue

During the last decade, several research programs in Maghreb try to contribute to increase the state of knowledge on the relationships between human activities and sediment transport modifications from upstream to downstream, including the sedimentation in the reservoir of dams on several of the larger rivers. This issue is vital and strategic in this region because of the direct links with the reduction of the volume of water stored in dams and therefore of the socio-economic value of these facilities. The large number of dams built in Maghreb (Remini et al. 2009) is linked to the very high stress on potable and irrigated water in this area under Mediterranean and semiarid climate (Mahé et al. 2013). Understanding and documenting the morphodynamical characteristics of the territories in order to develop mitigation measures to prevent land erosion and sediment accumulation is therefore one of the main environmental challenge in the Mediterranean countries. In addition, the accumulation of sediments in dams consistent with the diminution of riverine charges and material deposition downstream may contribute to increase the vulnerability of coastal areas to erosion and coastline retreat in a climate change and sea level rise context, as the quantity of sediments reaching the coastline is no longer sufficient (Amrouni & Mahé, 2021; Hzami et al. 2021) due to the siltation in dams (Vorosmarty et al. 1997, 2003; Syvitsky et al. 2005).

However, in most Mediterranean countries, river sediment fluxes are not measured on a regular basis, no dedicated international observatory has been established to conduct regular data collection and there is a lack in spatial data to support these actions. Rare database establishment attempts are based on individual data collections (Meybeck & Ragu, 2012; Peucker-Ehrenbrink, 2018) with important gaps. How the real impact of dams on sediment transports from the watershed to the coastal areas can therefore be assessed?

In 1974, the Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdallah dam has been built about 20 km from the coastal area on the Bouregreg River, one of the five largest rivers of Morocco, exclusively for providing potable water for the largest conurbation of the country extending over approximately 150 km on the Atlantic coastline. In 2005 the facility was upgraded to increase its water capacity to more than 1 billion cubic meters. The river exposes a very modest mean annual discharge of $23\text{m}^3.\text{s}^{-1}$ and the important storage capacity of the dam has a direct impact downstream on water flux. The dam has contributed to a significant reduction in the amount of water and sediment delivered downstream for nearly 50 years but however to an extent which has not been quantified/measured (El Aoula et al. 2021). Is it therefore possible to understand and foresee the impacts on the amount of sediments reaching the sea at the river mouth of Bouregreg River?

Step-by-step methodology

In Maghreb, several research programs were dedicated to understand sediments fluxes (erosion, transport and deposition) in watersheds since 2010, in a multidisciplinary research framework. All these programs were supported by the same French/Mediterranean 2010-2020 MISTRALS SICMED program funded by the French CNRS Institute of Universe Sciences. The first phase concerned among other rivers the Bouregreg River (Mahé et al. 2013b).

1. The first step was to raise and better define the focus on which the SICMED 20-persons scientific community would gather. This was based on a preliminary assessment to verify whether the level and distribution of required data was sufficient. Every expert, in his/her specific field, was questioned by other specialists on the requirements (main gaps) of his field, first on the data needs, then on the scientific priorities and finally even on the vocabulary used, until a final set of databases was considered mandatory to implement. In practice, this task was achieved through a common meeting and field trip on the basin, breaking the social glass.

2. Multidisciplinary exchanges were an important asset in the second step in the formalization of the scale and resolution of the common study in order to find a compromise between resolution thresholds (the resolution of data coverage) and the variables to be selected as sufficient to characterize a problem (Tra Bi et al. 2013; 2014).
3. In a third and final step, a parallel and more systematic measurement campaign was assessed by the Bouregreg river basin agency and the research project teams on sediments fluxes and surface erosion in the watershed surface.

The Franco-Lebanese multidisciplinary collaborative laboratory: O-LiFE

A historical reconstitution demarche: The study here is an a posteriori analysis of the rationalities of the project: firstly on the choice of the country and the institutional approach. Collective and informal discussions regarding these rationalities were settled during several seminars of the project or other collaborative ones, such as the ones described above, from 2018 to 2022 so before, during after the COVID episode to extract the institutional, diplomatic but also practical, logistic and financial rationalities affecting the project construction and purposes. The second and longer step was a reconstruction of the history of the program and its various institutional forms across time, including the different constraints, obstacles and reorganizations the project had to face and construct for adapting itself to the evolving socio-academic context of Lebanon.

Results of the studied cases

Multi-issues SICMED Seminar

WG outcomes were first combined for generating thematic sustainability indicators common to a majority of sites. After this step, in order to evaluate each site-specific sustainability, the generated indicators were assimilated to each investigated area. The restitution phase resulted in the obtainment of sustainability indicators common to different sites (Table 1):

Table 1. The 10 indicators sorted out as necessary during restitutions of the four Hammamet sessions										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Indicator	Water exploitation rate	Water quality	Water social value	Health	Water governance & Information	Ecosystem vulnerability	Water economic value	Anthropogenic Pressure/Human Impact on Water	Biodiversity	Landscape

The multiplicity of disciplines involved in each site-investigation on previous or ongoing projects, pushed the participants to subdivide the indicators into more specific sub-indicators. As these were defined during country-specific sessions, they can be either specific to locations hence implying different ratios and measurements according to the site or else be common to several sites. The sub-indicators were then evaluated in agreement with their usefulness, their social relevance and the multiplicity of target groups for which the sub-indicator would be particularly useful. In the interest of building a common measurement system following Porter *et al.* (2006) and Hamel (2010), a very basic score assignment was proposed during the sessions in order to evaluate all indicators and sub-indicators according to three sub-criteria (0: indifferent, 1: good, -1: bad). During the restitution phase of the workshop, seminar animators and managers presented an example of a score assignment on one indicator (Table 2).

Table 2 Example of one indicator of one Action: Indicator 1. Water resources exploitation rate for AMETHYST project for both sites of Tensift (Morocco) and Merguellil (Tunisia)				
Sub-Indicator type	Usefulness	Social relevance	Sensitivity/Robustness	Multiplicity of target groups

Surface Water that can be mobilized	Hydro-climatic data	(1,1,1,1,1,1) ³	(1,1,1,1) ⁴	(1) ⁵	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0) ⁶
	Evapotranspiration by remote sensing	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
	Dams siltation	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
	Models (e.g. WEAP)	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
Ground Water that can be mobilized	Hydro-climatic data	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
	Evapotranspiration by remote sensing	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
	Groundwater recharge	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
	Models (e.g. MODFLOW)	(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,1,1,1,1,1,0)
Mobilized surface Water	Agriculture surveys	(-1,1,1,0,0,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
Mobilized Ground Water	Agriculture surveys	(-1,1,1,0,0,1)	(1,1,1,1)	(1)	(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
	Illegal boreholes	(-1,1,1,-1,-1,1)	(1,1,1,1)		(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
Partial Scores of Indicator 1		(5,11,11,7,7,11) Σ = 52	(11,11,11,11) Σ = 44	(11) Σ = 11	(11,8,8,8,8,0) Σ = 43
Total Score of Indicator 1		(52+44+11+43)/(66+44+11+77) = 76%			

339

340 The example exposed in Table 2 illustrates a simple method to determine an overall score for a given
341 indicator. Participative research as a principle should be positioned at the bottom of the Arnstein
342 ladder (Arnstein, 1969) following van Asselt & Rijkens-Klomp (2002). This will be useful to target the
343 indicators to be developed or monitored in priority.

- 344 • A post-seminar follow-up was assessed by sending to all participants a long Excel table which covers
345 all the covered disciplines that were included as part of the SICMED socio-environmental issues
346 through indicators with the hope of combining them into multidisciplinary ones. Nevertheless, very
347 few answers were obtained during the first two months since some respondents clearly stated a
348 short time frame to meet all the planned objectives.
- 349 • Global results of the seminar raised the issue of the equitable/equal representativeness of the
350 scientific community since for instance hydrology were the dominant field in term of thematic
351 proposed and of number of researchers/experts. Water thematic were therefore dominant and
352 described as a main biophysical issue during the workshop due to the fact that scientist tend to
353 stick to their discipline (hydrologist among themselves and sometimes soil scientists and
354 climatologists, agriculture and economics, etc.).
- 355 • The animation team noticed the difficulty encountered by the participants during the session to
356 distinguish between a socio-environmental indicator and a variable that may be directly
357 measurable or not on the field. A socio-environmental indicator is a result which provide an insight
358 of the state and dynamics of a socio-environmental issue clearly understandable by the general
359 audience (for instance the water availability per capita and per day) and /or an environmental
360 measurement (water depth obtained for a piezometer) and a variable can be measured or not
361 (water of a local groundwater layer). On a more epistemological point of view, the attempt to
362 propose an analytical way (i.e. distinguishing variables of an issue) for apprehending a systemic
363 issue is not easy. Indeed, some variables are well-characterized but difficult to establish in a
364 quantitative way, such as subterranean or nival contributions to many Mediterranean watersheds.
365 Some elements may be known as separated but one may find covariances or mutual influences

³ Usefulness: Practicality = 1, Measurability = 1, Coverage = 1, Accuracy = 1, Synthetic = 1

⁴ Social relevance: Understandable = 1, Practical = 1, Generic = 1, Illustrative = 1

⁵ Sensitivity/Robustness = 1

⁶ Multiplicity of target groups: Public Services = 1, Associations = 1, Foundations = 1, NGOs = 1, Agencies = 1, Specific Programs = 1, General public = 1

such as the demography-resources nexus. Some parameters may be hidden or blurred or inductively defined such as the hypothesis of “religion” or “culture” for explaining what is seems irrational behaviors or only not understood (Hofstede, 1983; Bredillet et al. 2010; Rees-Caldwell & Pinnington, 2013). And so on for even more fuzzy and hard-to-distinguish variables.

- Finally, the outcome was hardly of a concern for the overall community, mostly because the combination of many indicators, intended to be exhaustive as a goal was somehow difficult to apprehend for the community. Indeed, most environments assessed in Maghreb and Lebanon are facing very different risks, nuisances and environmental threats complicate the construction of indicators and the process of priority hierarchisation.

As a partial conclusion, such a seminar might be considered as a failure but however, because of the involvement of many researchers of different disciplines, it can also be considered as a success in a sociological perspective. Indeed, one of the main challenges was the mental burden mainly due to “results” obligations in a research context of data-scarcity and lack of administrative and logistic supports, added to the fact that, for the educational context, the structures rarely meet the needs of the growing number of students. In the light of that, the working groups had coordinated their efforts to overcome the issues enhancing the scientific collaborative aspects in their works.

PSI: using a call for small projects to investigate academic perceptions

Over the two calls (2019 and 2020), 13 projects have been submitted of which 8 projects have been selected, meaning 61.5% ratio of success. We here consider all the submitted projects to be representative of the above-described community on multidisciplinary methodologies. Nevertheless, were all the projects have been apprehended and considered therefore useful? The figure 1 shows an overall representation of the projects according to three criteria:

- The scientific disciplines involved
- The number of disciplines involved per project
- The distribution of planned and funded tasks

Five countries were involved by this program: France (Island of Corsica), Tunisia, Morocco, Algeria and Lebanon. Figure 1a exposes the fact that the program mainly concerned hydrological issues. Indeed, due to the fact that serious ecological crises related to water had occurred since the independence of Maghreb countries and Lebanon (for instance the 1973-1983 drought period in Maghreb), water issues were quickly acknowledged as serious and even vital at all levels and sectors of the society and the state, from public infrastructures to scientists (Taleb, 2006; Kuper *et al.* 2009; Hamrita *et al.* 2017). Furthermore, demographic growth, great and progressive changes in consumption and habits along with an average increase of incomes as well as urban transition had also impacted the environment (Sebti *et al.* 2009). Thereby, the most used spatial scale of study was the watershed.

This situation has directly impacted the involved disciplines (figure 1b) leading to an overrepresentation of themes related to hydrology in the projects submitted in both years 2019 and 2020. The call procedure did consider this tendency and promote a formal balance between disciplines. Finally, actions tasked and applying for funding were mostly operational elements, especially student internships, logistics, materials for field measurements, etc. This statement is directly related to two major challenges in academic research: the overall lack of funds which characterizes less-endowed research budgets and also the specific lack of recurrent and easy-to-get small funds, i.e. funds that does not need long and very random selection procedures. These two points are frequently used to justify long-term and community-based research programs which can last over 10 years. It allows at last research to be planned in stages over several years, which in practice becomes extremely difficult around the Mediterranean Sea and especially on its southern shores.

Figure 1: Distribution of PSI projects according to issues and scales, disciplines involved, number of disciplines and tasks.



In the same time, the whole research follows a very stable methodological framework which includes measurements campaigns and debates among experts before the diffusion of the work. It is important to notice that paradoxically, all projects were settled from academics and cities towards rural areas. Except one project, cities are seen as not concerned by projects perceived as mostly dedicated to rural areas and issues, as if there is no direct connection between them. All the issues raised in the projects mainly concern rural areas and one project planned a workshop ex ante in order to identify the environmental issues which need to be studied according to the rural stakeholders. The rest of the projects (6) had workshops planned ex post because of time constraints. Finally, 9 projects over 13 did plan biophysical investigations along the dominant watershed perspective, and only two projects were defined according to (partially) socially-defined units, i.e. agricultural regions.

In practice, the action was wronged or/and lost a part of its credibility because of both the COVID-19 period and the accelerated end of the program funding by MISTRALS SICMED, 2 years after the launch of the program. However, the researchers of the different countries involved in the SICMED program were well aware of the program funding issues because of their good knowledge of the institutional and organizational aspects of research policies. One researcher stated that, the Indicators initiative was considered as a comet tail which means an attempt at the end of the program to try out innovative or original approaches.

The Bouregreg: understanding of the impact of dam structures on dam shores

Results

1. The first step was effective: all expert teams did agree to involve themselves into this not-so-obvious participatory process and exchanged descriptions on how they read and analyzed the environment according to their disciplines, which also helped the whole team to better know one another on a personal plan. It was a key moment for the whole program as all the experts had the opportunity to learn of different point of views on things they were used to study according to the perspective and criteria of their own discipline;
2. The second step provided several compromises: a cross-assessment of multiple sources of data (meteorological data) allowed Goussot *et al.* (2014) to explain the discrepancies between remote sensing land-cover analysis and cereal production variability over time. Another example concerns the acceleration of the gully erosion phenomenon on the banks of the dam. For some decades the issues were poorly understood by physicists until geographers and historians exposed the fact that by pushing out local population after 1920, the French colonial power strongly influenced the industrialization of local agricultural and pastoral practices thus enhancing the vulnerability of the land surfaces to gullying. The major changes in land cover led to the amplification of surface runoff which ultimately triggered the gullying of the banks, without any major changes after the independence in 1956 (Goussot *et al.* 2014). As a result, on a local scale, the kinetic of local processes was undoubtedly much higher in this area in comparison to the rest of the basin (Laouina *et al.* 2010). This common reflection helped the team to identify the main origin of sediments in the dam reservoir.
3. In the third and more intertwined step, sediments sampling campaign along the river banks between the dam and in the coastal zone (under the sub-tidal zone) combined with bathymetric surveys and a new UAV and LIDAR technologies (Hout *et al.* 2020), allowed to document sediment fluxes and surface erosion all along the watershed. Results were counter-intuitive: 80% of the dam sediments come from the erosion of the dam's banks and micro basins associated and not from the river watershed as initially expected (Ezzaouini *et al.* 2020). Sediment discharges downstream were reduced by 80% after the construction of the dam in 1974, to the point where no more sand reaches the sea. Therefore, this implies focusing future efforts on the local erosion of the banks of the dam and on the understanding of the environmental consequences of the strong decrease of sediment supply from the Bouregreg over the coastal zone.

Discussion

At the origin, the research question was very downward covering large spatial areas and involving only two disciplines, physic and hydrology. After the first year, the problematic evolves and the approach becomes upward, more focused on a local spatial scale and encompassing further scientific disciplines in addition to hydrology and physic. This evolution was possible through the combination of factors:

1. Institutional support: Long-term institutional support was mandatory. This support was provided by international agencies through the 35-years partnership between UNESCO and IHP through the FRIEND-Water program (support and development of regional capacities through workshops and training (Mahé *et al.* 2021), as well as the watershed basin agency in Morocco and the CNRS in France (INSU MISTRALS research program (Mahé *et al.* 2020). This common longstanding effort helps to envision reflections and paradoxically support radical and meaningful changes in scientific orientations, as opposed to the usual norms involving short-term projects mainly.
2. Time: As mentioned above, the temporality is a crucial notion in the implementation of projects. Agendas must include and manage field campaigns, workshops and seminars, which may appear time consuming but however help to adjust visions on main issues and eventually clarify how each team will contribute in the solving of the main problematic of the program (however it can lead to

slight differences in the speed of production of results between different teams and fields of investigation, depending on the success of different calls, but it is also a source of motivation for the involved research teams).

3. Inclusion: Reporting, meetings and field campaigns were crucial in order to open the debate within the research community. In addition, another key point was also to keep the research team open to newcomers. In practice, the 10-years program was developed according to this line of thinking, 15 workshops and 10 training sessions in the three countries (France, Algeria and Morocco), accelerating therefore the sharing of knowledge and expertise between involved teams. Inclusion therefore is a main factor in accordance with the formal assumption of a multidisciplinary research program based on debate and multidisciplinary approaches of the problematic. Inclusion however is a major challenge for most research programs by the fact that newcomers are often assigned to undefined actions which are poorly integrated into the general schedule.
4. Generalization: The share of a multidisciplinary visions over the watershed is not relevant enough for validating the approach. The extension of the debate to similar issues increases its value through parallel actions in Algeria and Tunisia. For instance, results in Algeria (Hadour et al. 2021) were enhanced by data of surface sediment fluxes (time series) from the National Hydraulic Agency (Algeria is the only country in Africa performing this type of survey) allowing therefore a calibration between sediment cores and surface fluxes. In Tunisia (Kotti et al. 2017), the combination of studied samples from estuary and near shore areas with a remote sensing study of the coastal erosion exposed the same reduction in sedimentation after 1981 and the building of the dam of the Mejerda River in northern Tunisia (Ben Moussa et al. 2019; Amrouni et al. 2019; Hzami et al. 2021). The results showed also that beach sand dunes were in a process of intense erosion in this area due to the combined effect of the littoral dynamics and the stopping of the arrival of new sedimentary materials (Amrouni et al. 2019).

By the fact that similar causes produce similar effects in equivalent situations/contexts, characteristics and physical dynamic of one site may be interpreted as reliable proxies for the studies of other sites. The working environment was however not characterized by a complete social harmony therefore leading to several oppositions due to inherent structural constraints within the academic community:

- The first point was the necessity to share an important amount of data within the group and without any formal recognition and/or clarification of property or acknowledgement rights. This issue was solved by convincing several teams to simultaneously share their data (meteorology, hydrology, geographic GIS maps), leading therefore to new collaborations;
- This differences within research groups highlighted also some hidden drawbacks. As a matter of fact, the average number of co-authors varies considerably from a discipline to another (from geosciences with usually more than 10 co-authors to social sciences with rarely more than three), constraining mutual co-authorship invitations for common publications;
- Another major but quite usual issue was the timeline of the project: all teams were not following the same chronogram, adding therefore difficulties and delays in the general timeline of the project slowing some teams for instance some teams which had to wait for data to continue their program. At the end, respecting the project timeline frame was very challenging.

It is important to state out that one of the main financers, the AUF (Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie) greatly helped in the success of this multidisciplinary cooperation program. Indeed, not only they allowed to redistribute credits from different lines to others with great flexibility (allowing integration of new partners within the run of the program), but they also extended of one year the duration of the program which induce many collaborations and made this period the most productive time of the program (with all teams having enough results to discuss). Moreover, this has allowed to rapidly formalize the main lines of a new program, more focused on some specific topics and areas, quickly funded and extended to Algeria and Morocco. Finally, a few years later, this project became one of the major research axes of the CNRS INSU MISTRALS Mediterranean program.

Against all odds: The Franco-Lebanese multidisciplinary collaborative laboratory: O-LiFE, an over-ambitious project with some successes

A first component-based mandate

Initiated in 2012, the “Observatoire Libano-Français de l’Environnement (O-LiFE)”, is a research observatory and platform based in Lebanon with the aim to experiment the establishment of a multidisciplinary observatory in a multiple challenging context such as Lebanon. Indeed, this country was chosen because it aggregates most if not all the socio-environmental issues and challenges encountered along Mediterranean shores but also because one-century-long institutional connection and cooperation between French and Lebanese research and academic institutions do help for further researches, through common languages and research traditions, already settled conventions for students and academic exchanges and easier visa procedures. Furthermore, the size of the country (10452 km²) enable the possibility to directly observe the results and make spatial extrapolation but also reduce the costs of field missions and measurement campaigns. Finally, the environmental, economic and political contexts of Lebanon are highly sensitive to climate changes allowing therefore the ecological and societal vulnerability of the country to increase further in the near future (Füssel, 2007).

Given the inevitability of global changes and their (already) devastating impacts over the citizens, the corresponding research communities (considering that observation is etymologically and thematically essential to understand environmental and anthropic changes) see as urgent the need to collect, perpetuate, share and enhance the value of environmental information. Moreover, the synergy between systematic observation of the environment and modelling, allows the possibility to analyze such mechanisms. However, it requires long-term spatialized data covering a wide variety of features and structured in databases coupled with spatial modelling. As a result, some researchers from French and Lebanese institutions wished to lay the foundations of a shared observatory as a first step towards a future network of circum-Mediterranean observatories. This initiative was conducted in partnership with the CNRS MISTRALS program, IRD⁷ and CNRS-Lebanon and supported by several universities in France (Montpellier, Toulouse, Grenoble, Aix-Marseille and Avignon) and Lebanon (UL, USJ, AUB, USEK and Balamand⁸). The approach was based on a pragmatic mean to associate actors in a common action allowing to federate a great diversity of actors across the collection and preservation of environmental data in addition to the definition of a common body of variables.

After its initiation in 2012, O-Life network was validated as LIA⁹ for the 2014-2018 period, then as a GDRI¹⁰ for the 2018-2022 period. Its missions were progressively defined firstly to face the (lack of) data availability and connection between scientists in different disciplines. During the establishment phase, three priorities were enhanced: conducting observation services, build databases and model to understand and prevent. Thematic priorities were first biodiversity, water and environmental management before the highlighting of issues involving air and sea pollution and seismic risks over the country. Therefore, during the 2014-2018 period, O-Life was structured around 4 thematic axes:

- Water-LiFE: Issues related to water resources were quickly pointed out as a connection between biophysical and social disciplines (including political and geostrategic).

⁷ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement

⁸ Université Libanaise, Université Saint Joseph, Université Américaine de Beyrouth, Université de Saint Esprit Kaslik, Université de Balamand

⁹ Laboratoire International Associé


¹⁰ GDRI-Sud/IRN (Groupe De Recherche International/ International Research Network), initially settled for the 2018-2021 period, extended to 2022 due to COVID-19 and Lebanese events affecting all the research actions: researchers' salaries melting pushing them to leave or to find secondary jobs, students leaving the country, riots, etc. all terrible constraints that should not be obliterated.

- Bio-LiFE: Biodiversity on the contrary was highlighted to expose the fact that it is hardly taken in consideration in Lebanon despite the knowledge that the country is part of the 10 mini biodiversity hotspots of the Mediterranean Sea.
- Geo-LiFE: The forecasting and management of seismic risk was addressed as Lebanon is subject to a number of natural earthquakes and the fact that scientific work around this thematic had already be executed but with the majority of the information had stayed within academic circles and poorly communicated to the population.
- Sea-LiFE: This component was added afterwards in order to highlight the importance of marine environment and address issues of pollution and other anthropogenic degradations.

During this phase of the program, 40 researchers representing the 17 partner institutions (from which 14 Lebanese) were involved in O-LiFE. The institutional organization and funding process did reflect this organization along the different thematic (Figure 2a):

- The network constituted a place of debate, orientation and consensus in which actors with various thematic and methodological tools determined together the priorities and the harmonization of the means and resources available or to be sought. Indeed, the fragmented research context pushed all partners to build its own financial and research network with foreign and/or local partners. Building a place of debate was not an obvious process and we had to avoid any social competition among members and formalize all the decision processes to reassure all the partners of the network;
- Affirming external and valuable standards throughout the network: Scientific such as the Sustainable Development Objectives; Participatory with a support to multidisciplinary collaborations and environmental ones with the inclusion of the socio-ecosystem concept, thereby fighting both conservationist and liberal postulates (van Asselt & Rijkens-Klomp, 2002; Cornwall & Jewkes, 2006), and bringing together all the emblematic pressures (coastline, mountains, cities, etc).

Figure 2. Institutional organization of O-LiFE: a. 1st version of 2014-2018 then 2018-2022. b. 2022-...



- By labelling/supporting actions abroad and at national and regional levels, O-LiFE federated some of the requests made to international donors (large European global programs, etc.). At last, O-LiFE was asked to extend its experience to the Mediterranean region, based in particular on

observatories as "frontier" objects, between cultures, themes, methodologies and organizations along a Euro-Mediterranean research network of observatories¹¹.

Further mandates towards transversal components

Although initially effective for its first objectives pushing scientists from the same discipline but scattered across a lot of institutions and programs, this organization faced progressively a threshold beyond of which the institution itself became an obstacle. Hence the fact that the organization is strongly based on "projects orientated structuration", the global project was managed in silos to address disciplinary related issues, themes and research actions. The main obstacles were the difficulties to go beyond this framework of thematic divisions and also to think in a more sustainable way regarding research teams although they came from different institutions, implying therefore a more perennial establishment of the research in Lebanon. The second proposal for the 2018-2022 mandate (Figure 2a) was envisioned as carrying on the effort and facilitating these interactions by adding:

- A transversal axis, dedicated to experimental observations (Tool-LiFe with the objective to develop methods and tools) was proposed to facilitate the transition from a research-equipped structure to an equipment-based but issues-oriented structure. Practically, it is a support for instrumentation, data and information collection, storage and sharing;
- Formal support to increase the effect of the O-LiFe label through continuous review of appropriate tenders with a focus on multidisciplinary tenders and connection between partners for drafting responses;
- A new axis: Socio-LiFe to include social issues as well as to answer the needs of preparing scientists to communicate with the public and decision-makers which should be a component of every project when needed.

This intermediate phase is reconsidered in the latest mandate proposed in 2022 for supporting a more transdisciplinary and mutual integration. All the components are to be defined and justified according to the actions to overpass the environmental component/silo approach (Figure 2b). This may be seen as a 90° shift in the diagram of the program, however in practice it implies that the sub-managers of the program are focusing on the actions and not the environmental components therefore encouraging future projects to be organized from an action perspective.

Questioning the efficiency of the project

After 8 years, O-LiFE was able to:

- Successfully gather a pool of multidisciplinary experts in the various fields of biodiversity, water science, geo risk management, sea-based research, air quality and social sciences. However, the main limitation of this action is that these experts are rarely consulted by Lebanese national and local actors and stakeholders;
- Develop transdisciplinary research. One of the main success of O-LiFE is that thematic researchers involved in the project have proposed and coordinated multidisciplinary research topics;
- Have included social science and integrated human impacts and retroactions. It also included a connection with national extension services and by then transferring scientific research results to the general audience through various media. This actually helped to be recognized as a reliable and visible research institution in environmental sciences⁹;
- Act as a funding and labelling support to multilateral groups with a power of more than 300% (more than 3 US\$ raised for each US\$ provided by O-LiFE);

¹¹ Consequently, each observatory site of O-LiFE and partners in Lebanon has been the object of several monitoring campaigns from several thematic priorities (e.g. The Nahr Ibrahim watershed with Water-LiFe, Bio-LiFe and then others, etc.), allowing thereby different disciplines to meet on the ground.

- Build a solid network of back-up, storage and transferring tools dedicated to equipping and supporting data collection in a wide variety of areas;
- Conducts researches based on approaches requesting little and often low-cost means, support the deployment of sensor networks and facilitate studies in complex environments (either because of physical inaccessibility or because of the social and political situations in Lebanon). O-LiFE has therefore progressed toward the needs of investing into “frugal science”.

Nevertheless, despite the considerable improvement in research and the building of trust within the O-LiFE team and among the partner institutions, O-LiFE failed to:

- Build a data collection system on physical and social attributes which involve the various researches and teams and also to constitute a unique multi-thematic and multi institutions database which it has first aimed to implement. Data sharing remains therefore as one of the major obstacles to the objectives of O-LiFE;
- One of the hypotheses behind the reluctance for data sharing could be related to extremely tight competition on time and resources where researchers do not invest time to format their data in a sharable form and where no financial, publication and institutional advantages could support this action in addition as well of the risk of data theft after being shared. Data sharing remains therefore as one of the major obstacles to the objectives of O-LiFE, due both to the inner research competition and to the lack of financial and institutional support for the researchers;
- Go beyond the network level as for instance becoming progressively the first network/institution to be considered by the community to joint formal and successful responses to largely-funded international calls for tenders but also for common publications. This action faces the difficulty to obtain such funds in countries considered as “risky”, the existence of other national and international networks that can provide equivalent supports with other obedience and legitimacies (such as common languages or beliefs), the fact that monodisciplinary calls are much better funded especially in biophysical sciences, and finally, the effective unavailability of the French and Lebanese researchers who must respond to various responsibilities of management and publication and in addition for some of the Lebanese members, responsibilities also in remunerative expertise missions.

At present, O-LiFE is also associated with a team spirit to be considered as a specific resource in the face of calamities that befall Lebanon (the 2019 economic breakdown, the 2020-2021 Covid-19 pandemic containment and the 2020 Beirut explosion). The vanishing of technical means (gas, data recorders) is aggravated by the huge decrease of the value of financial incomes and the blocking of banks. Solidarity between O-LiFE members allows to find temporary resources and means, small financial supports, job transfers or job offers in French laboratories to limit the brain drain.

Discussion & conclusion

Representativeness of the proposed experiments

These multidisciplinary research programs were clearly not the only ones to be evaluated on the shores of the Mediterranean, and it must be recognized that there is an institutional bias as well. Even within the framework of cooperation between France and the other Mediterranean members of the AUF, several other projects were evaluated for the interweaving of disciplines in action:

- The ANR¹²-funded TRANSMED-call AMETHYST project (2013-2017) “Assessment of changes in MEdiTerranean HYdro-resources in the South: river basin Trajectories” in Morocco and Tunisia, largely connected to SICMED and integrating socio-economic trajectories into watershed futures;

¹² ANR : Agence Nationale de la Recherche

- The Almira project ¹³ from the same ANR TRANSMED-call (2013-2017) “Adapting Landscape Mosaics of Mediterranean Rainfed Agrosystems for a sustainable management of crop production, water and soil resources”
- MISTRALS-SICMED ReSAMed (2010-2012)¹⁴ & METASIM (2011-2013)¹⁵, or even CNES¹⁶ EVA2IRT (2013-2014), all programs orientated toward remote sensing-based hydrology modelling on the same sites in Morocco and Tunisia ;

At the same time, the biggest weakness of these actions is related to their project structure: how to capitalize on the experiences and networks once the projects are completed? This is where the value of perennial structures such as observatories and long-term joint programs becomes clear.

Formalization of multidisciplinary knowledge

Actually, many scientific problematics do not require an multidisciplinary approach (Alvargonzález, 2011; Lélé & Norgaard, 2005; Nicolescu, 2014; Schroeder, 2022) and dividing the research themes into various pieces each attributed to a specific discipline along the analytical method is an easier mean to acquire information. Academic literature and research institutions has promoted more and more detailed structures for too complex and sometimes (even often) contradictory objectives: (i) understanding complex issues such as SES ones and (ii) a more socially-orientated research for better advising stakeholders (Brewer, 1999; Jacobs & Frickel, 2009; Lam *et al.* 2014). The related contradictions have been described, acknowledged and largely debated in several publications (Olivier de Sardan, 1990; Albaladejo & Casabianca, 1997; Montmain & Penalva, 2003; Cornwall & Jewkes, 2006; Bousquet *et al.* 2009; Lavigne-Delville, 2011). Indeed, some institutional elements are quite often observed to exacerbate these trends (Hamad, 1982; Jerneck *et al.* 2011; Lyall *et al.* 2013). There are several reasons for this, which are clear but sometimes not-so-obvious:

- The first argument is honesty: Various conceptual & methodological constraints but also the sole habit induces a low use of systemic approach. Scholars and researchers often prefer a more analytical analysis which are poorly suited for the study and understand complex systems such as SES. In this case, methods such as multi-criteria analysis, relying on the combination of factors governing a given socio-environmental context are therefore favored (Lélé & Norgaard, 2005; Hajkowicz & Collins, 2007). However, being able to break down an SES issue into its components is a prerequisite for such an analytical demarche: components should have been defined and classified within the system. It is therefore hidden multidisciplinary, without assuming it. Most of the time, unfortunately, hierarchizing elements to be investigated is not explicated, formalized nor justified¹⁷, helping one specialist to focus on its own specialty with the traditional and falsely honest “I focus on my domain”. However, formally linking pieces together for solving socio-environmental issues is the necessary step of research after accumulating data: the establishment of theories from data and information previously collected. This approach (or modality of inference) is commonly called “inductive” and is functioning along a hypothetico-deductive research approach (deduction vs. induction vs. abduction) (Blecic & Cecchini, 2008, pp. 539-540), involves combining together “elements”, pieces of science, according to a plan that can shed light on a question. Therefore, dealing with socio-environmental issues implies necessarily to organize and hierarchize its components therefore multidisciplinary cannot be avoided for understanding the structure of a socio-ecosystem;

¹³ <https://www.umr-lisah.fr/?q=fr/content/projet-almira>

¹⁴ Recharge des Systèmes Aquifères en Méditerranée

¹⁵ Modélisation de l'Evapotranspiration ET Assimilation de données Satellitaires pour la gestion de l'Irrigation en Méditerranée

¹⁶ CNES : Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales

¹⁷ Justified implies avoiding truisms such as « it is well known... », “since the beginning of times...” or “this point is important”

- 738 • The second point is exhaustivity: these components are in trophic, energetic, spatial, social, political
739 or economic interaction/interdependency and constitutes a coherent system: each element moves
740 in relation with another and all the components form a system. It means that results from an
741 analytical demarche, for instance prospective and/or predictive results are intrinsically false and/or
742 reductive. Multidisciplinarity is by then necessary for understanding the functioning of a socio-
743 ecosystem. However, the large debate between multi, inter and transdisciplinarity is flawed:
744 definitions are not always mutually exclusive¹⁸, with not a common agreement on the terminology
745 even after a debate starting in the 90's. It is not practically operative as no one can see the
746 differences on the field. As a response, we consider however that these concepts matter because
747 it is the way humans rationalize and act, and humans are the most powerful force in SES¹⁹. Defining
748 the borders, the limits of a system is far more important and implies always simplifications (as there
749 is no closed systems on earth in the present-time). One should order disciplines, issues and stakes
750 and separate what is important for the study of the system and what is not. This is the fundamental
751 element in our neologism: holodisciplinarity (Saqalli, 2020), the methodological process of building
752 and providing arguments to establish the criteria/criterion able to establish the exhaustivity
753 regarding disciplines and processes for the study of the system, the stake or the issue we
754 considered;
- 755 • The third point could be formal balance: Indeed, biophysical sciences having enough funds having
756 more funds and more legitimacy from stakeholder audiences do have very little incentives to
757 cooperate. Social sciences do face opposite contexts. Actually, one may consider the first two points
758 as the methodological bedrock for acknowledging the primordial position of social sciences (with
759 primordial in its etymological meaning: first to consider), solely because humans, the main natural
760 force activating and transforming territories especially along Mediterranean mostly urbanized
761 shores, are driven by social factors. One should then point out that not considering this main natural
762 force is a bias and there is already methodological tools, such as socio-anthropological agent-based
763 modelling (Saqalli, 2020) to combine altogether social information, including from qualitative socio-
764 anthropological methods, and biophysical data and dynamics, each one in its rank and with its
765 weight. Not considering social sciences because "we do not have enough data" is not a scientific
766 argument (Saqalli, 2020);
- 767 • The last point is efficiency: As pointed out by Bachelard (1938), the need for a change such as
768 multidisciplinarity arises while facing an "epistemological obstacle". However, this is a necessary
769 but not sufficient condition. It only means that a researcher from one discipline is confronted with
770 a problem that goes beyond the "normal" science to which he or she belongs. In practice, going
771 beyond is not rewarding as stated above for the reason that institutional and financial rewards are
772 by far higher and safer for a researcher if he stays into its field of competence. This explains the
773 overall difficulty for promoting multidisciplinarity: this obstacle here implies either a constraint
774 within each community or from outside as stakeholders encourage a more operational research:

 - 775 ○ The inner "pull" may appear only when the first two points are not only acknowledged but also
776 considered as mandatory for getting research funds which is far from a reality. Unfortunately,
777 the evolution of funds in the southern Mediterranean region did follow an opposite way, where
778 it remains structurally low in terms of absolute values and in proportion to the economies of the
779 Mediterranean countries (Pouloudi et al. 2012; El-Jardali et al. 2012; Ismail et al. 2013; Mandil
780 et al. 2018). Even worse, the orientation towards "excellency" as quantified by publication and
781 funding metrics did discourage promotion of challenging researches regarding these criteria
782 (Laraus, 2004; Porter et al. 2006; El-Jardali et al. 2012);

¹⁸ apart from the difference between multi- and interdisciplinarity based on the fact that the hypothesis-testing process, whatever it can be, is assessed within the discipline and the mental process of one methodologically consistent group of scientific practices (for instance social sciences) in the first term, or it is assessed across such groups in the second one.

¹⁹ The problem is that humans do not order things and act accordingly in the same way, thereby justifying with this sole argument the value of social sciences as the only ones able to define in which the various motors of a SES push.

- The external “push” for orientating projects towards advising stakeholders is counterbalanced by the non-transparency wall in the choices of decision-makers, i.e. the reluctance of stakeholders to justify their actions reducing thereby their contacts with scientists in most of the countries of the Mediterranean basin. This is even intensified by French *Napoleonic* technocratic traditions, where engineer traditions are dominant. Facing “doubt-providers” is more disturbing and seems less efficient than solutions-providers, amplified recently by the use of consulting firms for everything (Barthel & Verdeil, 2008);
- Risks and risk information: Since the book of Ulrich Beck in 1986 (Beck, “The society of risks”, 1986), environmental research started to be more interested in risks, hazards and vulnerabilities, mostly on an analytical point of view. In a society where risks and crises occupy a central position in the development, the establishment of a comparing, hierarchizing and prioritizing risk procedure has become crucial. However, such a process is mainly based on environmental data and their analysis and interpretations. Consequently, data acquisitions and treatments methodology should be considered as important as the decision making itself during a crisis event because of the uncertainties in link with data collection and analysis.

Public policy limitations and priorities:

- a. Lack of operational procedures in the public sector to be applied over threats, risks and nuisances. On the contrary, one may see the multiplication of technical reports provided by dedicated consulting companies focusing on one point and by then having difficulties to also envision the local SES context but also the various uncertainties regarding both the quality of the measurements and the conceptualization of the issue. Managing environmental threats, risks or nuisances need figures, numbers for already-characterized-as-important parameters, which means that the parameters’ selection criteria are already settled as well as their measurement procedures and analysis. Indeed, diagnosis should be separated to management to avoid dealing with issues you have little clues on. Admittedly, during the last decade, many project calls on the Mediterranean region encouraging multidisciplinary researches have also supported their pragmatic implementations and more involvement of concerned stakeholders, NGOs, public services and other institutions.
- b. Information in and out of crises: As a consequence of the previous point, even if crisis prevention is more efficient than crisis management but implies long and well-structured data collection campaigns, crisis times urge the need for rapid measures and procedures. Practically, it means that prevention increases connections between stakeholders while crises reduces them drastically to minimize interferences. Nevertheless, crisis management is even more obviously depending on diagnoses, at the very least to check what emergency do we deal with.
- c. Communication problems between public operators and scientific community which lead to motivation issues in the scientific community. However, some public structures as hydraulic services in Algeria, partly in Morocco, Tunisia and Lebanon, are on the contrary well connected to the national scientific sector following a technocratic model of development. Nevertheless, the other environmental issues are far less valued compared to the water sector.
- d. Professional competition within different scientific communities. Combining and analyzing a large set of parameters from distinct disciplines implies to consider them on the same level of importance after a selection in accordance to a series of criteria based on the environmental context of the studied area. The problem here come by the fact that in most cases, the academic financial context is composed by scattered public funding which rarely cover at the same level all the needs in each different domain. In the light of that fact, public governmental funding priorities should be reconsidered regarding each different environmental context (Heberlein, 1988; Van Dusseldorp & Wigboldus, 1994; Stojanovic *et al.* 2016);

- e. Institutional instabilities, especially in southern Mediterranean countries, represent another main constraint to setting-up multidisciplinary research structures. Although scientific research has allowed the growth of a solid network between many academic institutes (privates and publics) on the area of interest, the sector is extremely vulnerable to socio-political contexts and academic institutions thus scientific capacity, strongly relates on the political and economic country situations. In the four considered countries by this paper (Algeria, Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia) for instance, scientific works relies mainly on foreign funding because of the severe socio-economic and political instabilities. In general, the most resilient local universities (the best-funded) are dedicated to technical and applied knowledge linked to an essential resource for the development (engineering schools: oil, mines, energy, agriculture, water, sea) or on hazard studies (desertification, drought, geologic and geomorphologic hazards, human health and demography) (Hamad, 1982; Van Dusseldorp & Wigboldus, 1994; Lyall *et al.* 2013; Bark *et al.* 2016);
- f. In the continuation of the previous idea, some fields are therefore considered as strategic by the public authorities and thus may polarize the majority of local funds allowed for the research. Nevertheless, despite investments by public authorities on scientific research, the impact on the actors directly in link with the main environmental issues is weak (Steele & Stier, 2000; Bredillet *et al.* 2010; Hassenteufel, 2010; Santamaría *et al.* 2010; Lyall *et al.* 2013).

Specific situations from case studies

The point that can be inferred from the experiences described above is that these obstacles are exacerbated in MENA¹⁵ countries located along the shores of the Mediterranean Sea:

- First and above all, the absence of stable and consequent funding and the support of traditional approaches instead of multidisciplinary framework;
- Second and because the first point implies that networks quality are the main stable source of funds and even income for Lebanon, the risk of rejection within disciplinary communities with hidden social sanctions in case of “betrayals” and protective competence shelters;
- The third point is that scientists are seen by public offices not as researchers, meaning providers of doubts and questions, but rather available (and cheap) experts (Barthel & Verdeil, 2008) providing deliverables into international projects and by then sometimes national prestige and providing solutions or at least confirmations to ministries and public offices: they are not seen as needing support but on the contrary are to bring support to action.
- These three points are actually the strongest ones by far, meaning that scholars and academics are de facto adaptable to trends and dynamics unless it is a threat to their social position. As a matter of fact, the following points are supportive arguments for a position regarding multidisciplinary firstly defined by the first three points above:
 - A mutual misunderstanding between disciplines based on reciprocal clichés
 - A conflict of agenda between tasks, duties and objectives for all researchers
 - Misconceptions between variables, data, objectives, measurements & indicators
 - A fear of losing the decision power from stakeholders
 - The non-consideration of the transdisciplinary research in academic evaluations of researches.

More specifically, the four experiences illustrate several specific elements (El Kenz, 2005):

1. Money: Stable budget is fundamental despite the “Simpler, Better, Cheaper” ideology. Even more, instability distorts the balance between disciplines as social sciences are often the first to be sacrificed to the austerity principle. The countries considered in these studies (Algeria, Lebanon, Morocco, Tunisia) suffer from versatile and low budgets but some countries expose a more solid and resulting scientific production and the international implications are in direct correlation (World Bank, 2018; UNESCO Statistics Institute, 2022);

2. Time: Building metrics collectively such as in the SICMED experiment and the Bouregreg collective work requires a progressive impregnation and time cannot be avoided. Past colonial tensions, political internal struggles and conflicts or competition for funds are not confidence-building elements. As a result, the more a country does face such elements and others that structurally and regularly create issues (such as in Algeria or Lebanon), the less multidisciplinary is acknowledged;
3. Winner-take-it-all: Hydrology, seen as essential, benefits in all MENA countries from both a long tradition of national expertise and a very strong legitimacy among governmental administrations, research institutions and other stakeholders as the result of the strong dependency of these countries towards water in this arid environment. It is then by far the most developed discipline in socio-ecological systems in addition of being the discipline where most of the efforts towards multidisciplinary were assessed: SICMED, Bouregreg, PSI and O-LiFE are all dominated by hydrology related issues and projects and the self-recognition of this constraint is primarily due to the fact that "pull" and "push" incentives for hydrology have been actively effective for a long time. Hydrology is the domain where applied research and complementary actions with public policies are the strongest, as in the watershed-defined ORMVA²⁰ in Morocco for instance (El-Alaoui, 1999). But the richer a discipline is, the less orientated towards multidisciplinary they are, and most of the cases we studied are hydrology-based that is trying to integrate other components of the SES. This simple qualitative correlation assertion could be of course justified and does not imply a causality;
4. Measuring is nice: while focusing on combining methodologies, the PSI program did actually fund mostly measurement campaigns, field missions, training and internships. Water parameters are the most characterized one in the SICMED experiment. The Bouregreg program is defined by a series of measurement campaigns and innovations related to water. It is then useful to ask about the threshold criteria of completeness beyond which the measurement is sufficient: when can we say that the measurements are sufficient to go further?
5. Decentralization and concentration of power: all these countries are very centralized, with the exception of Lebanon. This statement means that, apart from government defined but local structures such as the ORMVA in Morocco, all decisions remain in ministries and other local powers are rarely acknowledged. For instance, the well-considered hydrology related issues are well considered among state-defined stakeholders however, there are still no areas where local actors are involved, as if the strategic importance of water limited its management to higher government levels. Biodiversity and land use/land cover are only considered politically interesting when ancient customs allow local authorities to be part of the negotiation, as in the case of the pastoral management of Moroccan Agdals (Dominguez, 2017). Therefore, multidisciplinary and development-oriented research are depending on a very small number of interlocutors;
6. Culture: we previously stated that, along religion, culture is most of the time the default explanation when no obvious explanations were not found. Indeed, some be. The issue with "culture" is that it provides a permanent and structural "explanation" ("they are like that") without having to explore it, while going after hidden, blurred or inductively defined and most importantly temporary factors such as more institutional, political or economic parameters is far more complicate but more open to analysis (Hofstede, 1983; Bredillet et al. 2010; Rees-Caldwell & Pinnington, 2013). As a response, we propose to use the culture point only when all other factors have been exhaustively explored.

Suggestion proposals for promoting inter- and holodisciplinary

As a conclusion, one should acknowledge that the two components, logistical and epistemological, are both effectively acting:

²⁰ Office Régional de Mise en Valeur : Regional Development Authority

- Acknowledging the structural and logistical reasons, including balance of power based on fund availability and recognition from the society and public services, domination relationships, institutional instability and other points we stated above. As a matter of fact, a tradition of long-term public service exists in these countries, including the maintenance of long-term and intertwined public- environmental infrastructures such as dams (Mahé et al. 2021). Scientific research efficiency is directly linked to the stability of national institutions which draw organigrams and funding. International partnerships encourage more global approaches regarding population and environment nexuses. Such partnerships are essential on a short term for keeping research infrastructures when it is the most needed as during crisis and/or shortage times. They also ensure the stability and often the funds necessary to provide the time and means required by a more multidisciplinary understanding of natural phenomena, economy and population. It is also the occasion to acknowledge some common cultural trends from both sides of the Mediterranean area and not only the southern one²¹;
- Holodisciplinary: The epistemological principles we deduced from the various projects and experiments tend to build a demarche for (i) listing variables, (ii) formalizing and clarifying corresponding parameters, (ii) evaluating them according to clear criteria, (iv) building indicators from these parameters to facilitate the comparison, (v) hierarchizing and prioritizing socio-ecological issues and stakes according to these indicators (Saqalli, 2020). In any cases, we plea here for a first apprehension of an issue and the circumscription of it through what should overcome the whole debate on the fuzzy notions of multidisciplinary, multidisciplinary and transdisciplinarity: holodisciplinary, the idea that we should reach exhaustivity of the dynamics and disciplines regarding an issue, whatever the criterion for establishing it (Saqalli, 2020). Formalizing, applying, diffusing such approaches in agreement with legitimate stakeholders, public officers and political actors are a satisfying pattern to follow for the following years and decades. Nevertheless, it is unclear if the race between these positive approaches and the coming environmental changes is still attainable.

References

- Albaladejo C., Casabianca F. 1997. *La Recherche-action: ambitions, pratiques, débats*. Quæ editions, Versailles, France.
- Aitali R., Snoussi M., Kolker A.S., Oujidi B., Mhammdi N. 2022. Effects of Land Use/Land Cover Changes on Carbon Storage in North African Coastal Wetlands. *J. of Marine Science & Engineering* 10, 364. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10030364>
- Alpert, P., Price, C., Krichak, S.O., Ziv, B., Saaroni, H., Osetinsky, I., Barkan, J., Kishcha, P., 2005. Tropical tele-connections to the Mediterranean climate and weather. *Adv. Geosci.* 2, 157–160. <https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-2-157-2005>
- Alvargonzález D. 2011. Multidisciplinarity, Interdisciplinarity, Transdisciplinarity, and the Sciences. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science* 25, 387–403. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02698595.2011.623366>
- Amrouni O., Mahé G. 2021. Multi-criteria approaches to identify the shoreline retreat downstream of dams: the North African case. In: Hydrology of large river basins in Africa (Amoussou E., Mahé G., Amrouni O., Bodian A., Cudennec C., Dietrich S., Kodja D.J., Vissin E.W. Eds), *PIAHS*, 384, 133–139, <https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-384-133-2021>
- Amrouni O., Sánchez A., Khélifi N., Benmoussa T., Chiarella D., Mahé G. Abdeljaouad S., McLaren P. 2019. Sensitivity assessment of the deltaic coast of Mejerda based on fine-grained sediment dynamics, Gulf of Tunis (Western Mediterranean). *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 23, 3, 571-587. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11852-019-00687-X>

²¹ The success of this approach can be illustrated by the recent distinction obtained by Tunisian colleagues for their study of the coastal Ghar el Melh lagoon in the North of Tunis, in collaboration with local populations and associations, and national and international NGOs including WWF. Thus the national institution for marine studies (INSTM in Tunis) officially launched in December 2022 an observatory of the Ghar el Melh lagoon, which obtained the UNESCO “Ecohydrology” program label, as the first African/Mediterranean coastal Ecohydrology demosite of UNESCO. Among the criteria to fulfill to get this label, there are clear scientific questions, environmental challenges linked to ecology/hydrology related questions, operational survey means, scheduled mitigation/remediation measures, strong connection with local/regional communities, and high level of capacity for local/regional/international sharing of experience, for instance through international networks like UNESCO programs –FRIEND-Water, Ecohydrology, G-WADI, etc.).

960 Arnstein S.R. 1969. A ladder of citizen participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 35, 216–224.
961 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>

962 Badaro-Saliba N., Adjizian-Gerard J., Zaarour R., Najjar G. 2021. LCZ scheme for assessing Urban Heat Island intensity in a
963 complex urban area (Beirut, Lebanon). *Urban Climate* 37, 100846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2021.100846>

964 Bark R.H., Kragt M.E., Robson B.J. 2016. Evaluating an interdisciplinary research project: Lessons learned for organizations,
965 researchers and funders. *Int. J. Project Management* 34, 1449–1459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iiproman.2016.08.004>

966 Barthel P.-A., Verdeil É. 2008. Experts embarqués dans le « tournant financier ». Des grands projets urbains au sud de la
967 Méditerranée. *Les Annales de la Recherche Urbaine PUCA*, 38–48. <https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00287380/>

968 Bartholy J., Pongrácz R. 2018. A brief review of health-related issues occurring in urban areas related to global warming of
969 1.5°C. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 30, 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.05.014>

970 Ben Moussa T., Amrouni O., Hzami A., Dezileau L., Mahé G., Condomines M., Saadi A. 2019. Progradation and retrogradation
971 of the Medjerda delta during the 20th century (Tunisia, Western Mediterranean). *Comptes Rendus Géosciences*.
972 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2018.10.004>

973 Bousquet F., Antona M., Aubert S., Barnaud C., Barreteau O., Bécu N., Boisseau S., Bommel P., Botta A., Castella J.-C. 2009.
974 La posture d'accompagnement des processus de prise de décision: les références et les questions transdisciplinaires. In: Hervé
975 D., Laloë F. (Eds.). *Modélisation de l'environnement : Entre Natures et Sociétés*. Quæ editions, Versailles, France, pp. 71–89.

976 Bredillet C., Yatim F., Ruiz P. 2010. Project management deployment: The role of cultural factors. *International Journal of*
977 *Project Management* 28, 183–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iiproman.2009.10.007>

978 Brewer G.D. 1999. The Challenges of Interdisciplinarity. *Policy Sciences* 32, 327–337.

979 Brown R.R., Deletic A., Wong T.H.F. 2015. Interdisciplinarity: How to catalyze collaboration. *Nature* 525, 315–317.
980 <https://doi.org/10.1038/525315a>

981 Cartalis C., Synodinou A., Proedrou M., Tsangrassoulis A., Santamouris M. 2001. Modifications in energy demand in urban
982 areas as a result of climate changes: an assessment for the southeast Mediterranean region. *Energy Conversion and*
983 *Management* 42, 1647–1656. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904\(00\)00156-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(00)00156-4)

984 Chettiparamb A. 2007. *Interdisciplinarity: a literature review*. Interdisciplinary Teaching and Learning Group Report,
985 University of Southampton, UK.

986 CIA World Fact book <https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/field/demographic-profile> (consulted the 04th of July, 2022)

987 Cornwall A., Jewkes R. 2006. What is participatory research ? *Soc.Sci.Med.* 41, 1667–1676.

988 Dominguez P. 2017. Political ecology of shifting cosmologies and epistemologies among Berber agro-sylvo-pastoralists in a
989 globalizing world. *Journal for the study of religion, nature and culture* 11, 227–248.

990 El Aoula R., Mhammdi N., Dezileau L., Mahé G., Kolker A.S. 2021. Fluvial sediment transport degradation after dam
991 construction in North Africa. *African Journal of Earth Sciences*, 182, 104255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2021.104255>

992 El Kenz A. 2005. Les sciences humaines et sociales dans les pays arabes de la Méditerranée. *Insaniyat. Revue algérienne*
993 *d'anthropologie et de sciences sociales* 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.4000/insaniyat.7621>

994 El-Alaoui M. 1999. *From the ORMVA to the AUEA: The problematics of the transfer of an "Irrigation Participative*
995 *Management" in Morocco*. Stratégies de Vulgarisation Agricole et de Développement Rural dans les Régions
996 Méditerranéennes, Meknès (Morocco), ENA Meknès.

997 El-Jardali F., Lavis J.N., Ataya N., Jamal D. 2012. Use of health systems and policy research evidence in the health policymaking
998 in eastern Mediterranean countries: views and practices of researchers. *Implementation Science* 7, 2.
999 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-2>

1000 Evelpidou N., Tzouxanioti M., Gavalas T., Spyrou E., Saitis G., Petropoulos A., Karkani A. 2021. Assessment of Fire Effects on
1001 Surface Runoff Erosion Susceptibility: The Case of the Summer 2021 Forest Fires in Greece. *Land* 11, 21.
1002 <https://doi.org/10.3390/land11010021>

1003 Ezzaouini M., Mahé G., Kacimi I., Zerouali A. 2020. Comparison of the MUSLE model and two years of solid transport
1004 measurement, in the Bouregreg Basin, and impact on the sedimentation in the Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah dam, Morocco.
1005 *Water*, 12, 7, 1882, <https://doi.org/10.3390/W12071882>

1006 Faour G. 2014. Evaluating urban expansion using remotely-sensed data in Lebanon. *Lebanese Science Journal* 16, 10.

1007 Frodeman R., Klein J.T., Pacheco R.C.D.S. 2017. *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity*. Oxford University Press, UK.

1008 Füssel H.-M. 2007. Vulnerability: A generally applicable conceptual framework for climate change research. *Global*
1009 *Environmental Change* 17, 155–167

1010 Goussot E., Brou Y.T., Laouina A., Chaker M., Emran A., Machouri N., Mahé G., Sfa M., Tra Bi A. 2014. Land covers dynamic
1011 and agricultural statistics on the Bouregreg watershed in Morocco. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 126, 2, 191–205.

1012 Graff H.J. 2015. *Undisciplining knowledge: Interdisciplinarity in the twentieth century*. JHU Press, Germany.

1013 Grigg D.B. 1974. *The agricultural systems of the world: an evolutionary approach*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge,
1014 UK.

Hadour A., Meddi M., Mahé G. 2021. Effects of climate change and human action on the decrease of sediment discharge to the coast: an example of the largest wadi in the Maghreb. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 36, 2, 268-278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsrc.2020.07.001>

Hajkowicz S., Collins K. 2007. A Review of Multiple Criteria Analysis for Water Resource Planning and Management. *Wat. Resour. Manag.*, 21, 1553–1566

Hamad B. 1982. Interdisciplinary field training research and rural development programme. *Medical Education* 16, 105–107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1982.tb01234.x>

Hamel J. 2010. L'analyse quantitative interdisciplinaire: définitions et réflexions. L'Harmattan, Paris, France

Hamrita, A., Boussetta, A., Mata Olmo, R., Saqalli, M., Rejeb, H., 2017. Integrated water management and durability of landscape of public irrigated areas in Tunisia: cases of public irrigated areas of Chott-Meriem and Mornag. *International Journal of Research–Granthaalayah* 5–1.

Hassenteufel P. 2010. Les processus de mise sur agenda : sélection et construction des problèmes publics. *Informations sociales* 157, 50–58. <https://doi.org/10.3917/inso.157.0050>

Heberlein T.A. 1988. Improving interdisciplinary research: Integrating the social and natural sciences. *Society & Natural Resources* 1, 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941928809380634>

Hofstede G. 1983. Cultural dimensions for project management. *International Journal of Project Management* 1, 41–48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863\(83\)90038-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863(83)90038-8)

Houet T., Masson V., Moine M.-P., Marchadier C., Bonhomme M., Bretagne G. 2014. Projecting 2100 urban growth to assess urban climate changes on Toulouse urban area (France): combining scenarios and land change/climate models. *GLP-OSM (Global Land Project Open Science Meeting) conference*, 2180–2192.

Hout R., Maleval V., Mahé G., Rouvellac E., Crouzevialle R., Cerbelaud F. 2020. UAV and LiDAR data in the service of bank gully erosion measurement in Rambla de Algeciras lakeshore. *Water*, 12, 2748; doi: 10.3390/w12102748

Hzami A., Heggy E., Amrouni O., Mahé G., Maanan M., Abdeljaouad S. 2021. Alarming coastal vulnerability of the deltaic and sandy beaches of North Africa. *Nature Scientific Reports*, 11, 2320. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77926-x>

Institut de Statistiques de l'UNESCO 2022. *Dépenses R-D par pays*. <http://uis.unesco.org/apps/visualisations/research-and-development-spending/#!lang=fr>

Ismail S.A., McDonald A., Dubois E., Aljohani F.G., Coutts A.P., Majeed A., Rawaf S. 2013. Assessing the state of health research in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. *J R Soc Med* 106, 224–233. <https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.2012.120240>

Jacobs J.A., Frickel S. 2009. Interdisciplinarity: A Critical Assessment. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 35, 43–65. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-070308-115954>

Jerneck A., Olsson L., Ness B., Anderberg S., Baier M., Clark E., Hickler T., Hornborg A., Kronsell A., Löwbrand E., Persson J. 2011. Structuring sustainability science. *Sustainability Science* 6, 69–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-010-0117-x>

Klein J.T. 2017. Typologies of interdisciplinarity. *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity* 2, 21–34.

Klein J.T. 2017. A taxonomy of interdisciplinarity. *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity* 15, 167-195.

Kotti F., Dezileau L., Mahé G., Habaieb H., Benabdallah S., Benthkaya M., Calvez R., Dieulin C. 2017. The impact of dams and climate on the evolution of the sediment loads to the sea by the Medjerda River using a paleo-hydrological approach. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 142, 226-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.10.003>

Kuper M., Errahj M., Faysse N., Caron P., Djebbara M., Kemmoun H. 2009. Autonomie et dépendance des irrigants en grande hydraulique : observations de l'action organisée au Maroc et en Algérie. *N.S.S.* 248–256. <https://doi.org/10.1051/nss/2009039>

Lam J.C.K., Walker R.M., Hills P. 2014. Interdisciplinarity in Sustainability Studies: A Review. *Sustainable Development* 22, 158–176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.533>

Laouina A., Aderghal M., Al Karkouri J., Chaker M., Machmachi I., Machouri N., Sfa M. 2010. Utilisation des sols, ruissellement et dégradation des terres, le cas du secteur Sehoul, région atlantique, Maroc. *Sécheresse*, 21, 309–316.

Laraus J. 2004. The problems of sustainable water use in the Mediterranean and research requirements for agriculture. *Annals of Applied Biology* 144, 259–272. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00342.x>

Lavigne-Delville P. 2011. *Vers une socio-anthropologie des interventions de développement comme action publique*. HDR Anthropology, Université Lyon II CREA, Lyon, France.

Lélé S., Norgaard R.B. 2005. Practicing Interdisciplinarity. *BioScience* 55, 967–975. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2005\)055\[0967:PI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0967:PI]2.0.CO;2)

Lelieveld J., Hadjinicolaou P., Kostopoulou E., Chenoweth J., El Maayar M., Giannakopoulos C., Hannides C., Lange M.A., Tanarhte M., Tyrllis E., Xoplaki E. 2012. Climate change and impacts in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East. *Climatic Change* 114, 667–687. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0418-4>

Lionello P., Scarascia L. 2020. The relation of climate extremes with global warming in the Mediterranean region and its north versus south contrast. *Regional Environmental Change* 20, 31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-020-01610-z>

1070 Lyall C., Bruce A., Marsden W., Meagher L. 2013. The role of funding agencies in creating interdisciplinary knowledge. *Science*
1071 *and Public Policy* 40, 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scs121>

1072 Mahé G., Abdo G., Amoussou E., Brou T., Dietrich S., El Tayeb A., van Lanen H., Meddi M., Michra A., Orange D., Le T.P.Q.,
1073 Tshimanga R., Valimba P., Yepez S., Ogilvie A., Amrouni O. 2021. The UNESCO FRIEND-Water program: accelerates, shares
1074 and transfers knowledge and innovation in hydrology across the world in the frame of the Intergovernmental Hydrological
1075 Program (IHP). In : Hydrology of large river basins in Africa (E. Amoussou, G. Mahé, O. Amrouni, A. Bodian, C. Cudennec, S.
1076 Dietrich, D.J. Kodja & E.W. Vissin, Eds), *PIAHS*, 384, 5–18, <https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-384-5-2021>

1077 Mahé G., Aksoy H., Brou Y.T., Meddi M., Roose E. 2013. Relationships among man, environment and sediment transport: a
1078 spatial approach. *Journal of Water Sciences*, 26, 3, 235-244.

1079 Mahé G., Amrouni O., Dezileau L. 2020. Barrages et retrait du littoral au Maghreb. *Dernières nouvelles du CNRS-INSU*, 347.
1080 [https://www.insu.cnrs.fr/fr/cnrsinfo/barrages-et-retrait-du-littoral-au-](https://www.insu.cnrs.fr/fr/cnrsinfo/barrages-et-retrait-du-littoral-au-maghreb?utm_campaign=Newsletter%20de%20l%27Insu&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Revue%20newsletter)
1081 [maghreb?utm_campaign=Newsletter%20de%20l%27Insu&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Revue%20newsletter](https://www.insu.cnrs.fr/fr/cnrsinfo/barrages-et-retrait-du-littoral-au-maghreb?utm_campaign=Newsletter%20de%20l%27Insu&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Revue%20newsletter)

1082 Mhawej, M., Faour, G., Abdallah, C., Adjizian-Gerard, J., 2016. Towards an establishment of a wildfire risk system in a
1083 Mediterranean country. *Ecological Informatics* 32, 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2016.02.003>

1084 Malek Ž., Verburg P.H., Geijzendorffer I., Bondeau A., Cramer W. 2018. Global change effects on land management in the
1085 Mediterranean region. *Global Environmental Change* 50, 238–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.04.007>

1086 Mandil A., El Jardali F., El Feky S., Nour M., Al Abbar M., Bou Karroum L. 2018. Health research institutional mapping: an
1087 Eastern Mediterranean Regional perspective. *EMHJ-Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal* 24, 189–197

1088 Marignani M., Bruschi D., Astiaso Garcia D., Frondoni R., Carli E., Pinna M.S., Cumo F., Gugliermetti F., Saatkamp A., Doxa A.,
1089 Queller E.M., Chaieb M., Bou Dagher-Kharrat M., El Zein R., El Jeitani S., Khater C., Mansour S., Al-Shami A., Harik G.,
1090 Alameddine I., el-Fadel M., Blasi C. 2017. Identification and prioritization of areas with high environmental risk in
1091 Mediterranean coastal areas: A flexible approach. *Science of the Total Environment* 590–591, 566–578.
1092 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.221>

1093 Masson V., Marchadier C., Adolphe L., Aguejdad R., Avner P., Bonhomme M., Bretagne G., Briottet X., Bueno B., de Munck C.,
1094 Doukari O., Hallegatte S., Hidalgo J., Houet T., Le Bras J., Lemonsu A., Long N., Moine M.-P., Morel T., Nologues L., Pigeon G.,
1095 Salagnac J.-L., Viguié V., Zibouche K. 2014. Adapting cities to climate change: A systemic modelling approach. *Urban Climate*
1096 10, 407–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2014.03.004>

1097 Massuel S., Riaux J. 2017. Groundwater overexploitation: why is the red flag waved? Case study on the Kairouan plain aquifer
1098 (central Tunisia). *Hydrogeology Journal*, 25(6), 1607-1620.

1099 Maystadt J.-F., Trinh Tan J.-F., Breisinger C. 2014. Does food security matter for transition in Arab countries? *Food Policy* 46,
1100 106–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.01.005>

1101 Mazoyer M., Roudart L. 1997. *Histoire des Agricultures du monde, du néolithique à la crise contemporaine*. Seuil, Paris, France.

1102 Mekki I., Bailly J.S., Jacob F., Chebbi H., Ajmi T., Blanca Y., Zairi A., Biarnès A. 2018. Impact of farmland fragmentation on
1103 rainfed crop allocation in Mediterranean landscapes: A case study of the Lebna watershed in Cap Bon, Tunisia. *Land Use*
1104 *Policy*, 75, 772-783, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.004>

1105 Metzger P., d’Ercole R. 2009. Enjeux territoriaux et vulnérabilité : Une approche opérationnelle, in: Becerra, S., Peltier, A.
1106 (Eds.), *Risques et environnement, recherche interdisciplinaire sur la vulnérabilité des sociétés*. L’Harmattan, Paris, France, pp.
1107 392–402.

1108 Meybeck M., Ragu A. 2012. *GEMS-GLORI world river discharge database*. Laboratoire de Géologie Appliquée, Université
1109 Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, PANGAEA, <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.804574>

1110 Montmain J., Penalva J.-M. 2003. *Choix publics stratégiques et systèmes sociaux : Etat de l’art sur les théories de la décision*
1111 *et méthodologies de l’approche système*. LGI2P, Paris, France.

1112 Nicolescu B. 2014. Multidisciplinarity, Interdisciplinarity, Indisciplinarity, and Transdisciplinarity. *RCC Perspectives* 19–26.

1113 Olivier de Sardan J.-P. 1990. Populisme développementiste et populisme en sciences sociales: idéologie, action, connaissance.
1114 *Cahiers d’études africaines* 475–492.

1115 Olivier de Sardan J.-P. 1995. La politique du terrain: Sur la production des données en anthropologie. *Enquête* 1.

1116 Olivier de Sardan J.-P. 2000. Le “je” méthodologique. Implication et explicitation dans l’enquête de terrain. *Revue française*
1117 *de sociologie* 417–445.

1118 Olivier de Sardan J.-P. 2001. Les trois approches en anthropologie du développement. *Tiers-Monde* 729–754.

1119 Ouin A., Andrieu E., Vialatte A., Balent G., Barbaro L., Blanco J., Sirami C. 2022. Building a shared vision of the future for
1120 multifunctional agricultural landscapes. Lessons from a long term socio-ecological research site in south-western France.
1121 *Advances in Ecological Research*; Academic Press: Cambridge, USA, 65, 57–106

1122 Peucker-Ehrenbrink B. 2018. Land2Sea database, Version 2.0. PANGAEA, <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.892680>

1123 Porter A.L., Roessner J.D., Cohen A.S., Perreault M. 2006. Interdisciplinary research: meaning, metrics and nurture. *Research*
1124 *Evaluation* 15, 187–195. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154406781775841>

1125 Pouloudi N., Poulmenakou A., Pramataris K. 2012. A profile of information systems research in the Mediterranean region.
1126 *European Journal of Information Systems* 21, 345–357. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2012.31>

1127 Rabehi W., Guerfi M., Mahi H., Rojas-Garcia E. 2019. Spatiotemporal Monitoring of Coastal Urbanization Dynamics: Case
1128 Study of Algiers' Bay, Algeria. *J Indian Soc Remote Sens* 47, 1917–1936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-019-01037-y>

1129 Rees-Caldwell K., Pinnington A.H. 2013. National culture differences in project management: Comparing British and Arab
1130 project managers' perceptions of different planning areas. *International Journal of Project Management* 31, 212–227.
1131 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiproman.2012.04.003>

1132 Remini B., Leduc C., Hallouche W. 2009. Évolution des grands barrages en régions arides : quelques exemples algériens.
1133 *Science et changements planétaires / Sécheresse*, 20, 1, 96-103. doi:10.1684/sec.2009.0172

1134 Rouchier J., Requier-Desjardins M. 2000. La modélisation comme soutien à l'interdisciplinarité en recherche-développement.
1135 Une application au pastoralisme soudano-sahélien. *N.S.S.* 8, 61–67.

1136 Santamaría L., Barge-Gil A., Modrego A. 2010. Public selection and financing of R&D cooperative projects: Credit versus
1137 subsidy funding. *Research Policy* 39, 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.01.011>

1138 Saqalli M. 2020. *Giving back its weight to the society: Formalization of an approach integrating socio-anthropological and*
1139 *environmental factors in the observation and modeling approaches of Rural Socio-Ecological Systems*. HDR Geography.
1140 Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, Toulouse, France.

1141 Schmidt-Lainé C., Pavé A. 2002. Environnement : modélisation et modèles pour comprendre, agir ou décider dans un contexte
1142 interdisciplinaire. *N.S.S.* 10, 5–25.

1143 Schroeder M.J. 2022. Multidisciplinarity, Interdisciplinarity, and Transdisciplinarity: The Tower of Babel in the Age of Two
1144 Cultures. *Philosophies* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/philosophies7020026>

1145 Sebti M., Courbage Y., Festy P., Kursac-Souali A.-C. 2009. Maghreb, Morocco, Marrakech: Demographic convergence,
1146 socioeconomic diversity. *Population Societies* 459, 1–4.

1147 Steele T.W., Stier J.C. 2000. The impact of interdisciplinary research in the environmental sciences: a forestry case study. *J.*
1148 *Am. Soc. Info Sc.* 51, 476–484. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4571\(2000\)51:5<476::AID-ASI8>3.0.CO;2-G](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4571(2000)51:5<476::AID-ASI8>3.0.CO;2-G)

1149 Taleb H. 2006. Water management in Morocco, in: Dura, G., Kambourova, V., Simeonova, F. (Eds.), *Management of*
1150 *Intentional & Accidental Water Pollution*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 177–180.

1151 Tra Bi A.Z., Brou T., Emran A., Mahé G. 2013. Remote sensing and GIS analysis of the dynamic of vegetation in climate
1152 variability context on the Bouregreg watershed. In: *Climate and land surface changes in hydrology* (E. Boegh, E. Blyth, D.M.
1153 Hannah, H. Hisdal, H. Kunstmann, B. Su, K.K. Yilmaz, Eds.), IAHS Publ. 359, 403-410.

1154 Tra Bi Z. A., Emran A., Brou Y. T., Mahé G. 2014. Cartographie par arbre de décision de la dynamique de l'occupation du sol
1155 du bassin versant du Bouregreg, en région semi-aride au centre Nord-Ouest du Maroc. *RESIGEO - Revue Scientifique*
1156 *Internationale de Géomatique*, CURAT-CNTIG, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, 1, 1, 43-54.

1157 UNDP, ECODIT, Ministère de l'environnement, Rép. Libanaise 2011. *State and Trends of the Lebanese Environment*. 2010.

1158 Van Asselt M.B.A., Rijkens-Klomp N. 2002. A look in the mirror: reflection on participation in integrated assessment from a
1159 methodological perspective. *Global Environmental Change*, 12, 167–184.

1160 Van Dusseldorp D., Wigboldus S. 1994. Interdisciplinary research for integrated rural development in developing countries:
1161 The role of social sciences. *Issues in Interdisciplinary Studies* 12, 93–138.

1162 Voltz M., Ludwig W., Leduc C., Bouarfa S. 2018. Mediterranean land systems under global change: current state and future
1163 challenges. *Regional Environmental Change* 18, 619–622. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1295-9>

1164 World Bank, 2018. *Dépenses en recherche et développement (% du PIB) - Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, Lebanon*.
1165 <https://donnees.banquemondiale.org/indicateur/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS?locations=DZ-TN-MA-MP-LB-LV>

1166